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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | about letting in evidence. | 1 | MR. KLEPP: Thank you. |
| 2 | MR. CHU: Thank you, Your Honor. | 2 | DIRECT EXAMINATION |
| 3 | MR. KLEPP: Your Honor. | 3 | BY MR. KLEPP: |
| 4 | JUDGE BIRO: I have literally read in the | 4 | Q Good morning, Mr. Jackson, would you please |
| 5 | last week or two every document you have submitted. I | 5 | state your name and your -- |
| 6 | put my little fingers on every single page and read | 6 | A Cleophas Jackson. |
| 7 | them over, including your prehearing brief, Mr. Chu. | 7 | Q Your full name and your duties or your |
| 8 | I've read that many times. I've read every exhibit | 8 | position at EPA. |
| 9 | that you submitted, all of them, page after page, even | 9 | A My name is Cleophas Cawthorn Jackson, Jr. |
| 10 | the ones that pertain to liability again. So, I'm | 10 | I'm currently the center director for the Gasoline |
| 11 | pretty familiar with everything that you've submitted | 11 | Engine Compliance Center. |
| 12 | so far. | 12 | MR. KLEPP: Your Honor, before I proceed any |
| 13 | I've got your most recent revised penalty | 13 | further, you made a point earlier I'd like to raise |
| 14 | calculation right here. I have whatever else -- I | 14 | again. At some point in Mr. Jackson's testimony there |
| 15 | know that the Agency submitted. Actually, I didn't | 15 | will be some documents that are identified by |
| 16 | read what you most recently submitted, some revised | 16 | Respondents as confidential business information. |
| 17 | expert report, because I didn't get time. But other | 17 | I've marked that in my question outline and I'd like |
| 18 | than that I've seen it all and I've read it all, and | 18 | to bring it up at the time when it comes up. Thank |
| 19 | I'm very familiar with this case. | 19 | you. |
| 20 | So, if you'd like to make an opening | 20 | BY MR. KLEPP: |
| 21 | statement, you certainly can, but it's not evidence, | 21 | Q Mr. Jackson, you mentioned the Gasoline |
| 22 | it doesn't get any weight, but if the Agency would | 22 | Engine Compliance Center. Where is that located? |
| 23 | like to proceed. | 23 | A The Gasoline Engine Compliance Center is |
| 24 | MR. KLEPP: Your Honor, we would waive our | 24 | located in Ann Arbor, Michigan, at the National |
| 25 | opening statement and just want to proceed to our | 25 | Vehicle and Fuel Emissions Lab in the Compliance |
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| 1 | first witness. | 1 | Division. |
| 2 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Would the Respondent | 2 | Q Is that part of a larger office within EPA? |
| 3 | like to make an opening statement? | 3 | A Yes, the Compliance Division is in the |
| 4 | MR. CHU: Yes, may it please the Court. | 4 | Office of Transportation and Air Quality within the |
| 5 | JUDGE BIRO: Do you want to do that now or | 5 | Office of Air and Radiation in EPA. |
| 6 | at the beginning of your case? | 6 | Q And generally and briefly can you describe |
| 7 | MR. CHU: At the beginning of our case, Your | 7 | your duties as the director of the Gasoline Engine |
| 8 | Honor. | 8 | Compliance Center? |
| 9 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay, that would be great. | 9 | A Responsible for the certification and |
| 10 | Okay, Mr. Klepp, would you call your first witness? | 10 | compliance of approximately 2,800 engine families |
| 11 | MR. KLEPP: Yes, Your Honor, thank you. | 11 | annually; responsible for the training and direction |
| 12 | We'd like to call as our first witness Mr. Cleophas | 12 | of staff that carry out those responsibilities; |
| 13 | Jackson. | 13 | responsible for ensuring compliance of those products. |
| 14 | JUDGE BIRO: Mr. Reporter, would you please | 14 | Q Do you know what this case is about? |
| 15 | swear the witness? | 15 | A Yes. |
| 16 | Whereupon, | 16 | Q Can you briefly and generally describe it? |
| 17 | CLEOPHAS CAWTHORN JACKSON, JR. | 17 | A As I understand it, the Respondents have -- |
| 18 | having been duly sworn, was called as a | 18 | are addressing an issue with respect to providing |
| 19 | witness and was examined and testified as follows: | 19 | products to the market that were not certified. They |
| 20 | JUDGE BIRO: Please proceed, Mr. Klepp. | 20 | introduced products in the commerce without an |
| 21 | MR. KLEPP: Your Honor, if you don't mind, | 21 | appropriate certificate of conformity. |
| 22 | may I wear the remote microphone here? | 22 | Q Do you know what kind of vehicles are |
| 23 | JUDGE BIRO: Sure. | 23 | involved in this case? |
| 24 | MR. KLEPP: Okay, thank you. May I proceed? | 24 | A These were small Class 1A products and ATVs. |
| 25 | JUDGE BIRO: Please proceed. | 25 | Q Are you familiar with the -- |
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| A Motorcycles. | 1 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Q Excuse me. Are you familiar with the | 2 |
| regulations that were involved in violation? | 3 |
| A Yes, I am. | 4 |
| Q Can you state those, please? | 5 |
| A Sure. 40 C.F.R. Part 86, subparts (e) and | 6 |
| (f); 40 C.F.R. Part 1051; 40 C.F.R. Part 1068. | 7 |
| Q Are these regulations part of what is your | 8 |
| office, Gasoline Engine Compliance Center duty to | 9 |
| oversee? | 10 |
| A Yes. | 11 |
| MR. KLEPP: With Your Honor's permission I | 12 |
| would ask Mr. Jackson to please refer to Exhibit 156A. | 13 |
| JUDGE BIRO: Mr. Klepp, did you say 156A? | 14 |
| MR. KLEPP: I'm sorry. Pardon me. 156A. | 15 |
| JUDGE BIRO: Right, 156A? | 16 |
| MR. KLEPP: Yes. | 17 |
| JUDGE BIRO: I don't see any A. | 18 |
| MR. KLEPP: Oh, it might not be indicated in | 19 |
| the tab, but in the actual document it should say | 20 |
| 156A, CX-156A. | 21 |
| JUDGE BIRO: Okay. | 22 |
| MR. KLEPP: Is that -- | 23 |
| JUDGE BIRO: Yes, I see that. Okay. | 24 |
| MR. KLEPP: Thank you, Your Honor. | 25 |

BY MR. KLEPP:
Q So, I think I asked you, Mr. Jackson, does this resume, 156A, does it accurately describe your education and background and work experience?

A Yes, it does.
MR. KLEPP: And I would move to admit, Your Honor, or submit this document 156A as evidence.

MR. CHU: Yes, Respondent would object to this document as being relevant. Under the circumstances I don't believe he's being qualified as an expert, so his educational background resume, we would object as being irrelevant.

JUDGE BIRO: Overruled. Go ahead.
MR. KLEPP: Thank you.
(The document referred to was
marked for identification as
Complainant's Exhibit No.
156A, and was received in evidence.)
BY MR. KLEPP:
Q Mr. Jackson, with respect to your education and background do you have an undergraduate degree?

A Yes, I do.
Q And where is that from?
A University of Michigan, Ann Arbor.
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BY MR. KLEPP:
Q Mr. Jackson, do you recognize this document?
A Yes, I do.
Q Try one more time.
A Yes, I do.
Q Great. What do you recognize it to be?
A This is my resume.
Q And did you author this document?
A Yes, I did.
Q And is it complete and accurate as you can tell?

A Yes, it is.
Q Okay, I'd like to ask you some questions based on it.

MR. KLEPP: Point of order, Your Honor. How do I turn the overhead on? I'm not quite ready to use that -- or off. I'm not quite ready to use it. I just don't want papers --

JUDGE BIRO: Mr. Susano will help you.
MR. KLEPP: Thank you.
JUDGE BIRO: Mr. Jackson, could you talk up just a little bit louder for me?

THE WITNESS: Sure, no problem.
JUDGE BIRO: Thank you.
//
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Q And what was that degree earned in?
A Mechanical engineering.
Q When did you graduate?
A 1995 .
Q And do you have an advanced degree as well?
A Yes, I do.
Q And where is that from?
A Wayne State University in Detroit, Michigan.
Q And advanced degree in what subject?
A Master's of Science and Mechanical

## Engineering.

Q What year did you graduate from Wayne State?
A 1998, degree conferred in 1999.
Q Did you study any areas as undergraduate or advanced that are particularly relevant to the matter in this case?

A Yes, I did.
MR. CHU: Objection, Your Honor, vague. JUDGE BIRO: Sustained. BY MR. KLEPP:
Q Any subject areas that you studied that have to do with vehicles and engines?

A Yes, I did.
Q And what was that?
A Combustion analysis and vehicle dynamics.
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Q Are you a member of, or as a student were | 1 | had to make adjustments to the papers based on those |
| 2 | you a member of any professional organizations or | 2 | comments. However, we received few comments. |
| 3 | student organizations? | 3 | Q After publishing those articles did your |
| 4 | A Yes, I am and yes, I was. | 4 | findings -- did your analysis get adopted in any |
| 5 | Q And can you say what they were, please? | 5 | particular way? |
| 6 | A Sure. The Society of Automotive Engineers, | 6 | A Yes. |
| 7 | the American Society of Mechanical Engineers, and the | 7 | Q Explain that, please. |
| 8 | National Society of Black Engineers. | 8 | A So, one example is with a nonroad transient |
| 9 | Q And within these organization did you have | 9 | cycle. The duty cycle was adopted by EPA and it's |
| 10 | any leadership positions? | 10 | currently in use by the Agency for regulating |
| 11 | A Yes, I did. | 11 | emissions from nonroad diesel engines. Portions of |
| 12 | Q Can you describe those, please? | 12 | the cycle were also adopted for regulating large |
| 13 | A Sure. As an undergraduate, I served as | 13 | spark-ignition engines. In addition to that, the |
| 14 | program chair in the National Society of Black | 14 | cycle has been adopted by the European Commission for |
| 15 | Engineers, and as a graduate, as an alum, I served as | 15 | Regulating Products in Europe. |
| 16 | the president chair of the Alumni Extension Chapter. | 16 | Q I'd like to ask you about any awards you |
| 17 | Q In the course of your professional career | 17 | received in the course of your professional career at |
| 18 | have you had an opportunity to publish articles in any | 18 | EPA. Could you describe those? |
| 19 | journals? | 19 | A Sure. I received several service awards as |
| 20 | A Yes, I have. | 20 | well as a gold medal and a bronze medal. |
| 21 | Q Describe what journals, where they were | 21 | Q Gold medal in what area? |
| 22 | published. | 22 | A The nonroad diesel transient, tier 14. |
| 23 | A Sure. So, they were published in | 23 | Nonroad diesel, tier 14. |
| 24 | Proceedings for the Society of Automotive Engineers, | 24 | Q Can you describe your role within that |
| 25 | as well as the American Society of Mechanical | 25 | project? |
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| 1 | Engineers. | 1 | A Sure. Yes, I can. |
| 2 | Q And those articles, can you generally | 2 | JUDGE BIRO: Are you going to identify him |
| 3 | briefly describe what they were pertaining to? | 3 | as an expert witness? |
| 4 | A Sure. So, the articles were relevant to | 4 | MR. KLEPP: Yes, we are, Your Honor. |
| 5 | issues of emissions measurement and duty cycle | 5 | JUDGE BIRO: Proceed. |
| 6 | development, specifically development of the nonroad | 6 | MR. KLEPP: Thank you. |
| 7 | transient cycle, a duty cycle that the Agency later | 7 | BY MR. KLEPP: |
| 8 | implemented. We also developed measurement strategies | 8 | Q Please proceed. |
| 9 | and technologies for measuring emissions from | 9 | MR. CHU: I'm sorry. I understand that he's |
| 10 | products. | 10 | intending to qualify him as an expert, but could we at |
| 11 | Q And what pollutants were you studying the | 11 | least identify what area? |
| 12 | testing of? | 12 | JUDGE BIRO: When he gets done laying the |
| 13 | A NOx, unburned hydrocarbons, carbon monoxide, | 13 | foundation, he can offer him in whatever areas of |
| 14 | particulate matter. | 14 | expertise Mr. Klepp thinks is appropriate. |
| 15 | Q The articles that you described were they | 15 | MR. CHU: Yes, Your Honor. |
| 16 | peer reviewed? | 16 | MR. KLEPP: Thank you. |
| 17 | A Yes, they were peer reviewed. | 17 | BY MR. KLEPP: |
| 18 | Q And what was the purpose of peer review? | 18 | Q You were talking about the gold medal |
| 19 | A The purpose of peer review is to ensure the | 19 | project. Can you please continue? |
| 20 | scientific utility and integrity of the product and | 20 | A Sure. I served as team leader for the |
| 21 | ensure the usefulness of the product to the scientific | 21 | nonroad diesel tier 4 rulemaking, and in that role I |
| 22 | community. | 22 | had the responsibility for developing the test |
| 23 | Q And how did that process work? | 23 | procedures and the test cycles that would be used for |
| 24 | A We submitted the papers to the societies. | 24 | that category of engines and vehicles. |
| 25 | They were reviewed, we received comments back, and we | 25 | I also had the opportunity to work with the |

industry to develop flexibilities for implementing the rulemaking, including developing a flexibility program for equipment manufacturers to spread their engineering costs over time so they could meet the Agency's target, target for emission specs, mission requirements and performance without actually having to complete the entire redesign in a single year. We were able to design a flexibility strategy working with the industry.

Q And was this a rulemaking under the Clean Air Act specifically?

A Yes, it was. Clean Air Act, Section 213.
Q And what pollutants were the subject of this rulemaking.

A Oxides of nitrogen, unburned hydrocarbon, carbon monoxide, particulate matter.

Q You also mentioned a bronze medal for a different project. Can you describe that, please?

A Yes. I had an opportunity to serve as team leader for the heavy-duty greenhouse gas Phase 1 rulemaking.

Q What were your duties within that?
A On that team I had the responsibility for developing the test protocol for hybrid vehicle testing as well as for aerodynamics assessment for
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products. I also had the responsibility for
developing the provisions for OBD implementation for heavy-duty Phase 1.

Q In the Clean Air Act area as well?
A (No audible response.)
Q And what pollutants was concerned?
A Oxides of nitrogen, carbon monoxide, unburned hydrocarbon.

Q In addition to what you described just now have you represented the Agency in any global forum?

A Yes, I have. I've had an opportunity to represent EPA as a part of the group of repertoires on pollution in energy within the WP-29 in Europe on multiple occasions. I've worked to develop a global technical regulation as a part of that framework.

I've also worked and met with the GIME group in Europe which is a group of member states to further facilitate the implementation of regulations consistent with EPA's regulations. In addition to that I've had an opportunity to travel to both Japan and China to represent the Agency in workshops and meetings with industry and government.

Q The global area that you described with the U.N., did that have to do with mobile source regulation?

A Yes, it did.
Q I believe in that project at the U.N. you had some success on behalf of the Agency. Can you describe what that is?

A Sure. So, I had the responsibility of ensuring that the global technical regulation as developed would be consistent with EPA regulations. The Agency's goal was to ensure that there was alignment and harmonization between the United States and Europe, and the Agency wanted to ensure that we did that to reduce the burden on industry for complying with standards in the U.S. and globally.

And so to do that I had to work with other scientists and engineers to develop a global technical regulation to ensure that it was consistent with EPA's regulations. We were successful because what was adopted mirrored EPA's regulations in the end.

Q I'd like to transition to your work these days as director of the Gasoline Engine Compliance Center.

A Okay.
Q And ask you if you could describe what areas, what sectors that center regulates.

A Sure. So, the Gasoline Engine Compliance Center is responsible for certification and compliance
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for highway motorcycles, for recreational vehicles, off-highway motorcycle, and ATVs, small spark-ignition engines, large spark-ignition engines, marine sparkignition engines. portable fuel containers, evaporative components, and heavy-duty spark-ignition engines.

Q As director, what are your duties?
A So, again, my responsibility is to ensure
products are complying. That includes developing test programs and protocols consistent with that so we can assess the performance of these products. I also am responsible for the training and development of staff as well. We're also responsible for engaging with the industry, both for outreach and for ensuring compliance.

Q What year did you start as director?
A 2012.
Q And you continue as director today?
A Yes, I do.
Q Prior to 2012, did you have another position within the Office of Transportation and Air Quality?

A Yes, I did.
Q Can you describe that position and your duties there?

A So, from 2007 to 2012, I had the
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | responsibility of being the assistant division | 1 | engines and working with the industry to implement |
| 2 | director for the Compliance Division, and in that role | 2 | those standards. |
| 3 | I had the responsibility for developing the compliance | 3 | Q Prior to that you were a team leader within |
| 4 | testing strategy for the division as a whole for all | 4 | the Assessments and Standards Division. Can you |
| 5 | the sectors as well as implementing both heavy-duty | 5 | briefly and generally describe your duties there? |
| 6 | and spark-ignition on on-site testing at NVFEL. So, | 6 | A Sure. I had the responsibility for working |
| 7 | that included the diesel engine testing as well as the | 7 | on the nonroad diesel, tier 4 rulemaking, and I've |
| 8 | spark-ignition engine testing programs. | 8 | also had the responsibility of serving as a team |
| 9 | Q Prior to your assistant director as the | 9 | leader for the small SI Phase 3 rulemaking. |
| 10 | Compliance Division did you have another position | 10 | As a team leader for the small SI Phase 3 |
| 11 | within the Agency? | 11 | rulemaking we were responsible for developing, again, |
| 12 | A I did, and I'm sorry. I forgot to mention I | 12 | test procedures, also addressing cost issues |
| 13 | was also responsible as the team leader for the heavy- | 13 | associated with implementing the Phase 3 standards. |
| 14 | duty greenhouse gas rule during the time I was the | 14 | Q Prior to that you were a project engineer |
| 15 | assistant division director. I didn't mean to leave | 15 | between 1995 and 2005. Briefly, generally, describe |
| 16 | that out. | 16 | your duties there. |
| 17 | So, my other responsibilities, I've been | 17 | A Sure. So, again, as the project engineer I |
| 18 | senior technical staff with EPA prior to that. | 18 | specifically served in the role as the team leader for |
| 19 | Q Can you describe as senior technical staff | 19 | nonroad diesel, tier 4, and as I mentioned earlier, we |
| 20 | what your duties were? | 20 | had the responsibility for developing the rulemaking, |
| 21 | A Sure. So, I had the responsibility for | 21 | working with the industry on the flexibilities in the |
| 22 | leading the implementation of the ULSD provisions, and | 22 | rulemaking, developing the test procedures and test |
| 23 | just to provide background when the Agency promulgates | 23 | protocols associated with that rulemaking. |
| 24 | new standards we use a systems-based approach in which | 24 | MR. KLEPP: Thank you, Mr. Jackson. As this |
| 25 | we control engine emission standards, vehicle | 25 | point, Your Honor, I would move to identify or offer |
|  | Page 26 |  | Page 28 |
| 1 | standards, as well as the fuel needed to support that. | 1 | Mr. Jackson as an expert in the Certification and |
| 2 | I was responsible for implementing the fuel | 2 | Compliance Vehicle and Engine Program. |
| 3 | control strategies with the fuel industry, so we met | 3 | JUDGE BIRO: Certification and Compliance of |
| 4 | regularly with API, the American Petroleum Institute, | 4 | EPA's? |
| 5 | the jobbers, and other downstream parties to ensure | 5 | MR. KLEPP: Yes, the EPA Certification and |
| 6 | the quality of the fuel was consistent with what was | 6 | Compliance Vehicle and Engine Program. |
| 7 | needed to ensure the technology would function | 7 | JUDGE BIRO: Mr. Chu, do you wish to -- |
| 8 | effectively. | 8 | MR. CHU: Yes, Your Honor. |
| 9 | MR. CHU: We have an objection that the | 9 | JUDGE BIRO: Wait. Do you wish to voir dire |
| 10 | witness appears to be reading a document rather than | 10 | or do you want to object? |
| 11 | answering the question. We would object to the | 11 | MR. CHU: I would ask to voir dire if he is |
| 12 | witness reading the document because the document | 12 | at this time asking the Court to make that |
| 13 | speaks for itself. | 13 | determination. |
| 14 | JUDGE BIRO: I don't observe that. Please | 14 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. We're going to give Mr. |
| 15 | continue. Overruled. | 15 | Chu a chance to voir dire. |
| 16 | MR. KLEPP: Thank you, Your Honor. | 16 | MR. KLEPP: I'm sorry? |
| 17 | BY MR. KLEPP: | 17 | JUDGE BIRO: We're going to give Mr. Chu a |
| 18 | Q Prior to your senior technical staff | 18 | chance to voir dire. |
| 19 | position you had another position as acting director. | 19 | MR. KLEPP: Okay. |
| 20 | Can you please describe your duties, responsibilities | 20 | JUDGE BIRO: Please sit down. |
| 21 | there? | 21 | (Pause.) |
| 22 | A Sure. I had the responsibility of serving | 22 | MR. CHU: May it please the Court. |
| 23 | as a heavy-duty on-road center director, and in that | 23 | JUDGE BIRO: Please proceed, Mr. Chu. |
| 24 | role we were responsible for promulgating new | 24 | MR. CHU: Thank you, Your Honor. |
| 25 | standards for heavy-duty highway and nonroad diesel | 25 | // |

$\square$

VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION BY MR. CHU:
Q Good morning, Mr. Jackson.
A Good morning, Mr. Chu.
Q How are you feeling this morning?
A I feel good.
Q Now, is it your belief that there is a need for expertise in terms of understanding the Clean Air Act vehicle and engines regulatory program?

A I'm sorry. I'm not sure I understood your question. Is it my belief that there is a need to understand?

MR. KLEPP: Your Honor, I'm going to object.
I believe that calls for a legal determination.
JUDGE BIRO: We're talking about his qualifications here. That's all voir dire is going to cover: whether he's qualified -- can be qualified as an expert in the field in which Mr. Klepp has offered him.

MR. CHU: And just for clarification, Your Honor, I'm going to read what he was designated in the disclosures, if I may.

JUDGE BIRO: I don't care what they designated him as. I only care what they've offered him as now.

A Somewhat familiar, yes.
Q I'm sorry. You're saying somewhat familiar?
A I'm familiar, yes.
Q Okay. So, can you tell us what the function of platinum only is?

A The function of platinum only. So, I'm not familiar with the people using platinum only catalysts.

MR. CHU: I'm going to object as being nonresponsive, Your Honor.

## JUDGE BIRO: Sustained.

## BY MR. CHU:

Q Can you tell us, please, what the function of platinum is?

A Again, so platinum is used for the oxidation reaction.

Q Is it also used for the reduction? Do you know?

A Platinum is used for the oxidation reaction and can be used in some cases for reduction, but primarily for the oxidation reaction.

Q So, it performs both functions is what you understand, is that correct?

A It can.
Q Okay. And are you familiar with the --
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BY MR. CHU:
Q Now, Mr. Jackson, you said you've had extensive exposure to emissions, is that correct?

A I said I've had experience conducting regulatory and compliance work in emissions.

Q Okay. So, you don't have any specific training in reference to catalysts, do you?

A So, I have had course work in combustion analysis which included some work and understanding of the function of catalysts.

Q And are you aware of when the catalyst was invented?

A I believe it was in late sixties, early seventies.

Q And can you tell this Court what the function of the PPMs are, the previous metals?

A Sure. The precious metals form the basis for the catalytic reaction. So, to clarify, if it's a three-way catalyst, you are making use of rhodium to perform the reduction reaction on the NOx in the exhaust stream. You are using both palladium and platinum to perform the oxidation reaction of the carbon monoxide and unburned hydrocarbons.

Q Are you familiar with the chemical reactions that platinum specifically is used for?
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what's called a substrate?
A Yes.
Q And what is that, please?
A The substrate is the framework upon which the wash coat is placed in which the catalyst material, the precious metals are placed. So, the wash coat contains the precious metal and the wash coat is placed onto the substrate.

Q And is the wash coat something similar to a mix, let's say, just for common identification, something like a pancake mix?

A It is similar to a slurry, but, again, it's not quite like a pancake mix. It's much more homogeneous than a pancake mix.

Q Okay. And when you say the precious metals, are you familiar as to how these precious metals are added into this wash coat slurry?

A The process for adding precious metals into the slurry?

Q Yes, sir.
A I'm not familiar with the manufacturing process specifically. I've never participated in a manufacturing process.

Q I see.
MR. KLEPP: Your Honor, I'm objecting. I
think that this is far afield of what the purpose of voir dire is, and the subject matter itself is far afield of what we're here today to talk about, the penalty and the violations.

JUDGE BIRO: Overruled. I think he's testing the parameters of his expertise. Proceed. BY MR. CHU:

Q So, it's very important the role of the three-way catalyst, is that accurate?

A Yes.
Q Okay. And so this seminar that you had in it was it just one seminar?

A I'm sorry. Oh, the course work that I took. That wasn't a seminar. It was a class.

Q Right. What was the duration of the class?
A So, the class was a semester course.
Q And it was all about catalysts, is that correct?

A No, that is not what I said. It was a combustion analysis class in which we also discussed catalysts.

Q Can you tell us specifically how long in duration the learning, as far as the teaching went, of catalysts in that class?

A So, let's see, it was a semester-long
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course. I would suspect that several weeks of the course was spent on catalysts.

Q I see. And so were you shown videos of how nitric oxide or nitric when it hit the slurries how that's converted into other compounds?

A No, we weren't shown videos of that, no.
Q Do you have any idea what those compounds are that the catalysts actually converts from and into?

A Ask that question again. I'm sorry. I'm not familiar with --

Q One of the biggest problems with emissions, as you testified earlier, are nitrates, correct?

A Nitrates.
Q Right.
A That can be an issue, yes.
Q And nitrates can be in the form of NO or other forms of nitrogen, correct?

A So, you're referring to the oxides of nitrogen. That is correct.

Q I'm sorry. I didn't get the last part.
A It sounds like you're referring to the oxides of nitrogen. So, that is correct. That is a problem.

Q The problem is the nitrates, correct?

A The emission species is oxides of nitrogen which is NO and NO 2 .

Q Right, and that's the bad stuff that gets trapped in peoples' lungs, correct?

A That is a problem with that, yes.
Q Okay. And so the function of the platinum, as you were taught, can you please describe that clearly for us?

A Sure. So, again, in performance of oxidation reaction on both the hydrocarbon and unburned -- unburned hydrocarbons, carbon monoxide.

Q Right. And so there was a chemical formula that you were shown in class. Do you remember that?

A Yes.
Q And that chemical formula basically was $2 \mathrm{CO}+\mathrm{NO}$ something, correct?

A Yes.
Q Now, can you tell us, please, based upon your expertise what the end products were?

A What the end productions of the combustion process were? So --

Q No, sir. The end --
MR. CHU: Excuse me for interrupting. I'm sorry, Your Honor.

I'm going to object as non-responsive. So,
may I ask the question again?
JUDGE BIRO: Okay.
BY MR. CHU:
Q The question is, I gave you a chemical -- I gave you a chemical reaction. Do you remember that?

A Yes. Yeah, I remember you gave me a chemical equation.

Q $\mathrm{CO}+\mathrm{N}$ something O , right? So, the question is when you were taught in class --

A Um-hmm.
Q -- do you remember seeing that formula, firstly?

A I do remember the formula.
Q Okay.
A Or seen the formula.
Q And then it had an "equal to", right?
A Or yields, yes.
Q Yields or just the compounds?
A I'm sorry.
Q Mr. Jackson.
A What are you asking me?
Q Okay. Yields usually have to do with the quantity, it's a measurement, is that correct?

A I'm sorry. What I'm saying there was not an equal sign, it was a yields, yields a product.
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Q Okay. I see what you're saying. Yield, and | 1 | platinum. |
| 2 | I'm saying equal. We're saying the same thing, right? | 2 | A Sure. So, you would have nitrogen as one of |
| 3 | A Generally, yes. | 3 | the results of that equation, and then CO 2 is the |
| 4 | Q Okay. So, now just out of curiosity, in | 4 | other product. |
| 5 | your training in your master's program did you have a | 5 | Q Anything else, sir? |
| 6 | chemistry class? | 6 | A So, again, with a complete combustion |
| 7 | A I didn't have the chemistry class in the | 7 | equation you would have to also include water as a |
| 8 | master's program. I had one in the undergraduate | 8 | production -- as a result of combustion. |
| 9 | program. | 9 | Q Thank you. Now, because you're being |
| 10 | MR. CHU: I'm objecting, it's non-responsive | 10 | qualified as an expert for this case here I want to |
| 11 | after "I did not have a class". | 11 | make sure is that all the subsequent education that |
| 12 | JUDGE BIRO: Overruled. Go ahead. | 12 | you've received in terms of understanding how |
| 13 | MR. CHU: All right. | 13 | catalysts work in terms of the small engine family |
| 14 | BY MR. CHU: | 14 | group? |
| 15 | Q Now, you say you had a class in your | 15 | A My education is with respect to formal |
| 16 | undergraduate that involved chemistry, is that | 16 | education, I've had training as an undergraduate |
| 17 | correct? | 17 | degree and a master's degree. I've also had |
| 18 | A Yes. | 18 | subsequent course work in our work but I don't |
| 19 | Q How many classes? | 19 | have -- I haven't -- I don't have another degree in |
| 20 | A I had general chemistry as an undergraduate. | 20 | that if that's what you're asking. |
| 21 | Q Okay. And so in your laboratories you never | 21 | Q Well, no, what I'm referring to is this. |
| 22 | worked with catalysts, did you? | 22 | What you've told us is, and I'll make an example. |
| 23 | A Not in the laboratory in undergraduate, no. | 23 | There's continuing education. People can go and no |
| 24 | Q Okay. And so throughout your formal | 24 | one is grading anyone, right? |
| 25 | educational training you never worked with so-called | 25 | A On the -- sure, you have to do well in the |
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| 1 | catalysts, is that correct? | 1 | course work, but, right, there's no grade at the end |
| 2 | A Throughout my education I didn't work with | 2 | of that. |
| 3 | catalysts, no. | 3 | Q Right. But you have to have been there, |
| 4 | Q Okay. And so do you understand what the | 4 | correct? |
| 5 | meaning of catalyst is? | 5 | A Sure. |
| 6 | A Yes. | 6 | Q Okay. And so other than that seminar that |
| 7 | Q What is it, please? | 7 | you went to -- |
| 8 | A A catalyst is a substance intended to | 8 | A Again, it was a class. It was not a |
| 9 | promote a reaction without being changed by that | 9 | seminar. |
| 10 | reaction. | 10 | Q What school was it held at? |
| 11 | Q It helps accelerate the process, correct? | 11 | A Wayne State University. |
| 12 | A Yes. | 12 | Q That's in Lansing? |
| 13 | Q Okay. So, again, in the formula I gave you, | 13 | A That's in Detroit, Michigan. |
| 14 | the chemical formula, $2 \mathrm{CO}+\mathrm{NXO}$, okay, equals, can you | 14 | Q Okay. And so you didn't -- did you get a |
| 15 | tell us what the compounds are that come on the other | 15 | grade or was it a pass/fail? |
| 16 | side of the equation? | 16 | A I did get a grade. |
| 17 | A So, one of the reasons I'm hesitant to | 17 | Q And what was the grade that you received in |
| 18 | respond is because the equation with which I'm | 18 | that class? |
| 19 | familiar is the combustion equation which would | 19 | A If I recall correctly, I believe I received |
| 20 | address the combination of gasoline or the fuel and | 20 | an A. |
| 21 | oxygen yielding those products. | 21 | Q Okay, very good. And so can you tell us on |
| 22 | Q I understand. So, is it accurate at this | 22 | the final exam what the combustion catalyst equation |
| 23 | point that you're unable to tell us what the equal, as | 23 | was? |
| 24 | far as what the components are when you have $2 \mathrm{CO} 2+\mathrm{NXO}$ | 24 | A No, I can't tell you what was on the final |
| 25 | over a catalyst? And in this case I'm talking about | 25 | exam from that class. |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Q I see. Was there a question similar to the | 1 | develop the control strategies for those products in |
| 2 | one that I posed to you? | 2 | which I was required to become more knowledgeable |
| 3 | A Again, I can't recall all the details of the | 3 | about the subject matter both for nonroad diesel and |
| 4 | final exam for the class I took. | 4 | for small spark ignition. I've also had the |
| 5 | Q Okay. Would you agree that the class that | 5 | opportunity to conduct testing in the test cell on |
| 6 | you took was somewhat of a survey class? | 6 | those products and to develop systems that can meet |
| 7 | A No, I would not. | 7 | those new targets. |
| 8 | Q Is it your belief that people that had taken | 8 | MR. KLEPP: Your Honor, I would again renew |
| 9 | that class and completed that class that they have | 9 | my offer of Mr. Jackson as -- like I said -- |
| 10 | become an expert in terms of catalysts? | 10 | regulatory program expert, Vehicle and Engine |
| 11 | A I can't speak to what other people have | 11 | Certification and Compliance Program. If you'd like |
| 12 | become. | 12 | to know more about where I believe his testimony will |
| 13 | MR. CHU: I'll pass the witness, Your Honor. | 13 | be going, if that would help inform the Court, I'd |
| 14 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. So, at this point do you | 14 | gladly do that. |
| 15 | have an objection to his being qualified as -- | 15 | JUDGE BIRO: No. I'm going to overrule the |
| 16 | MR. CHU: Yes, Your Honor, I would object to | 16 | objection. I think that the concerns that Mr. Chu |
| 17 | this witness being qualified as an expert in the area | 17 | raised goes to the weight in regard to perhaps a |
| 18 | of what this particular complaint is about; that, | 18 | specific area of catalysts, but I think that he's |
| 19 | again, I couldn't really understand what type of | 19 | qualified to be an expert witness in the area of |
| 20 | expertise he was being offered as. But to the extent | 20 | regulatory certification and compliance at EPA vehicle |
| 21 | he's being offered as an expert in terms of how | 21 | and engine program. |
| 22 | catalysts work and what the effect of those functions | 22 | Please proceed, Mr. Klepp. |
| 23 | are, I would certainly object to his ability to | 23 | MR. KLEPP: Thank you. |
| 24 | testify in that area. | 24 | BY MR. KLEPP: |
| 25 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Well, he hasn't been | 25 | Q Mr. Jackson, I'd like to transition to the |
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| 1 | asked to be certified specifically in catalysts. He's | 1 | regulatory program and how it works. What is the |
| 2 | been asked to be certified as an expert in compliance, | 2 | mission of the Certification and Compliance Vehicle |
| 3 | certification and compliance of EPA vehicles and | 3 | Engine Program? |
| 4 | engine program. | 4 | A Sure. So, consistent with the mission of |
| 5 | MR. CHU: Yes, Your Honor, and in reference | 5 | the Agency, which is to protect human health and |
| 6 | to that that's the part that I was referencing to | 6 | safeguard the natural environment, the mission of the |
| 7 | earlier. I would object that there's any necessity | 7 | Compliance Division, specifically GUCC, is to ensure |
| 8 | that an expert be offered to help this Court to | 8 | compliance of the products that are certified for sale |
| 9 | understand the regulatory process, Your Honor. | 9 | in the United States to ensure that the air quality |
| 10 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Mr. Klepp, do you have a | 10 | goals as described in the rulemaking are achieved and |
| 11 | response? | 11 | the air quality of the American public is improved. |
| 12 | MR. KLEPP: I do, Your Honor. May I re- | 12 | Q And as Gasoline Engine Compliance Center, |
| 13 | approach the witness and ask a couple of clarifying | 13 | what tools are available or are utilized to ensure |
| 14 | questions? | 14 | compliance with regulatory requirements? |
| 15 | JUDGE BIRO: Yes, you may. | 15 | A So, to ensure compliance with regulatory |
| 16 | MR. KLEPP: Thank you. | 16 | requirements we use a multifaceted approach in three |
| 17 | DIRECT EXAMINATION (Resumes) | 17 | basic phase: pre-certification, certification, and |
| 18 | BY MR. KLEPP: | 18 | then post-certification work. |
| 19 | Q Mr. Jackson, I'd like to move the timeline | 19 | The pre-certification work includes |
| 20 | forward a little bit to your professional experience. | 20 | combination of compliance assistance as well as |
| 21 | At EPA have you had opportunities to engage in matters | 21 | compliance engagement. So, specifically that is we |
| 22 | involving catalytic converters, catology as a science. | 22 | work -- we develop workshops for the industry to |
| 23 | Can you explain any of those situations? | 23 | describe how to implement the rulemakings. We also |
| 24 | A So, sure. As an EPA employee, I've had the | 24 | develop videos to help people understand how to |
| 25 | opportunity to work not only on the rulemakings to | 25 | navigate the process. We develop guidance documents |

to help manufacturers navigate the process, as well.
In addition to those, we also host precertification meetings with manufactures where they're free to come in and talk to us about their certification plans in advance of submitting their applications for certificate of conformity. We try to do pretty extensive outreach to work with the industry, both domestically and internationally, to try to help them understand how to implement the rules.

We also, during the certification process, undertake significant review of the applications to ensure that the technology being implemented or suggested by the manufacturer is consistent with meeting the performance standards anticipated by the regulations.

And then for post-certification once they receive their certificate -- I'm sorry.

One other aspect of the certification process and pre-certification process is confirmatory testing which we may choose to issue a test order for a product to ensure that that product, at least at a low hour level, is compliant with the emission standards.

We then for our post-certification may
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engage in a multitude of testing options as well.
Those include production vehicle testing where we may issue a test order for a production vehicle for a product that's actually already been manufactured by the manufacturer. We have asked them to provide that to the Agency for testing.

In addition to that we may conduct selective enforcement audits where we visit a manufacturer's facility or issue a letter audit in which we may actually audit the laboratory and audit their production from their production lines. We also conduct testing as well.

Q Stepping back to the pre-certification that you describe. You described, sir, confirmatory testing. What factors do you utilize to make a decision about confirmatory testing?

A So, we use both a systematic and a random approach for selection for confirmatory testing. The systematic approach includes looking at a manufacturer's compliance history, the technology they are intending to employ, as well as their production volumes. But we also incorporate a level of random selection into the process so that we can be sure we're trying to have an effective engagement strategy for testing and ensuring product compliance across the
market.
Q And you also described in the production phase that there is production testing. Can you describe that again, or talk about the factors involved there, please?

A Sure. So, when a manufacturer has received a certificate of conformity the regulations allow EPA to issue what's called a production vehicle test order in which manufacturers are required to deliver the product to EPA, one or more products to EPA for testing. In addition to that, EPA may choose on its own to go out and do in-use testing. We may require products from the market and conduct testing.

Q In the post-production phase that you described and the tools there can you describe in-use testing and how that works?

A Sure. So, again, in-use testing can be twofold. Again, there's the production vehicle test order where we are testing products at low hour but there can also be in-use testing where we're testing products further out in their useful life, their regulatory useful life, to ensure compliance.

Q And does the Gasoline Engine Compliance Center engage in outreach to manufacturers of vehicles and engines and, if so, can you describe that?
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A Yes, we do. So, again, we try to engage in outreach to provide manufacturers with an understanding of the regulations and how to implement those regulations. We do that through a series of guidance documents. We also provide webinars to manufacturers as well as in-person workshops for manufacturers, both domestically and internationally. We also provide videos for manufacturers to walk them through the process.

Q I would ask for you to please look at Exhibit No. CX012.
(Pause.)
MR. KLEPP: Thank you. Excuse me. BY MR. KLEPP:
Q Mr. Jackson, CX012, do you recognize this document?

A Yes.
Q What do you recognize it to be?
A It's a document, a draft guidance document that provides the major steps of compliance and certification.

Q Who is it published by?
A It was published by the Compliance Division within the Office of Transportation and Air Quality.

Q Is it the ordinary business of the Office of
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Transportation and Air Quality to publish guidance? | 1 | through his testimony. |
| 2 | A Yes. | 2 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. |
| 3 | Q Is it in the ordinary course of business of | 3 | MR. KLEPP: Thank you. |
| 4 | Office of Transportation and Air Quality to publish | 4 | JUDGE BIRO: Did we straighten it out? |
| 5 | this kind of guidance in particular? | 5 | Okay, great. |
| 6 | A Yes. | 6 | MR. KLEPP: Oh, the other thing, if I might |
| 7 | Q And this guidance, was it issued in the | 7 | approach the witness and provide him with a walk- |
| 8 | ordinary course of the business of Office of | 8 | around microphone. Thank you. |
| 9 | Transportation and Air Quality? | 9 | JUDGE BIRO: Please. |
| 10 | A Yes. | 10 | (Pause.) |
| 11 | Q Also, does the Office of Transportation and | 11 | THE WITNESS: Is that alright? Can you hear |
| 12 | Air Quality keep records of guidance that it issues? | 12 | me. |
| 13 | A Yes. | 13 | MR. KLEPP: Yes, coming across. |
| 14 | Q And is this guidance one that would have | 14 | THE WITNESS: So, this document, this flow |
| 15 | been kept in the recordkeeping ordinary course? | 15 | chart is intended to provide the major steps |
| 16 | A Yes. | 16 | associated with the certification process, and so you |
| 17 | Q And does it reflect requirements that | 17 | can see in the beginning the first step, Step No. 1. |
| 18 | pertain to the program between the years 2012-2016? | 18 | Oh , is there a problem? |
| 19 | A Yes. | 19 | JUDGE BIRO: Again, Mr. Jackson, you can |
| 20 | Q In your view in looking at it today is it an | 20 | talk up just a little bit. |
| 21 | accurate and complete version of that guidance | 21 | THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. |
| 22 | document? | 22 | JUDGE BIRO: I'd appreciate it. |
| 23 | A Yes. | 23 | THE WITNESS: Can you hear me how? |
| 24 | Q And can you briefly -- I think you already | 24 | JUDGE BIRO: I can. |
| 25 | did. | 25 | THE WITNESS: Okay, thank you. |
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| 1 | MR. KLEPP: Your Honor, with your permission | 1 | So, the first step in the process the |
| 2 | I would offer CX012 as evidence. | 2 | manufacturer is required to register with EPA, and |
| 3 | JUDGE BIRO: Mr. Chu? | 3 | that process includes the manufacturer submitting |
| 4 | MR. CHU: Your Honor, we don't have an | 4 | their information to EPA to identify them as a |
| 5 | objection to this document coming in, but as far as | 5 | manufacturer. That's the fundamental aspect of the |
| 6 | the truth of the matters asserted in the document we | 6 | process to protect the confidential information that a |
| 7 | would object to that. | 7 | manufacturer may share with EPA. |
| 8 | JUDGE BIRO: It's a hearsay objection. I'll | 8 | Part of that process is obtaining a |
| 9 | take it as your objection going to the weight to be | 9 | manufacturer code and identify through the code in |
| 10 | given to the document and to statements made therein, | 10 | their process who can speak for the company, and so |
| 11 | but I'll admit it. | 11 | that includes the company approving official identify |
| 12 | MR. KLEPP: Thank you, Your Honor. | 12 | who can receive confidential business information from |
| 13 | (The document referred to was | 13 | the company as a part of that registration process. |
| 14 | marked for identification as | 14 | Again, we transfer information to the |
| 15 | Complainant's Exhibit No. 12 | 15 | company about their applications through what's called |
| 16 | and was received into | 16 | the CDX System. We store the information in a secure |
| 17 | evidence.) | 17 | system, or verify EBCIS system, and we transfer that |
| 18 | MR. KLEPP: Also, with Your Honor's | 18 | information through the CDX System, which is the |
| 19 | permission I would like to turn Mr. Jackson's | 19 | central data exchange. We want to ensure that the |
| 20 | attention to what is marked within the document EPA | 20 | person receiving that information has been identified |
| 21 | 000367, and what you're see there is a series of steps | 21 | by the company as the appropriate recipient so that we |
| 22 | that really describe the program major steps and how | 22 | don't share inappropriate information with outside |
| 23 | it works. With Your Honor's permission, I would like | 23 | parties. |
| 24 | for Mr. Jackson to be able to maybe stand up from the | 24 | Step No. 2 -- |
| 25 | witness box and speak from the slide and inform | 25 | MR. CHU: Your Honor. |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | THE WITNESS: -- the manufacturer - | 1 | BY MR. KLEPP: |
| 2 | JUDGE BIRO: Wait one second, Mr. Jackson. | 2 | Q Next, after the engine family phase would |
| 3 | MR. CHU: Yes, we would object that the | 3 | you describe what comes next? |
| 4 | response is non-responsive and that the question calls | 4 | A Step 3, the manufacturer conducts emissions |
| 5 | for a narrative. It appears that the witness is | 5 | testing to demonstrate compliance, so the manufacturer |
| 6 | talking about whatever is coming to him rather than | 6 | either at their own facility or at a contract facility |
| 7 | necessarily answering the question; because he's | 7 | will conduct emissions testing consistent with the |
| 8 | describing things that I don't believe necessarily are | 8 | regulatory requirements to demonstrate their products |
| 9 | responsive to the question. | 9 | are compliant with the standards. |
| 10 | I don't know the format, whether this Court | 10 | Q And then following the emissions testing |
| 11 | would allow the continued narrations or is it going to | 11 | phase would you describe phase -- or Step No. 4? |
| 12 | be a question and answer format. | 12 | A Step No. 4 is the opportunity for the |
| 13 | JUDGE BIRO: Well, it depends on what the | 13 | manufacturer to prepare their application for |
| 14 | question is. We don't usually allow narrative | 14 | submission to the Agency. Again, in part of the |
| 15 | answers, but if the question calls for a narrative | 15 | preparation process they may want to come in and meet |
| 16 | answer then that's what you get. | 16 | with EPA and to describe their design and what they |
| 17 | MR. CHU: Yes, Your Honor. | 17 | intend to do in advance to explain how they -- how |
| 18 | JUDGE BIRO: So, overruled. Go ahead to the | 18 | they've calibrated their product, to describe |
| 19 | next question. | 19 | auxiliary emissions control devices they may have in |
| 20 | THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. Oh, I'm | 20 | place. That's their opportunity to do that prior to |
| 21 | sorry. You said the next question? Do you want me to | 21 | submitting, formally submitting their application. |
| 22 | continue? | 22 | Q And then the following step would you |
| 23 | JUDGE BIRO: Would you like to ask another | 23 | describe what is there? |
| 24 | question, Mr. Klepp? | 24 | A The manufacturer then needs to pay the |
| 25 | MR. KLEPP: Sure. Mr. Jackson -- if I may, | 25 | appropriate certification fees. This is a service the |
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| 1 | Your Honor. | 1 | Agency provides to the manufacturer and that fee must |
| 2 | BY MR. KLEPP: | 2 | be paid -- actually accrues to the Treasury. |
| 3 | Q Mr. Jackson, you've just explained the | 3 | Q The last pre-certification phase would you |
| 4 | registration process. Would you move down the | 4 | please describe that? |
| 5 | timeline a bit and explain to us about the group, the | 5 | A The manufacturer actually submits their |
| 6 | grouping and vehicle engine families in the pre- | 6 | application, their complete application to the Agency, |
| 7 | certification phase? | 7 | and in so doing the process, the formal process for |
| 8 | A Sure. So, the manufacturer in the pre- | 8 | review begins. |
| 9 | certification phase is responsible for grouping other | 9 | Q Now I believe you're about to move to the |
| 10 | engines and vehicles into engine families which | 10 | phase of the certification of conformity is issued. |
| 11 | characterize the specific engine characteristics that | 11 | Would you describe the step there? |
| 12 | most -- that identify the products as the most similar | 12 | A Sure, once you -- |
| 13 | so the manufacturers have to group products with the | 13 | MR. CHU: Objection, Your Honor, leading. |
| 14 | came catalysts, with the same combustion cycle, with | 14 | JUDGE BIRO: Overruled. Go ahead. |
| 15 | the same fuel, with the same general engine design | 15 | THE WITNESS: Once the manufacturer receives |
| 16 | into what's called the single-engine family. Those | 16 | the certificate of conformity, then they begin to |
| 17 | groupings are identified in Step No. 2. | 17 | build their products consistent with the certificate |
| 18 | And so I would just like to ask, just so I'm | 18 | of conformity that they receive. And so if a |
| 19 | clear, should I continue on or are you going to ask | 19 | manufacturer builds a product it should match that |
| 20 | questions each time? | 20 | certificate of conformity. It must be identical in |
| 21 | MR. KLEPP: I think, if I may, Your Honor, | 21 | all material respects. |
| 22 | can I intersperse with some questions to keep it | 22 | BY MR. KLEPP: |
| 23 | moving that way? | 23 | Q The next phase in the timeline, please, or |
| 24 | JUDGE BIRO: I think that would be good. | 24 | the major steps described. |
| 25 | MR. KLEPP: Okay, thank you. | 25 | A So, Step No. 8 is labeling each new engine |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | in a vehicle. The manufacturer in their application | 1 | that's my offer. |
| 2 | describes what the label looks like. That label | 2 | JUDGE BIRO: Mr. Chu. |
| 3 | provides several descriptors of that product, and that | 3 | MR. CHU: What's particularly happening? I |
| 4 | allows for the Agency and our colleagues in | 4 | heard -- |
| 5 | enforcement or elsewhere to be able to identify that | 5 | JUDGE BIRO: Claimant's Exhibits 013 to 017, |
| 6 | product in the marketplace to ensure that it is what | 6 | would you like him to lay a foundation for them or can |
| 7 | it's supposed to be, and that it's actually -- it's | 7 | we stipulate to their admission? |
| 8 | actually received the certificate of conformity. | 8 | MR. CHU: We would not have an issue on the |
| 9 | Q What might pertain to any amendments? Can | 9 | admissibility. Again, the weights, we have an issue |
| 10 | you describe that, please? | 10 | with, Your Honor. |
| 11 | A Sure. So, Step 9 specifically focuses on if | 11 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. So we will admit without |
| 12 | a manufacturer wants to submit what we sometimes call | 12 | objection CX013 to 017. |
| 13 | a running change or add a model to an engine family | 13 | MR. KLEPP: Thank you, Your Honor. |
| 14 | they can submit those amendments to the application | 14 | (The documents referred to |
| 15 | after it's been -- after it's already been submitted. | 15 | were marked for |
| 16 | Q And following that, the reporting | 16 | identification as |
| 17 | requirements, please. | 17 | Complainant's Exhibit Nos. |
| 18 | A Sure. So, at the end of the year, within | 18 | 013 to 017, inclusive, and |
| 19 | three months, and in some cases within nine months, | 19 | were received in evidence.) |
| 20 | manufacturers are required to submit reports to the | 20 | BY MR. KLEPP: |
| 21 | Agency regarding their performance over the previous | 21 | Q Mr. Jackson, if I could please ask you to |
| 22 | year, how that product has performed either in the | 22 | return to looking at CX012, specifically page 000369 . |
| 23 | real world, and the production volumes, et cetera, for | 23 | During your walk-through of the phase of |
| 24 | a given family. | 24 | description that you provided for grouping vehicles |
| 25 | If they've had defects that passes specific | 25 | into engine families, I'd ask for you to look on page |
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| 1 | thresholds, they will need to report that information | 1 | 000369 and see language there where it mentions Item 7 |
| 2 | to the Agency. | 2 | with regard to catalytic converters. |
| 3 | Q And lastly I believe pertains to warranty | 3 | Would you please read that out loud and then |
| 4 | service. Would you talk about that, please? | 4 | explain what those words on that page mean? |
| 5 | A Sure. So, manufacturers are required to | 5 | A "The number, location -- |
| 6 | track the warranty claims against their products, and | 6 | JUDGE BIRO: Wait one second. |
| 7 | again, once a threshold is past they may initiate | 7 | MR. CHU: I object. The document speaks for |
| 8 | recall on products if there is a certain number of | 8 | itself. |
| 9 | defects, and in fact if -- if, in fact, the | 9 | JUDGE BIRO: Overruled. Go ahead. We're |
| 10 | manufacturer chooses not to engage in a recall and the | 10 | looking at page 369. It's part of Complainant's |
| 11 | Agency believes that a recall is warranted the Agency | 11 | exhibit identified as 012. |
| 12 | may order a recall. | 12 | THE WITNESS: Item 7 specifically says, "The |
| 13 | MR. KLEPP: Thank you, and with the Court's | 13 | number, location, volume and composition of catalytic |
| 14 | permission may I ask Mr. Jackson to return to his | 14 | converters that specifically has reference to |
| 15 | seat? | 15 | providing the Agency with information about the design |
| 16 | (Pause.) | 16 | of the exhaust system as it relates to the catalysts |
| 17 | Your Honor, maybe a point of housekeeping | 17 | to provide information about the catalysts precious |
| 18 | and evidentiary is that there are a number of | 18 | metal content, the precision metal loading, also |
| 19 | guidances that are a part of our prehearing exchange | 19 | information about the location of the catalyst and |
| 20 | from CX012, which Mr. Jackson just spoke from, but | 20 | dimensions of the catalyst which anticipates velocity |
| 21 | CX013 through CX017 are also programmatic guidance. | 21 | concerns, et cetera." |
| 22 | They're in the prehearing exchange and I would seek to | 22 | BY MR. KLEPP: |
| 23 | admit them or offer them as evidence at this time. | 23 | Q Thank you. And also during your walk- |
| 24 | Counsel and I have had a discussion about | 24 | through you described the emissions testing phase. |
| 25 | these. I'm not sure if we have agreement there, but | 25 | Would you provide further description of that, please? |
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A Would I provide a description of the emissions testing phase by the manufacturer?

So, the manufacturer conducts testing at a low-hour test point and they continue to test at least four different test points over the course of the testing of the product, but they need to test the end of useful life to determine full useful life compliance of a product. Once they have determined full useful life compliance, they may from that develop what's called a deterioration factor for applicability to similar designs.

Q A deterioration factor, is that a regulatory term and, if so, could you please describe it?

A Sure. So, a deterioration factor is a ratio of end-of-life emissions performance to low-hour life emissions performance. It is an opportunity for the manufacturer to be able to demonstrate compliance with simply using this mathematical expression and in the case of a catalysts providing a multiplicative factor in combination with a low-hour test result to determine an end-of-life report, end-of-life result.

Q What are some of the rules, or not rules, but the methods regarding an appropriate deterioration factor?

A So, an appropriate deterioration factor must
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be developed on the product that is sufficiently similar, materially similar to the product for which it's being applied.

So, if a manufacturer develops a
deterioration factor with a given catalysts design, with a given combustion system design, with a given cylinder configuration, et cetera, we would expect that the DF would then be applied to similar products.

MR. CHU: Object, Your Honor. The question asked for rules. Objection, it's not responsive. He didn't specify his particular rule.

JUDGE BIRO: That was a description of the rule, I assume, but, okay, sustain. Ask the question. BY MR. KLEPP:
Q Okay, Mr. Jackson, would you please describe deterioration factor and the methods used to employ an appropriate deterioration factor?

A Sure.
MR. CHU: Objection. That's a compound question.

JUDGE BIRO: It is. Sustained.
MR. KLEPP: Okay, thank you.
BY MR. KLEPP:
Q Would you please, Mr. Jackson, describe deterioration factor?
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A Deterioration factor is a mathematical expression relating the end-of-life emissions performance to the low-hour emissions performance.

Q And what are the methods used to appropriately apply deterioration factor to a particular test?

A So a manufacturer develops a deterioration factor by testing a product at low hour and at test points throughout its useful life and extending to the end of its useful life, and then develops that ratio, if it's a catalyzed product, rationing the NW select performance, the LOR performance, and they may apply that to other products that are sufficiently similar.

Q You mention useful life. What's the importance of that term?

A The regulatory useful life is the period of time over which that product must be compliant with the emission standards.

Q And where is that in the regulations, in the legal authority?

A That's in both the statute as well as the regulations 40 C.F.R. 1051, and 40 C.F.R. Part 86.

Q Drawing your attention back to this document, CX012, this guidance document, page in particular 000373, and the third paragraph on that

Page 64
page.
MR. CHU: Object, Your Honor. It's leading, the word "guidance document" is suggestive, and the document is what it is.

JUDGE BIRO: It's titled "Guidance".
MR. CHU: I understand.
JUDGE BIRO: Overruled.

## BY MR. KLEPP:

Q Again, Mr. Jackson, drawing your attention to page 373 and, in particular, the description there about -- under the heading "Application Format", can you describe application format as it's written here and what its purpose is?

A So, the application format provides information to the manufacturer on what ought to be included and how that information ought to be provided to the Agency for the certification application, and it also includes ensuring that the application is accurate and complete.

Q In the second paragraph within that heading and within that section there's some words to the effect of the application package is the primary information source of the engine family you intend to certify. Can you please explain what the meaning of that passage is?

|  | Page 65 |  | Page 67 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A So, the Agency relies on the information | 1 | manufacturer -- it wasn't clear to us what level of |
| 2 | provided by the manufacturer to assess whether or not | 2 | detail quality review actually happened. There may |
| 3 | the technology that the manufacturer has chosen will | 3 | have been some that happened periodically, but we did |
| 4 | be compliant over the course of the useful life of a | 4 | not get the impression that it was a quarterly review |
| 5 | given product. That is the basis by which you make | 5 | process. |
| 6 | decisions, one of the bases by which you make | 6 | Q I'd like to move your attention to Document |
| 7 | decisions on whether or not we ought to test the | 7 | No. CX013. What is the -- do you recognize -- |
| 8 | product and subsequently whether or not we ought to | 8 | A Did you say CX013? |
| 9 | issue a certificate of conformity. | 9 | Q Yes, I did. Thank you. |
| 10 | Q In the course of your position as director | 10 | A Okay. |
| 11 | of Gasoline Engine Compliance Center have you had | 11 | Q Do you recognize this document? |
| 12 | opportunities to observe various manufacturer | 12 | A Yes, I do. |
| 13 | operations? | 13 | Q What do you recognize it to be? |
| 14 | A Yes. | 14 | A The recommended application format for |
| 15 | Q And another aspect of your walk-through had | 15 | certification of highway motorcycles. |
| 16 | to do with the production phase and producing vehicles | 16 | Q Is this a document, a guidance issued by the |
| 17 | that -- can you describe -- withdrawn. Sorry. | 17 | Office of Transportation and Air Quality? |
| 18 | What steps have you seen manufacturers take | 18 | A Yes. |
| 19 | to ensure compliance of produced vehicles? | 19 | Q Drawing specifically your attention to page |
| 20 | A Manufacturers typically undertake quality | 20 | 393, longer -- 000393, specifically under the heading |
| 21 | control processes, including utilizing quality control | 21 | "For Exhaust Emission Control" and "Information to be |
| 22 | methods like ISO 9000 to ensure production | 22 | Included" and "A list that follows". |
| 23 | consistency. They implement those strategies both | 23 | Would you please state what the purpose of |
| 24 | internally and externally with their supplier base. | 24 | these words on this page is? |
| 25 | They utilize those strategies with regular evaluation | 25 | A The purposes of the words on this page are |
|  | Page 66 |  | Page 68 |
| 1 | of the products that they are building, evaluation of | 1 | to ensure the manufacturer provides information to the |
| 2 | the products they are supplied by their Tier 1 and | 2 | Agency that clearly describe the catalyst being used, |
| 3 | Tier 2 suppliers both with in-house testing and | 3 | the fuel system being used, and other engine design |
| 4 | testing at the supplier's facility to ensure those | 4 | parameters of emissions control strategies being |
| 5 | product meet the standards that they've set for them. | 5 | employed by the manufacturer. We specifically request |
| 6 | Q Is there any frequency with which | 6 | at the outset a detailed description of the catalytic |
| 7 | manufacturers engage in that kind of assuring meeting | 7 | converters, the type, number, location, arrangement, |
| 8 | specifications? | 8 | volume, and composition of the catalytic converters. |
| 9 | A Sure. I think there's generally -- there | 9 | Q Thank you, Mr. Jackson. If I might step |
| 10 | can be a range of frequency. I think, for some of the | 10 | back a step. Was this guidance available to the |
| 11 | larger manufacturers it's typically on a quarterly | 11 | regulated community? |
| 12 | basis. They will evaluate the products being provided | 12 | A Yes, it is available on our website. |
| 13 | by suppliers. Some manufacturers perhaps in an | 13 | Q And was it available during the period of |
| 14 | interest to save money may do that less frequently. | 14 | time 2012 to 2016? |
| 15 | Q Have you had an opportunity to meet or | 15 | A Yes. |
| 16 | observe with respect to Respondents here, Taotao USA, | 16 | Q Also, CX012, the document you were looking |
| 17 | Taotao manufacturers, and their efforts to ensure | 17 | at earlier, was it available to manufacturers in the |
| 18 | production is meeting specifications? | 18 | regulated community? |
| 19 | A So, we had an opportunity to meet with the | 19 | A Yes. |
| 20 | manufacturer, the OEMs of the products in China in May | 20 | Q And was it available -- |
| 21 | of this year. | 21 | MR. CHU: I have an objection. Calls for |
| 22 | Q And what were your observations with respect | 22 | speculation -- |
| 23 | to their ability, or to their efforts to ensure | 23 | JUDGE BIRO: He's not speculating. |
| 24 | production line meeting specifications? | 24 | MR. CHU: -- that it was available. |
| 25 | A So, our observations were that that | 25 | JUDGE BIRO: He said it was available. |

## Page 69

MR. CHU: For the manufacturers.
JUDGE BIRO: For the manufacturers on the website in 2012. Is that your testimony, Mr. Jackson?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
MR. KLEPP: Thank you, and we're going to go through -- never mind. Withdrawn.

BY MR. KLEPP:
Q Staying on CX013, page -- never mind. I would like to move you along to CX014. Do you recognize this document?

A Yes.
Q And what do you recognize it to be?
A Specifically, the on-highway motorcycle certification review sheet.

MR. KLEPP: Excuse me, Your Honor. I have a document control --
(Pause.)
BY MR. KLEPP:
Q What is the purpose of this particular document?

A It is intended to be an aid to manufacturers so that they can check and ensure their applications are complete prior to submission.

Q And where was it published during the period of time --
application, a description of the manufacturing and assembly process, agreements between manufacturers and importers that are relevant, and it also goes on to describe what needs to be included including a detailed description of the catalytic converter and emissions-related components.

Q There's a lot of words on this page, Mr. Jackson. Can you point to where or identify where the reference to catalytic converter is, please?

For the record can you please say out loud how many boxes down within that list there is?

A About six boxes down.
Q For the record I'm not sure you were talking on the mike, but under the application contains header there are a number of boxes. You said the sixth?

A It is the sixth box down under the heading "The Application Contains".

Q If I might draw your attention to Document CX015, do you recognize this document?

A Yes.
Q What do you recognize it to be?
A Major steps of certification and compliance, specifically as it relates to ATVs and off-highway motorcycles.

Q Is this a document published by the Office
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A It's available on our website.
Q I was going to get to the period of time of 2012 to 2016.

A Available to the manufacturers in the same format.

Q What was OTAQ, or Office of Transportation and Air Quality's purpose in issuing this review sheet?

A The purpose is to provide the manufacturers with a document that helps them complete applications in the way that are -- in a way that would be accurate and complete. The goal is just to provide assistance to the industry.

Q If I might move your attention down lower on this document to paragraph six. Oops, not quite. On the other side of the page if you would, page EPA 000400. Can you describe what is in Section 10 of this document?

A So, specifically it says in the application for certification that they need to submit completed applications to EPA, and it says "You can follow our recommended format", and it talks about submission of the electronic and paper copy documents, of what's called the Certificate Summary Information. It goes on to describe what needs to be included in the
of Transportation and Air Quality?
A Yes.
MR. CHU: Permission to voir dire, Your Honor.

JUDGE BIRO: I'm sorry?
MR. CHU: Permission to voir dire. The document says "draft" on it and he's saying this was the document that was actually published.

THE WITNESS: This is actually the draft document that was available on our website.

## JUDGE BIRO: It already --

MR. CHU: Well, I understand. I just -- not for purposes of the admission of the document; for the purposes of the idea that it was -- this document was published.

JUDGE BIRO: You can do it on crossexamination. Overruled.

MR. CHU: Yes, Your Honor.
MR. KLEPP: Thank you, Your Honor.

## BY MR. KLEPP:

Q For CX015, where was this available to manufacturers, vehicles and engines between the time period 2012-2016?

A Available on our website.
Q I would draw your attention specifically to
-
page 000405 . Do you recognize what's on that page?
A Yes.
Q And what is that?
A Step-by-step description of the major steps associated with certification and compliance.

Q Now, earlier, Mr. Jackson, you provided Your Honor and the rest of us with your walkthrough of the steps involved, the major steps involved in vehicle certification. That was in Document CX012. What did that process describe? For what kind of vehicles?

A That was a description for the certification and compliance process for highway motorcycles.

Q Here on this page what does this process describe, for what kind of vehicles?

A Similar process for ATVs and off-highway motorcycles.

Q So as not to belabor the testimony here and for efficiency purposes is there any substantive difference between what's on this page that you're looking at now and CX015, major steps?

A These are essentially the same. The only differences would be the applicable regulations, but these are essentially the same.

Q When you say "essentially the same" you mean between this document and the document you talked
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about earlier?
A Yes.
MR. CHU: Objection, calls for a legal
conclusion. I believe it's up to the Court to decide if they're the same or not.

JUDGE BIRO: Overruled. Go ahead. MR. KLEPP: Thank you, Your Honor. BY MR. KLEPP:
Q Mr. Jackson, in the course of the regulatory program does the Environmental Protection Agency set emission standards and limitations that apply to manufacturers of vehicles and engines?

A Yes, EPA promulgates the emissions limit values.

Q And then how do manufacturers of vehicles and engines go about meeting those standards?

A Manufacturers are free to design their products using the technology they deem appropriate and cost effective for their market. The Agency evaluates the decisions the manufacturers have made to determine if we believe that the technology that's utilized will meet the performance requirements the Agency has set.

Q Are these design specifications that you're describing now, are they regulatorily prescribed?

A The design specifications, in other words, what the manufacturer chooses to use, are not proscribed in the regulations. The regulations sets the performance limits.

Q And it's up to your office to review those design specifications?

A Yes, we review those design specifications to ensure that if we believe those design specifications will meet the standards over their useful life we can issue a certificate of conformity.

Q And in your experience and opinion is the fact that the design specifications are not regulatorily prescribed does that make them any less vital or important to the program and how it operates?

A No, those are actually critical to how our compliance program functions. It's important for us to know that the design specifications provided by the manufacturer are in fact consistent with the production specifications.

MR. CHU: Objection. Non-responsive. Calls for a yes or no.

JUDGE BIRO: Overruled.
MR. KLEPP: Thank you, Your Honor. BY MR. KLEPP:
Q Going back to what you described earlier,
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the tools of compliance that your office, the Gasoline Engine Compliance Center utilizes, how would confirmatory testing as a compliance tool be affected where the design information in the certificate of compliance application was wrong or incomplete?

A It would render our assessments inaccurate if in fact the design information did not match the production information. The Agency would be testing and making assessments based on a different product. We would have no way of knowing how that particular product would perform throughout its useful life. We perform confirmatory testing at a low-hour test point.

Q And then what you also described earlier in your compliance tools had to do with production vehicle testing.

A Yes.
Q How might that compliance tool be affected by wrong or misleading information in the certificate of conformity application?

A So --
MR. CHU: Objection. Calls for speculation, Your Honor. Permission to voir dire if he actually knows the process.

JUDGE BIRO: Overruled. I think it's within his expert opinion.

|  | Page 77 |  | Page 79 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | THE WITNESS: We perform production vehicle | 1 | continue? |
| 2 | testing again at a low-hour test point, and when we | 2 | MR. KLEPP: That would make sense. Thank |
| 3 | perform at a low-hour test point we would not have an | 3 | you, Your Honor. |
| 4 | ability to determine full useful life performance. | 4 | JUDGE BIRO: We will stand in recess for 15 |
| 5 | There would be irreparable harm, and the only way, if | 5 | minutes until 10:15. |
| 6 | we were to determine that their production vehicle | 6 | (Whereupon, a short recess was taken.) |
| 7 | somehow was different from the certification vehicle | 7 | JUDGE BIRO: Let's go back on the record and |
| 8 | it would required the Agency to test almost every | 8 | can you please read it to Mr. Chu? |
| 9 | production vehicles to ensure that it was compliant at | 9 | MR. CHU: Mr. Dixon, my legal assistant got |
| 10 | multiple points throughout its useful life. That's | 10 | out by -- he made it. |
| 11 | not practical from an Agency perspective nor is it | 11 | JUDGE BIRO: Mr. Dixon. |
| 12 | feasible for manufacturers, for the industry. | 12 | MR. DIXON: Good morning. |
| 13 | Q Another compliance tool that you described | 13 | JUDGE BIRO: Thank you for joining us. |
| 14 | earlier had to do with selective enforcement audits. | 14 | MR. DIXON: Thank you. |
| 15 | How might that compliance tool be affected by wrong | 15 | (Whereupon, the question was read back by |
| 16 | information, incomplete information in the certificate | 16 | the court reporter.) |
| 17 | of conformity application? | 17 | MR. CHU: I'm sorry. The last word? |
| 18 | A The selective enforcement audit is, again, a | 18 | THE COURT REPORTER: Vehicles, "to |
| 19 | product -- an opportunity or tool for the Agency to | 19 | accurately represent the produced vehicles". |
| 20 | test the productions at a low-hour test point directly | 20 | MR. CHU: Thank you, Your Honor. |
| 21 | off the production line, and so, again, if there's | 21 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Mr. Klepp. |
| 22 | wrong information in the certification application we | 22 | MR. KLEPP: Thank you. Just for |
| 23 | would not be able to determine full useful life | 23 | clarification, my memory is a little short. Did you |
| 24 | performance in compliance with the standard. | 24 | get a response to the question? |
| 25 | Q Mr. Jackson, another part of your walk- | 25 | THE COURT REPORTER: Yes. |
|  | Page 78 |  | Page 80 |
| 1 | through of the program and how it works, drawing your | 1 | MR. KLEPP: Thank you. |
| 2 | attention to the description you provided of emissions | 2 | BY MR. KLEPP: |
| 3 | testing, how would harm occur to the program if EPA, | 3 | Q Transitioning, Mr. Jackson, in your |
| 4 | your office, could not rely on the emissions data | 4 | experience as director of Gasoline Engine Compliance |
| 5 | vehicle to accurately represent the produced vehicles? | 5 | Center have you had opportunities to meet with vehicle |
| 6 | A Again, the harm to the program would be such | 6 | engine manufacturers? |
| 7 | that we would not be able to make a determination, an | 7 | A Yes. |
| 8 | accurate determination about full useful life | 8 | Q On approximately how many occasions has that |
| 9 | compliance. It would be a different product | 9 | happened? |
| 10 | altogether. | 10 | A I would say approximately 30 to 40 meetings, |
| 11 | MR. CHU: Your Honor, may I request counsel | 11 | maybe more. |
| 12 | speak into the mike because I couldn't tell the last | 12 | Q Over the course of these meetings have you |
| 13 | it was related or I couldn't tell what he was saying. | 13 | formed an impression of manufacturers' awareness of |
| 14 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay, would you like the | 14 | the regulatory requirements that they're responsible |
| 15 | question read back to you, Mr. Chu? | 15 | for? |
| 16 | MR. CHU: If the Court may, I'd like to hear | 16 | MR. CHU: Objection. Calls for speculation, |
| 17 | that question. | 17 | the awareness of these manufacturers. |
| 18 | JUDGE BIRO: Mr. Reporter, can you read it | 18 | JUDGE BIRO: Overruled. Go ahead. |
| 19 | back? | 19 | THE WITNESS: Yes, I have. Some |
| 20 | (Pause.) | 20 | manufacturers seem to take great care to develop, both |
| 21 | JUDGE BIRO: Mr. Klepp. | 21 | to develop in-house expertise, whether it's legal in- |
| 22 | MR. KLEPP: Yes. | 22 | house staff or engineering staff, to do in-depth |
| 23 | JUDGE BIRO: It's 10 o'clock. Would you | 23 | review of the regulations, to query the Agency when |
| 24 | like to take a few minutes break and when we come back | 24 | they have questions or concerns that demonstrate that |
| 25 | we can have the court reporter read the question and | 25 | they have spent time considering the regulations. |


|  | Page 81 |  | Page 83 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Some other manufacturers take a different | 1 | Q And what is the effect of oxides of nitrogen |
| 2 | approach. Some manufacturers choose to use external | 2 | on human health and the environment? |
| 3 | contract testing as an example or external counsel or | 3 | A Oxides of nitrogen have the -- |
| 4 | external consultants to support their efforts. | 4 | MR. CHU: Objection. He has not been |
| 5 | BY MR. KLEPP: | 5 | qualified as an expert in that area of health |
| 6 | Q Over this time where you've been the | 6 | consequences. |
| 7 | director of the Compliance Center have you had an | 7 | JUDGE BIRO: Well, first, he's already |
| 8 | opportunity to meet with representatives of the Taotao | 8 | testified to some of that earlier when you asked him |
| 9 | companies? | 9 | questions, so overruled. |
| 10 | A Yes. | 10 | THE WITNESS: Oxides of nitrogen have the |
| 11 | Q Approximately how many times has that | 11 | impact on human health of decreasing lung function. |
| 12 | happened? | 12 | Oxides of nitrogen are also, in combination with |
| 13 | A I believe we've had maybe two to three in- | 13 | unburned hydrocarbons and sunlight, a precursor to |
| 14 | person meetings. | 14 | ground-level ozone formation. |
| 15 | Q Over the course of those meetings and in | 15 | BY MR. KLEPP: |
| 16 | your duties as director have you formed an impression | 16 | Q Are there standards that EPA has set that |
| 17 | of the awareness of the Taotao companies in terms of | 17 | are part of the regulatory program that you're the |
| 18 | their -- withdrawn. | 18 | director of? |
| 19 | Over the course of this time have you formed | 19 | A Yes. |
| 20 | an impression of the awareness of the Taotao companies | 20 | Q Moving on, you also mentioned carbon |
| 21 | for their responsibilities under the regulations? | 21 | monoxide. Can you explain what that is? |
| 22 | MR. CHU: Objection. Calls for speculation. | 22 | A Sure. It is also a gas that is a result of |
| 23 | JUDGE BIRO: It's not speculation to give an | 23 | incomplete combustion. |
| 24 | estimate or an approximation or give his opinion based | 24 | Q And what is the effect of carbon monoxide on |
| 25 | on his own experiences. Please answer. Overruled. | 25 | human health and the environment? |
|  | Page 82 |  | Page 84 |
| 1 | THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. My impression is | 1 | A Sure. So, adversely impacts the human |
| 2 | based on statements made by the company to us by Mr. | 2 | body's ability to absorb oxygen which can result in |
| 3 | Matao Cao and by Mr. David Garibyan, that they weren't | 3 | asphyxiation with prolonged exposures; certainly |
| 4 | fully aware of some of the provisions and were asking | 4 | headaches, near-term exposure. |
| 5 | for our help in identifying the provisions or | 5 | Q Are there regulations that EPA set, |
| 6 | understanding the provisions. | 6 | regulations that -- excuse me. Withdrawn. |
| 7 | MR. CHU: Objection, non-responsive. His | 7 | Do the regulations that you direct as part |
| 8 | impression of their awareness. He's saying specific | 8 | of your program include standards for carbon monoxide? |
| 9 | facts rather than his awareness, or no, their | 9 | A Yes. |
| 10 | awareness of -- his impression of their awareness. If | 10 | Q And you also described unburned |
| 11 | the question is did you tell you something, relayed to | 11 | hydrocarbons. Can you explain what those are? |
| 12 | you something, but the question is his impression. He | 12 | A Unburned hydrocarbons are the result of |
| 13 | hasn't answered -- | 13 | incomplete combustion, particularly when the results |
| 14 | JUDGE BIRO: Overruled. I think he's giving | 14 | of combustion is such that fuel that entered the |
| 15 | the basis for his impression. | 15 | combustion chamber did not fully burn and result in |
| 16 | MR. CHU: Okay. | 16 | being exhausted through the exhaust port and out the |
| 17 | BY MR. KLEPP: | 17 | tailpipe. |
| 18 | Q Making a transition. What pollutants are | 18 | Q And what is the effect of unburned |
| 19 | regulated by your program under the Clean Air Act? | 19 | hydrocarbons on the environment or human health? |
| 20 | A Unburned hydrocarbons, carbon monoxide, | 20 | MR. CHU: I'm going to object again. He's |
| 21 | oxides of nitrogen. | 21 | not been qualified as an expert in that area. |
| 22 | Q What is oxides of nitrogen? | 22 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. I'll give you a standing |
| 23 | A Oxides of nitrogen as a result of complete | 23 | objection to these questions. Overruled. |
| 24 | combustion, NO, NO2 that result from internal | 24 | MR. CHU: Thank you. |
| 25 | combustion engine operation. | 25 | THE WITNESS: So, hydrocarbons have some |


|  | Page 85 |  | Page 87 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | carcinogenic effects, but in addition to that unburned | 1 | Q And is this a document that was issued by |
| 2 | hydrocarbons can also serve as a nucleation site for | 2 | the Office of Transportation and Air Quality? |
| 3 | particulate matter. | 3 | A Yes. |
| 4 | BY MR. KLEPP: | 4 | Q And is it in the ordinary course of Office |
| 5 | Q And in your program that regulations | 5 | of Transportation and Air Quality's business to issue |
| 6 | vehicles and engines are there standards that are set | 6 | document such as these? |
| 7 | for unburned hydrocarbons? | 7 | A Yes, it is. |
| 8 | A I'm sorry, I didn't hear your question. | 8 | Q Is it also the ordinary course of business |
| 9 | Q In the regulatory program that Gasoline | 9 | of the Office of Transportation and Air Quality to |
| 10 | Engine Compliance Center oversees compliance, are | 10 | maintain records such as this document? |
| 11 | there standards for unburned hydrocarbons? | 11 | A Yes, it is. |
| 12 | A Yes. | 12 | Q Does this document on its face to you appear |
| 13 | Q I'd like to transition and ask for your | 13 | complete and accurate? |
| 14 | attention to go to CX00046-- excuse me. That would | 14 | A Yes, it does. |
| 15 | be a page number. Exhibit No. CX046. | 15 | MR. KLEPP: Your Honor, I would offer CX046 |
| 16 | JUDGE BIRO: Mr. Klepp, what page is that | 16 | as evidence. |
| 17 | again? What page is that again? | 17 | MR. CHU: No objections, Your Honor. |
| 18 | MR. KLEPP: The page, Your Honor, is Exhibit | 18 | JUDGE BIRO: 046 is admitted into the |
| 19 | CX046 and it's a one-page exhibit. It's EPA 000643. | 19 | record. |
| 20 | JUDGE BIRO: Thank you. | 20 | (The document referred to was |
| 21 | BY MR. KLEPP: | 21 | marked for identification as |
| 22 | Q Mr. Jackson, do you recognize this document? | 22 | Complainant's Exhibit No. |
| 23 | A Yes. | 23 | 046, and was received in |
| 24 | Q And what is this document? | 24 | evidence.) |
| 25 | A This is a certificate of conformity issued | 25 | MR. KLEPP: Thank you, Your Honor. |
|  | Page 86 |  | Page 88 |
| 1 | to Taotao USA. | 1 | And on that note there are a number of |
| 2 | Q Have you seen this document before? | 2 | Certificates of Conformity. They start at CX043 and |
| 3 | A Yes. | 3 | they go through CX052, and I would ask if we could |
| 4 | Q Can you briefly describe it and what its | 4 | offer those as evidence at this time. |
| 5 | purpose is? | 5 | MR. CHU: No objections, Your Honor. |
| 6 | A This document is a license to produce | 6 | JUDGE BIRO: They Complainant's Exhibits 042 |
| 7 | products for sale in the United States of America. | 7 | through 052? |
| 8 | Specifically this document identifies the engine | 8 | MR. KLEPP: I might have misspoken, Your |
| 9 | family for which this permission has been granted. It | 9 | Honor. It's CX043 -- |
| 10 | identifies the applicable regulations associated with | 10 | JUDGE BIRO: Four-three. |
| 11 | both the exhaust and evaporative standards. It also | 11 | MR. KLEPP: -- through CX052. |
| 12 | identifies the vehicle category, fuel type, engine | 12 | MR. CHU: Just to clarify. I want to make |
| 13 | type as well as key emissions-related components, | 13 | sure since we're not looking at each one, that we're |
| 14 | including air injection and the presence of a | 14 | talking only about the certificate, which we've had |
| 15 | catalyst. | 15 | some issues on identifying documents. |
| 16 | Q Does this document have a date on it? | 16 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. So, why don't you look |
| 17 | A This document has an effective date of July | 17 | over the exhibits. They are 042 through 053 (sic). |
| 18 | 6,2011, and an expiration date of December 31, 2012. | 18 | MR. KLEPP: I'm checking, Your Honor. |
| 19 | Q And the engine family on this document can | 19 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay, take your time. |
| 20 | you refer to that and -- is there an engine family on | 20 | MR. KLEPP: Thank you. |
| 21 | this document? | 21 | (Pause.) |
| 22 | A Yes. | 22 | I may have misspoken twice. So, our |
| 23 | Q Is that an engine family that you're | 23 | intention is to offer into evidence CX043 through |
| 24 | familiar with from this case? | 24 | CX052, Your Honor. |
| 25 | A Yes. | 25 | MR. CHU: Again, for the record, we don't |


|  | Page 89 |  | Page 91 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | have any objections as long as those are the documents | 1 | with respect to engine models -- excuse me -- engine |
| 2 | represented to be certificates of conformity issued by | 2 | families and models? |
| 3 | the EPA. | 3 | A The certificate covers only those vehicles |
| 4 | JUDGE BIRO: I think that is, in fact, Mr. | 4 | which conform in all material respects to the design |
| 5 | Klepp's representation. | 5 | specifications that apply to those vehicles described |
| 6 | MR. KLEPP: It is, Your Honor. | 6 | in the documentation required by 40 C.F.R. Part 86, |
| 7 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay, so we've already admitted | 7 | and are produced during the model year production |
| 8 | 046. So, we'll admit without objection 043 to 045 and | 8 | period stated on the certificate, as defined in 40 |
| 9 | 047 to 052? | 9 | C.F.R. Part 86. |
| 10 | MR. KLEPP: I just got a little bit lost, | 10 | Q And up in the table of data towards the top |
| 11 | Your Honor. You stopped in the middle and I was | 11 | of this document can you describe the key emission |
| 12 | trying to go the whole span. | 12 | components that are identified there? |
| 13 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. | 13 | A Air injection catalysts, carburetor. |
| 14 | MR. KLEPP: Can you please repeat yourself? | 14 | Q And can you describe anywhere on this page |
| 15 | Thank you. | 15 | where it refers to the regulatory emission standards |
| 16 | JUDGE BIRO: Yes, to not be confusing, we're | 16 | that apply? |
| 17 | admitting at this point Complainant's Exhibit 042 | 17 | A So, the exhaust emission standards that are |
| 18 | through 052? No? | 18 | applicable for hydrocarbons. It lists one gram per |
| 19 | MR. CHU: I'm going to consult with my -- | 19 | kilometer; CO, 12 grams per kilometer; HC plus NOx not |
| 20 | JUDGE BIRO: I'm still not on the right -- | 20 | applicable. |
| 21 | MR. CHU: Yes, I believe 53. No, I'm sorry. | 21 | Q Can you describe anywhere on this page where |
| 22 | No, that's right. That's what I see. | 22 | it refers to the length or the duration or the useful |
| 23 | JUDGE BIRO: 043 to 052. | 23 | life that the standards apply? |
| 24 | MR. CHU: I'm checking. Yes, 52, and that's | 24 | A The full useful life, it references the EPA |
| 25 | the end. | 25 | minimum, EPA required minimum, and so for this |
|  | Page 90 |  | Page 92 |
| 1 | JUDGE BIRO: Are we all in agreement, | 1 | category, if it's 49 cc , it would be 6,000 kilometers. |
| 2 | Complainant's Exhibit 043? | 2 | The 6,000 kilometers is not listed. It simply |
| 3 | MR. KLEPP: Yes. | 3 | references the regulations. |
| 4 | (Laughter.) | 4 | Q And would you please describe down at the |
| 5 | MR. KLEPP: Through 052, if I may, Your | 5 | bottom the language that is more narrative on this |
| 6 | Honor. | 6 | page and the purpose of this language, some key |
| 7 | JUDGE BIRO: Tell me one more time. | 7 | provisions there and their purpose? |
| 8 | MR. KLEPP: I have it on highest authority | 8 | A The narrative language -- |
| 9 | at my table over here that we're seeking to introduce, | 9 | MR. CHU: Object, compound. I'm having |
| 10 | offer as evidence CX043 through CX052. | 10 | trouble following some objective compound questions. |
| 11 | MR. CHU: No objections. | 11 | JUDGE BIRO: Sustained. |
| 12 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Admitted into the | 12 | MR. KLEPP: Fair enough, Your Honor. Thank |
| 13 | records Complainant's Exhibits 043 to 052 . Yes? Okay. | 13 | you, Your Honor. |
| 14 | MR. KLEPP: Thank you. | 14 | BY MR. KLEPP: |
| 15 | (The documents referred to | 15 | Q On the bottom of the page is there anything |
| 16 | was marked for identification | 16 | that refers to any other document that is important |
| 17 | as Complainant's Exhibit Nos. | 17 | for understanding this certificate? |
| 18 | 043, 044, 045, 047, 048, 049, | 18 | A Sure. The last paragraph. |
| 19 | 050, 051 and 052, and were | 19 | Q And would you say what that is? |
| 20 | received in evidence.) | 20 | A It specifically says, "The certificate |
| 21 | BY MR. KLEPP: | 21 | covers only those vehicles which conform in all |
| 22 | Q So, Mr. Jackson, referring to CX046, which I | 22 | material respects to the design specifications that |
| 23 | believe you were looking at earlier. | 23 | apply to those vehicles described in the documentation |
| 24 | A Yes. | 24 | required by 40 C.F.R. Part 86 , and are produced during |
| 25 | Q Okay, would you please describe what it says | 25 | the model year production period stated on the |


|  | Page 93 |  | Page 95 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | certificate as defined in 40 C.F.R. Part 86." | 1 | MR. KLEPP: Thank you, Your Honor. May I |
| 2 | Q I would draw your attention to a different | 2 | proceed? |
| 3 | document, CX004. | 3 | JUDGE BIRO: Please proceed. |
| 4 | MR. KLEPP: Your Honor, if I may a | 4 | MR. KLEPP: Thank you. |
| 5 | procedural matter. This document and others that | 5 | BY MR. KLEPP: |
| 6 | might follow have been identified by Respondents as | 6 | Q I would like to track back, Mr. Jackson, |
| 7 | confidential business information, and I would seek | 7 | before I go where we're going next with respect to |
| 8 | guidance from Your Honor about how to proceed in | 8 | what Your Honor has just referred to. |
| 9 | testimony and use of these. | 9 | I asked you earlier if you had had an |
| 10 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Mr. Chu, do you continue | 10 | opportunity to meet with representatives of the Taotao |
| 11 | to assert confidential business information as to | 11 | USA and Taotao Group, JCXI, and their consultants. |
| 12 | these documents? | 12 | A Yes. |
| 13 | MR. CHU: To the extent he doesn't talk | 13 | Q And on approximately how many occasions did |
| 14 | about the design, I don't know if that's possible, I | 14 | that happen? |
| 15 | would be okay with him talk about the document without | 15 | A Two to three occasions. |
| 16 | everyone leaving the room. So, if he's going to talk | 16 | Q And the impressions that you said you formed |
| 17 | about how it's designed in terms of the design, then I | 17 | over those meetings and other interactions, what are |
| 18 | would continue -- | 18 | those impressions? |
| 19 | JUDGE BIRO: Design of the engine | 19 | A Again, the impressions I have is that, and |
| 20 | specifically? Is that what you -- | 20 | this is again based on statements from Mr. David |
| 21 | MR. CHU: The overall, the overall unit. | 21 | Garibyan and Mr. Matao Cao, that they were not as |
| 22 | I'm not sure how he's wanting to use this. | 22 | aware of the regulatory requirements as they felt they |
| 23 | JUDGE BIRO: So, can you modify your | 23 | should have been. And so my impression from that is |
| 24 | questions to accommodate that or should we close the | 24 | that they weren't engaged as maybe they could have |
| 25 | courtroom? | 25 | been. |
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| 1 | MR. KLEPP: Your Honor, I think it might be | 1 | Q What facts or interactions actually gave you |
| 2 | prudent to close the courtroom because I do want to do | 2 | that impression? |
| 3 | a fairly detailed walk-through of some of the things | 3 | A The nature of the questions that they tend |
| 4 | that counsel is referring to: the engine design, the | 4 | to ask in some instances seemed to be somewhat |
| 5 | pollution control, emissions design, et cetera. | 5 | superficial or seemed to indicate that they haven't |
| 6 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. So, we'll close the | 6 | actually looked at the regulations in some cases. |
| 7 | courtroom. Anybody who is not approved to hear CBI by | 7 | Q Can you give an example of that? |
| 8 | the Agency will have to leave, other than anybody from | 8 | A So, not off the cuff. I'd have to go back |
| 9 | your own team, obviously, Mr. Chu, you'd like to | 9 | and check my notes, but I do recall just sort of very |
| 10 | remain, and we'll mark the courtroom as closed. | 10 | basic questions about what ought to be included in the |
| 11 | So, let's take a five-minute break to | 11 | application types of questions, or when things were |
| 12 | accomplish that. | 12 | inaccurate in the applications they were surprised. |
| 13 | (Whereupon, a short recess was taken.) | 13 | I do remember one example. There was an |
| 14 | JUDGE BIRO: Gentlemen, ladies, are we ready | 14 | issue with maintenance and some of the maintenance |
| 15 | to proceed? | 15 | records, and they were unaware of the provisions in |
| 16 | MR. KLEPP: We are, Your Honor. | 16 | the regulations that address when maintenance can be |
| 17 | JUDGE BIRO: So, we've closed the courtroom, | 17 | performed on vehicles. |
| 18 | and the only people remaining, Mr. Chu, I assume are | 18 | Q In the interactions you've had with vehicle |
| 19 | people that you do not object -- | 19 | and engines manufacturers generally what's the range |
| 20 | MR. CHU: That's correct, Your Honor | 20 | of size from large to other sizes? |
| 21 | JUDGE BIRO: -- to being present. They are | 21 | A The manufacturers we deal with? We deal |
| 22 | either people with the Agency or have been certified | 22 | with some small, small volume manufacturers as well as |
| 23 | to hear CBI or our technician who we will take a | 23 | very large multinational manufacturers. |
| 24 | statement from to protect the CBI information that he | 24 | Q And do you have an impression as to how |
| 25 | might otherwise hear. | 25 | Taotao companies fit within this spectrum of sizes |

from large to small?
A Sure. So, for the classes of products in which they sell based on their production data provided to the Agency by the manufacturers, by the industry, Taotao in Class 1A is the number one manufacturer from a production volume perspective. Last year they are number one in Class 1B, and they're in the top five, I believe number four for ATVs and UTVs for production volume.

MR. CHU: I'll object as hearsay. I mean, that's not the best evidence. Two objections.

JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Best evidence is not an objection, it applies to documents, and it refers to copies. What were your other objection?

MR. CHU: Hearsay.
JUDGE BIRO: Hearsay.
MR. CHU: He's talking about out-of-court document for the truth of the matters asserted.

JUDGE BIRO: Yes, it is hearsay but it's admissible in this proceeding. Overruled.

BY MR. KLEPP:
Q Mr. Jackson, is there -- in your experience is there a correlation among vehicle and engine manufacturers between size and effort engaged to follow the program's requirements?

Some smaller manufacturers may choose -- may choose to have significantly smaller infrastructure or may not have the types of systems in place that some of the larger manufacturers may have.

BY MR. KLEPP:
Q Have you formed an impression as to the comparing Taotao as a large vehicle engine manufacturer to other large vehicle engine manufacturers?

MR. CHU: Your Honor, can I have a running objection, please?

JUDGE BIRO: Yes, you may.
MR. CHU: Thank you.
THE WITNESS: The impression that I have is that at least to date they had not spent as much effort as some of the other larger manufacturers or large manufacturers in developing some of those systems.

## BY MR. KLEPP:

Q On those occasions that you described where you met with Taotao representatives do you recall any particular names of individuals that you met with?

A Yes.
Q And who are they?
A We met with Mr. David Garibyan, Mr. Matao
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MR. CHU: Yes, I'm going to object to this line of questions. This is pure speculation. He's not qualified to render an opinion in that regard so it's pure speculation. One, he's not qualified as an expert to speculation.

JUDGE BIRO: What's the question again?
MR. KLEPP: May I please -- I'll try and reformulate it, Your Honor.

JUDGE BIRO: Okay.
BY MR. KLEPP:
Q Your impression, Mr. Jackson, have you formed an impression as to a correlation between size and effort to comply with the regulatory requirements of the program?

JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Objection overruled. Go ahead.

THE WITNESS: My impression is that some manufacturers that are larger tend to invest significant resources into ensuring compliance and to learning and understanding the regulations. They take time to meet with the Agency to engage in the process earlier in the process. They also have significant quality control processes in their -- in their design processes, in their warranty systems to ensure they're complying with the regulations.
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Cao and when we were in China we met with Mr. Matao
Cao's father and mother. I believe is father's name was Yuejin Cao.

Q Did they identify themselves as holding any particular office or position within these companies?

A Mr. Yuejin Cao said that he was the president of the entire operation and that his son, Mr. Matao Cao, I think he also goes by Terry, had responsibility for the U.S. importers.

Q Now I'd like -- I'm sorry. Now I'd like to draw your attention to CX046. Excuse me. I just misspoke. CX004.

Mr. Jackson, are you familiar with this document?

A Yes.
Q And what is it?
A This is an application for a certificate of conformity for Taotao, Taotao USA.

Q Are there other ways to identify this particular document?

A I'm sorry?
Q How else would you identify this particular document?

A So, this cover page is a letter from their certification consultant, Mr. James Xu, identifying

|  | Page 101 |  | Page 103 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | the engine family and the models that would be covered | 1 | fact that -- it identifies the engine family |
| 2 | by the certificate, if issued. | 2 | designation and the models covered within this |
| 3 | Q And on that cover page what is the engine | 3 | application. |
| 4 | family that's referred to? | 4 | Q Are you familiar with Mr. James Xu? |
| 5 | A The engine family designation on this page | 5 | A Mr. James Xu is the -- yes, I am. I believe |
| 6 | is CTAOC. $049 \mathrm{MC1}$. | 6 | he's the certification consultant for Taotao USA. |
| 7 | Q Now, earlier I asked you to look at CX046. | 7 | Q Is he a certification consultant on this |
| 8 | If you can recall was that the same engine family in | 8 | document and this engine family only as far as you |
| 9 | that document as this CX004? | 9 | know? |
| 10 | A Yes. | 10 | A No. As far as I know, he's a certification |
| 11 | Q While you were looking at CX046 you made a | 11 | consultant for multiple engine families from the |
| 12 | reference to some narrative that was a reference to | 12 | Taotao Group. |
| 13 | vehicles described in documentation required by 40 | 13 | Q Stepping back to the period of time from |
| 14 | C.F.R. Part 86. | 14 | 2012 through 2016, what is your knowledge of Mr. Xu's |
| 15 | A Yes. | 15 | relationship to Taotao USA, Taotao Group, JCXI. |
| 16 | Q Can you please identify whether this | 16 | MR. KLEPP: If I may refer to them as JCXI, |
| 17 | document, CX004, has any relation to that narrative | 17 | Your Honor. It's Junyun County Xiangyuan, |
| 18 | reference in CX046? | 18 | Incorporated, but I feel awkward always mispronouncing |
| 19 | MR. CHU: May it please the Court. Could we | 19 | a name that I don't know. If I might proceed to just |
| 20 | get a clarification as to what version, what year | 20 | refer to them as JCXI. |
| 21 | we're talking about of that particular C.F.R.? | 21 | JUDGE BIRO: Absolutely. |
| 22 | JUDGE BIRO: Do you have -- | 22 | MR. KLEPP: Thank you. |
| 23 | THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. You're asking me | 23 | BY MR. KLEPP: |
| 24 | what version of what? The version of the C.F.R.? | 24 | Q So, what is your awareness, familiarity or |
| 25 | What was the question? | 25 | knowledge of Mr. James Xu, consultant to Taotao USA, |
|  | Page 102 |  | Page 104 |
| 1 | JUDGE BIRO: For the particular certificate | 1 | Taotao Group, JCXI during that period 2012 to 2016? |
| 2 | of conformity what version of the C.F.R.? | 2 | A My understanding was he was a designated |
| 3 | THE WITNESS: The version of the C.F.R. that | 3 | primary contact for certification. He was the |
| 4 | was applicable in that model year. I'll have to look | 4 | individual that submitted their applications. |
| 5 | at the model year. | 5 | Q So, in terms of what you described before, |
| 6 | JUDGE BIRO: That model year. So, if it was | 6 | some large vehicle engine manufacturers having an in- |
| 7 | a 2012 model year would it be the C.F.R. that was | 7 | house capacity as opposed to some using a |
| 8 | applicable in 2012? | 8 | consultant -- |
| 9 | THE WITNESS: Yes. | 9 | A Yes. |
| 10 | JUDGE BIRO: Is that sufficient, Mr. Chu? | 10 | Q -- can you use this document and explain how |
| 11 | MR. CHU: Yes, Your Honor. That satisfies | 11 | this fits into that scenario? |
| 12 |  | 12 | A Sure. So, it appears based on the |
| 13 | BY MR. KLEPP: | 13 | submission that Mr. James Xu works with the |
| 14 | Q Explain, if you would, Mr. Jackson, the | 14 | manufacturer to prepare the application document and |
| 15 | relationship between CX046 and CX004. | 15 | submit it on their behalf. In some instances with |
| 16 | A CX004 is the application. This is the | 16 | larger manufacturers or other manufacturers I should |
| 17 | document that contains information describing the | 17 | say, they will prepare completely internally and so it |
| 18 | family for which the certificate of conformity was | 18 | will be in-house staff, engineers or whomever, who |
| 19 | issued. | 19 | prepare the documentation for submission to the |
| 20 | Q Mr. Jackson, I'd ask for you to please turn | 20 | Agency. In this case the company hired a consultant |
| 21 | to -- within Document No. CX004, the application, page | 21 | to help them with that process. |
| 22 | No. EPA 000118. Can you describe what you see on that | 22 | MR. CHU: Yes, I'm going to object. It's |
| 23 | page? | 23 | non-responsive. He asked specifically about three |
| 24 | A Yes. So, this is, again, a letter from Mr. | 24 | separate entities, and it's not a response as to which |
| 25 | James Xu to EPA, and specifically it identifies the | 25 | entity he's referring to. |

JUDGE BIRO: Sustained. Do you want to clarify?

MR. KLEPP: I do, Your Honor. Thank you. BY MR. KLEPP:
Q Taking it step by step what is the role of Taotao USA in this application?

A Taotao USA is identified as the certificate holder.

Q And as certificate holder what was the job that they, or what were they -- what's their role in this --

A So, the certificate holder --
Q Wait, wait. Let me ask you a halfway decent question, if you would.

As a certificate holder why would they be seeking a certificate?

A That allows them to introduce products into commerce in the United States.

Q And would they also be know as an importer?
A Importers can be certificate holders, yes.
Q Within this application can you identify any other Taotao companies and the roles that they would have?

A So, in the application documents it references Taotao USA, but it also references Taotao
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Group. It also references -- well, I guess in this application it also references the OEM as being Taotao Group Company Limited in Lishui, China.

Q Have you had an opportunity to visit a
facility associated with Taotao Group in China?
A Yes.
Q Are they a manufacturer of vehicles and engines in China?

A Yes.
Q If I could call your attention to page
000119 and 000120, can you describe this document and its purpose?

A So, again these are part of that document that describe who the original manufacturer is. It describes information about the engine family, statement of compliance, and on page 000120 it provides a description of the manufacturing process, a statement of identicality, and a statement of conformity, and then gives contact information for Mr. James Xu, Stanley Marketing and Consulting.

Q Is this letter signed?
A It is signed, yes.
Q And who would it be signed by?
A So, the printed date in English is Matao
Cao, President, Taotao USA.

Q Is there a date associated with --
MR. CHU: Objection. Not responsive after the name. He asked who signed. He didn't say what the capacity.

JUDGE BIRO: Matao Cao. Oh, as president, that's the part?

MR. CHU: Yes, Your Honor. The question was only who signed. He didn't ask a question about capacity. So, non-responsive.

JUDGE BIRO: Overruled. Go ahead.
THE WITNESS: Okay, so it's signed and the printed name in English is Matao Cao, President, Taotao USA, Incorporated.

## BY MR. KLEPP:

Q Now, is this letter part of the COC, certificate of conformity application?

A Yes.
Q And did your office, you, those that you direct, undertake to review this application?

A Yes.
Q And in the course of that review did you have an opportunity to review the language on these two pages, 000119-120?

A So, staff reviewed, yes.
Q And with respect to some of these -- I'm
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going to step through the paragraphs. Statement of identicality, would you please read that language and interpret what it means?

A Sure. "This letter will confirm that all our model year 2012 motorcycles are identical in all material respects to the motorcycles described in the application for certification," and that seems to be consistent with the language on the certificate of conformity saying that all the models for which this certificate is intended to be applicable are going to comply in all material respects.

Q The section right above that, the description of the manufacturing process, can you read and interpret what that paragraph means?

A "These motorcycles are assembled at the --"
JUDGE BIRO: No, no. We're not going to start reading whole paragraphs into the record.

MR. KLEPP: Just briefly and generally, if I may, Your Honor. Just the gist of what's in that section.

MR. CHU: Yes, may I have a running objection that the documents speak for themselves and I'm objecting to reading the documents.

JUDGE BIRO: Right, we're not going to read whole paragraphs into the record, or certainly not
whole documents, but a sentence here and there you can have an objection and we're going to overrule those objections and let it be read.

MR. KLEPP: Understood and I'll be very brief on this. Thank you.

THE WITNESS: I apologize if --
JUDGE BIRO: No, that's no problem, Mr. Jackson.

THE WITNESS: So, this paragraph basically says the product should be manufactured by Taotao Group in China, and that there will be no further modifications after they leave the factory.

BY MR. KLEPP:
Q Now, as you undertook or your staff undertook review of this application, this letter, this language, are there things here that you took at face value within the four corners of the document you didn't question?

A Yes, we take everything the manufacturer tells us as being what the manufacturer intends to tell us. We assume that it's accurate. We take it at face value.

MR. KLEPP: Your Honor, I think it's a
housekeeping point to raise at this time that there are a number of substantially the same documents from
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CX001 through CX010. I would say that they're all certificate of conformity application documents and I would ask for them to be, or I would offer them as evidence at this time.

JUDGE BIRO: Tell me the numbers again just to make sure.

MR. KLEPP: This is an easy one. CX001 through CX010.

JUDGE BIRO: Okay.
MR. CHU: Yes, we would not agree. We would request that he move up each of those documents.

JUDGE BIRO: You would object to each one of these applications?

MR. CHU: Yes, Your Honor.
JUDGE BIRO: Your own applications?
MR. CHU: Yes, Your Honor.
JUDGE BIRO: Okay, identify them for the record individually.

MR. KLEPP: I will, Your Honor, and I'm going to do that now and get it over with if I might. BY MR. KLEPP:
Q Mr. Jackson, would you please turn in the volume to CX002?

MR. CHU: Your Honor, my colleagues have told me that we had agreed somehow, so I'll stay with
that.
JUDGE BIRO: Okay.
MR. CHU: Yes, she reminded me.
JUDGE BIRO: That you had already stipulated to the admission of these documents?

MR. CHU: Right.
JUDGE BIRO: Okay. So, we will admit into the record without objection --

MR. CHU: And without reading everything in those 10 .

JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Complainant's Exhibits 001 through 010.

MR. KLEPP: Yes, Your Honor.
JUDGE BIRO: Okay.
(The documents referred to
were marked for
identification as
Complainant's Exhibit Nos. 1 through 10 , inclusive, were received in evidence.)
BY MR. KLEPP:
Q Mr. Jackson, within CX004 would you please turn to page 000123, and given what we'd like to do here, I think, is move through efficiently would you please describe what you see here and the key points
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on this page?
A Sure. This family is an engine of family description page that lists the models covered by the certificate and also provides information to describe the engine and exhaust control system associated with this family, including specifically the exhaust and emissions control system, the three-way catalyst and pulse air injection system. It also provide information, basic information about the operation of the engine.

Q I would ask for you to turn to page 000124 and describe the words on this page and what they are.

A This page describes the emissions data vehicle, the vehicle that was used to generate the data submitted to the Agency. And so specifically it again describes the configuration, meaning that this was intended to be a 49-state engine, meaning certified for sale in the 49 states versus having a car executive order as well. It also provides information about the mass of the vehicle.

Q Is there anything here that refers to the exhaust emission control system?

A It does. The exhaust emissions control system is identified as a three-way catalysts and also having pulse air injection.

|  | Page 113 |  | Page 115 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Q And I would ask for you to then turn to page | 1 | a look at the engine design and engine out emissions, |
| 2 | 000125 and looking there describe what's on that page. | 2 | and we take a look at the control strategy and the |
| 3 | A This is the vehicle control information | 3 | fueling strategy for the engine and compare that to |
| 4 | label and this is the label that is made available to | 4 | the catalyst. If we see something anomalous about the |
| 5 | the public on the vehicle and it says that this engine | 5 | catalyst that may cause us to be concerned potentially |
| 6 | conforms to EPA regulations applicable to the 2012 | 6 | issue a test order for that product. |
| 7 | model year, and then it also identifies the emissions, | 7 | As an example, this particular precious |
| 8 | the engine exhaust emission control as three-way | 8 | metal distribution is rhodium, palladium and platinum. |
| 9 | catalysts and pulse air injection. | 9 | If it only listed palladium, we might be concerned |
| 10 | Q Thank you, and I would ask for you to | 10 | about the performance of this product over full useful |
| 11 | take -- go a page further and take a look at page | 11 | life. If it only listed palladium, it could be |
| 12 | 000126. Would you describe what's on that page? | 12 | compliant with a low-hour test, but may not be |
| 13 | A This page describes the emissions control | 13 | compliant due to palladium poisoning over the full |
| 14 | system and auxiliary emissions control devices that | 14 | useful life. |
| 15 | this family may utilize. It describes, in fact, that | 15 | Q You described the review of this |
| 16 | it uses gravity-fed carburetor; that it has pulse air | 16 | application. Can you go a little further and talk |
| 17 | injection. It identifies the fact that it also has a | 17 | about what your staff, what you at times do to review |
| 18 | catalytic converter and provides information about the | 18 | an application? |
| 19 | precious metal composition, the ratios in loading. | 19 | MR. CHU: Your Honor, that question -- I |
| 20 | Q Would you refer to that specific active | 20 | object to being vague and I'm going to object that he |
| 21 | material portion of this page and tell what your | 21 | doesn't have personal knowledge of what the staff |
| 22 | understanding of that is? | 22 | actually did on this particular application. |
| 23 | A My understanding of which portion? The | 23 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. |
| 24 | catalytic converter? | 24 | MR. CHU: If he want the question asked in |
| 25 | Q I'm looking at the very bottom. | 25 | general what they do, but they're asking specifically. |
|  | Page 114 |  | Page 116 |
| 1 | A Okay. | 1 | JUDGE BIRO: He's the director. He's asking |
| 2 | Q And I'd ask for you to please also look at | 2 | generally what they do. Is that correct? |
| 3 | the very bottom when it comes to the catalytic | 3 | MR. KLEPP: It is, Your Honor. |
| 4 | converter description active material. | 4 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. |
| 5 | A Sure. The active material identifies | 5 | MR. CHU: That's okay. If that's the |
| 6 | platinum, palladium and rhodium as the active | 6 | question, I'm okay. |
| 7 | material. So, those are the precious metals. | 7 | THE WITNESS: So, the staff generally |
| 8 | Q Are they described in any relation to each | 8 | utilize a multi-tiered approach to review of the |
| 9 | other? | 9 | application. There is an initial review for |
| 10 | A They provide the ratios for those precious | 10 | completeness and general accuracy that's done. It's |
| 11 | metals, a ratio of two to 10 to one. | 11 | then passed along to an engineer on the team who |
| 12 | Q Is that in any way related to the term you | 12 | provides a more detailed review, technical review of |
| 13 | used earlier, three-way catalyst? | 13 | the application for the issues I just mentioned, and |
| 14 | A Yes, this is an indication that it uses | 14 | then from time to time I will spot check audit. I |
| 15 | those three precious metals to engage in the reaction | 15 | don't review every single application. There are |
| 16 | we discussed earlier, the reduction of NOx and the | 16 | 2,800 applications, so I don't review all of them each |
| 17 | oxidation of hydrocarbons and carbon monoxide. | 17 | year, but to the staff's credit they do review them |
| 18 | Q Now, you have reviewed several pages of very | 18 | and they provide that detailed review, and like I |
| 19 | detailed engine and emission control description. Can | 19 | said, I may spot check audits from time to time. |
| 20 | you tell us why the Gasoline Engine Compliance Center | 20 | BY MR. KLEPP: |
| 21 | needs all this information? | 21 | Q Are there certain assumptions that you and |
| 22 | A Sure. So, we assess whether or not we | 22 | your staff would make in the course of review of this |
| 23 | believe the engine as designed with the catalysts as | 23 | application? |
| 24 | described would actually be compliant over the full | 24 | A The fundamental assumption we make is that |
| 25 | useful life of the product. Specifically, if we take | 25 | the manufacturer is being honest with us about their |

design, about their testing, about the compliance of their test facilities, and about the fact that their production will match what they've told us in the application.

Q Earlier on you were looking at guidance that your office, that the Office of Transportation and Air Quality issued. Drawing your memory there, it was about application formation. I don't have the right word. CX013. Application, it begins with an F. Hold on one second.

Recommended application format. There was language there that talked about your application is the primary source of information. Can you make a connection between that guidance and that information to the public and what you're describing here?

A Sure. So, this is the information that the agency reviews to make a determination about how to proceed and what actions to take as it relates to a potential certificate of conformity.

Q I'd like for you to take a look within this document, CX004, take a look at page No. EPA 000136, I believe. Yes, 000136. And just thumbing through it and also looking at the pages in between from 000136 through 000150, those pages, can you describe what this document is?
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A This appears to be a final test report for the emissions data vehicle.

Q So, can you explain the relevance of this document? Is this a document within the COC application?

A This would be the information we would review when we're taking a look at the testing that was done on the EDB.

Q Is it typical that a test report would be part of the COC application?

A Yes.
Q And is there a date on this particular report?

A So, the date printed on the cover page says
September and October 2010.
Q And is there a vehicle identification number there?

A Yes.
Q I'm going to ask you to please read the last five digits of that vehicle identification number.

A zero-zero-nine-six-seven.
Q And please keep it in mind because I'm going to go back there later, if you would. Thank you. Also, within this final report, emissions document, would you turn to page 137,000137 ? At the
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understanding of the emissions at the specific points during the operation throughout the full useful life of the product, and so that identifies what test point this actually represents -- the final test result represents.

Q I'm trying to hone in on but what particular purpose would the test be for?

A So, for certification and once you've done a full useful life test you can determine a
deterioration factor from that, but this was before certification purposes.

Q That word again "deterioration factor", can you put that into what the test protocol here and how it works?

A Sure. So, again, the deterioration factor is a mathematical expression relating end of useful life performance to low-hour performance of the engine. And so this test report would provide information that could be used to develop that deterioration factor because the EDB was tested for the full useful life.

Q All right.
A And apparently all of the test points.
Q If I may ask this question with a layman's understanding? Is that to get to where you would know

|  | Page 121 |  | Page 123 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | the deteriorated emissions performance of the | 1 | regulations don't stipulate a specific year in which |
| 2 | particular vehicle? | 2 | that may happen. But as we review the results we may |
| 3 | A Yes. | 3 | make requests for additional data. |
| 4 | MR. CHU: Objection, leading, suggestive. | 4 | MR. CHU: Objection after yes. We're |
| 5 | He's asked a series of questions and now he wants the | 5 | getting about narratives and I will start objecting |
| 6 | answer and he's leading. | 6 | after he answers the question but adds non-responsive |
| 7 | MR. KLEPP: I'm just trying to move it | 7 | responses to it. So, I'm objecting after yes. |
| 8 | along, Your Honor. | 8 | JUDGE BIRO: Overruled. Go ahead. |
| 9 | JUDGE BIRO: Sustained. Go ahead. Try | 9 | MR. KLEPP: Thank you, Your Honor. |
| 10 | again. | 10 | BY MR. KLEPP: |
| 11 | MR. KLEPP: Okay. | 11 | Q I would ask, Mr. Jackson, would you please |
| 12 | BY MR. KLEPP: | 12 | turn your attention to what is marked Exhibit CX-one- |
| 13 | Q Mr. Jackson, would you please -- what's the | 13 | one-zero. |
| 14 | purpose of a deterioration factor in the test process? | 14 | JUDGE BIRO: This is Complainant's Exhibit |
| 15 | A The deterioration factor characterizes the | 15 | 110 ? |
| 16 | performance of the product over its full useful life | 16 | MR. KLEPP: At this time it's one-one-zero, |
| 17 | so that when the Agency conducts testing on a product, | 17 | Your Honor. |
| 18 | particularly at low-hour test points, it has a basis | 18 | JUDGE BIRO: Yes, why can't we call them |
| 19 | for determining full useful life performance without | 19 | 110 ? |
| 20 | testing that particular vehicle to full useful life. | 20 | MR. KLEPP: Okay. |
| 21 | So -- | 21 | (Laughter.) |
| 22 | Q Are there limitations on use of | 22 | JUDGE BIRO: That would be so much easier |
| 23 | deterioration factors? | 23 | for me if we could just do that. |
| 24 | MR. CHU: Objection. He has not been | 24 | MR. KLEPP: Your Honor, CX110. |
| 25 | qualified as an expert in that area in terms of -- | 25 | JUDGE BIRO: Thank you. |
|  | Page 122 |  | Page 124 |
| 1 | MR. KLEPP: May I try again? May I try | 1 | BY MR. KLEPP: |
| 2 | again, Your Honor? | 2 | Q Are you there, Mr. Jackson? |
| 3 | JUDGE BIRO: Sure. | 3 | A Yes, I am. |
| 4 | BY MR. KLEPP: | 4 | Q Thank you. Do you recognize this document? |
| 5 | Q Are there regulatory limitations on the use | 5 | A Yes, I do. |
| 6 | of deterioration factor? | 6 | Q And what do you recognize it to be? |
| 7 | A Sure. So, the deterioration factors are | 7 | A This appears to be a test report from |
| 8 | applicable for similar technology products. If a | 8 | California Environmental Engineering. |
| 9 | manufacturer wanted to apply a deterioration factor to | 9 | Q Does it have a date on it? |
| 10 | a product with a different catalyst as an example, or | 10 | A Yes, it does. It has a test date and it has |
| 11 | a different configuration, we wouldn't allow that type | 11 | what appears to be a signature date. |
| 12 | of carry across. | 12 | Q What is the date on the report? |
| 13 | Q All right, you're mentioning a term that -- | 13 | A The test date is July 18, 2014. The |
| 14 | nevermind. Strike that. | 14 | signature date is July 21, 2014. |
| 15 | What does the term "carryover" mean? | 15 | Q Oh, are we looking at the same document? |
| 16 | A Carryover is a term simply used to describe | 16 | A Document 110? |
| 17 | using the same emissions data for subsequent model | 17 | Q Yes. |
| 18 | years because the manufacturer has identified for the | 18 | A I believe we are. |
| 19 | Agency that the product has essentially not changed | 19 | Q Can you repeat the date you just said? |
| 20 | from one model year to the next. | 20 | A The test date says July 18, 2014, and -- |
| 21 | Q Are there limitations, regulatory | 21 | JUDGE BIRO: It does. |
| 22 | limitations on the use of carryover? | 22 | MR. KLEPP: It does? |
| 23 | A So, the administrator does not have to | 23 | THE WITNESS: -- the signature date -- |
| 24 | accept the data. In fact, major issue test orders | 24 | MR. KLEPP: Let me take a brief pause here. |
| 25 | request additional testing, and particularly the | 25 | Your Honor, I'm looking at what is our document CX110, |

page EPA 0001477.
JUDGE BIRO: In the middle of the page it says "Test Date 7-18-2014".

MR. KLEPP: Oh.
JUDGE BIRO: And the signature line says "7-21".

MR. KLEPP: I understand. At the bottom there's a signature and a date and that's where I was looking.

MR. CHU: My bad. And we would accept the two dates.

MR. KLEPP: Okay.
BY MR. KLEPP:
Q So, going back to everybody in the room who understands except me, going back to the date on this test date, would you please repeat that?

A The test date says July 18, 2014.
Q And then turning to the very next page, EPA
001478 , is there an engine family identified there?
A Yes.
Q And would you please refer to that engine family and its number?

A The engine family name or number is
CTAOC.049MC1.
Q And is there a vehicle identification number
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on this document?
A Yes.
Q Would you please read the last five digits
of this vehicle identification number?
A Zero-zero-zero-one.
Q Recalling what I asked you about the other test data --

A Yes.
Q -- as part of CX004, is this the same
vehicle identification number or different?
A This would be a different number.
Q Now I'd ask for you to also look -- oh, from the pictures here and what you know described in terms of the engine family, what kind of vehicle is being tested?

A This appears to be a Class 1A highway motorcycle.

Q And if you would please look at -- regulated under what part if it was a vehicle and engine highway motorcycle?

A 40 C.F.R. Part 86.
Q I would ask for you to draw your attention to EPA 001480, and underneath the heading "Test Procedures and Equipment" please read that first paragraph and identify, based on your knowledge of the
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this front part of this test report is that an engine family typically identified with a highway motorcycle or an ATV?

A A highway motorcycle.
Q Highway motorcycles under the regulations that you're familiar with, do they have -- what is the useful life distance for that vehicle?

A For this vehicle it would be 6,000
kilometers.
Q I'd ask for you to please turn to page
within this document 001482 . Looking there, what do you see on that page in terms of -- well, let me rephrase my question.

What's the purpose of this page?
A This page appears to provide the test results.

Q And those test results, can you read them and say what they are showing?

A Sure. So, the -- it provides the hydrocarbon, NOx, and CO emissions and it adds the $\mathrm{HC}+\mathrm{NOx}$ emissions. It provides the multiplicative deterioration factor as provided by Taotao, indicating that low-hour testing was done on this vehicle and the deterioration factor was applied. It then provides the full useful life emissions. What does seem a

|  | Page 129 |  | Page 131 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | little unusual -- | 1 | MR. KLEPP: Okay, thank you, Your Honor. |
| 2 | Q Before you go further I would ask you to | 2 | BY MR. KLEPP: |
| 3 | please take a look at page EPA 001488. | 3 | Q When it says "certification application", is |
| 4 | MR. CHU: I'm sorry. I didn't hear the last | 4 | there an engine family that's within this report that |
| 5 | number. | 5 | your knowledge of the regulatory program would help |
| 6 | JUDGE BIRO: Four-eight-eight. | 6 | you to know the meaning of these words? |
| 7 | MR. KLEPP: Yes, Your Honor. | 7 | A Yes. |
| 8 | MR. CHU: Thank you. | 8 | Q Can you say what that is? |
| 9 | BY MR. KLEPP: | 9 | A CTAOC. 049 MC 1. |
| 10 | Q Would you please talk about what is on this | 10 | Q In the course of your testimony today have |
| 11 | page? | 11 | you looked at the certificate of conformity |
| 12 | A This is the mileage accumulation $\log$ sheet. | 12 | application with respect to that particular engine |
| 13 | Q What does it provide in terms of the end of | 13 | family? |
| 14 | the mileage accumulation? | 14 | A Yes. |
| 15 | A It provides an odometer reading of what | 15 | Q And was that CX004? |
| 16 | appears to be 2,500 kilometers. | 16 | A The certificate was not CX -- the |
| 17 | Q Does that 2,500 kilometers, based on your | 17 | application was CX004. |
| 18 | knowledge of the regulatory program, signify anything? | 18 | Q Yes. If I said certificate, I meant to say |
| 19 | A That would seem to be a low-hour test point | 19 | certificate of conformity application. |
| 20 | for an ATV. | 20 | A Yes. |
| 21 | Q So, back to the page that you were looking | 21 | Q Okay. So, the vehicle tested in connection |
| 22 | at earlier, EPA 001482. | 22 | with the certificate of conformity application was the |
| 23 | A Yes. | 23 | same one as tested here or a different one? |
| 24 | Q With respect to what is said there in that | 24 | A It would appear to be a different vehicle, |
| 25 | single sentence or two sentences that describe the | 25 | but the same family. |
|  | Page 130 |  | Page 132 |
| 1 | test, the word "useful life", do you have any concerns | 1 | Q And appear to be based on the different |
| 2 | when you look at that and look at the rest of this | 2 | vehicle identification number? |
| 3 | test report? | 3 | A Yes. |
| 4 | A So, again, for useful life emissions | 4 | Q Appear to be also based on the different |
| 5 | information here is not based on actual testing to | 5 | times that the two tests were performed? |
| 6 | full useful life, but based on the application of a | 6 | A Yes. |
| 7 | deterioration factor. However, when I look at what | 7 | Q I'm back on page EPA 001482. In order for |
| 8 | appears to be the deterioration factor a | 8 | that piece of information about the multiplicative |
| 9 | multiplicative deterioration factor, at least my math | 9 | deterioration factors provided by Taotao to be |
| 10 | comes up with a different number than what is provided | 10 | reliable what would you need to know about the |
| 11 | in the table for the full useful life emissions. | 11 | reference to that certification application and the |
| 12 | Q Staying with the narrative that talks about | 12 | tests there? |
| 13 | the multiplicative deterioration factor, what does it | 13 | MR. CHU: Objection. I believe he's being |
| 14 | say as far as the source of that what I'm going to | 14 | asked a question that this engineer would be asked. |
| 15 | call DF? | 15 | As such, he's not qualified -- has not been qualified |
| 16 | A Provided by the manufacturer? | 16 | to answer that type of question. |
| 17 | Q I'd ask for you to look at the very, very | 17 | JUDGE BIRO: Overruled. Go ahead. |
| 18 | last page of this test report, and it's EPA 001495. | 18 | THE WITNESS: Your question was? I'm sorry. |
| 19 | To me what looks like an incomplete sentence there, | 19 | BY MR. KLEPP: |
| 20 | can you please read it and say what it means? | 20 | Q My question is as far as what you would |
| 21 | A "Deterioration factors page extracted from | 21 | undertake analyzing this test result and looking at |
| 22 | certification application for". So, what I would | 22 | this information, multiplicative deterioration factor. |
| 23 | assume is making a reference to is that -- | 23 | A Yes. |
| 24 | MR. CHU: Objection. Calls for speculation. | 24 | Q In order for you to feel comfortable that |
| 25 | JUDGE BIRO: Sustained. | 25 | it's reliable and it's referenced to the COC |

application what would you need to know?
A We would want to know that the engine that was used for this vehicle was the same, had the same control strategy, you would want to know that the exhaust system was the same, same catalyst, same precious metal loading, et cetera.

Q Speaking to the catalysts in this case, as you're aware the violations are that the production vehicles are different, catalytic converters are different than those certified and covered under the COC.

MR. CHU: Objection, Your Honor. I don't believe that's an accurate reflection of what the complaint states.

JUDGE BIRO: I think it is.
MR. CHU: That is different. I thought they used much stronger language.

JUDGE BIRO: Well, it might have used much stronger language.

MR. CHU: Yes.
JUDGE BIRO: But for this purposes --
MR. KLEPP: I'm not willing to use stronger language, Your Honor.

JUDGE BIRO: -- saying that they're different is the basis of the violation so I think

A The carburetor introduces the fuel-air mixture into the combustion chamber.

Q What is an adjustable parameter?
A It is an engine control strategy for an --
MR. CHU: I'm going to object to be irrelevant. In this case here, adjustable parameters that's not something that has been alleged. It was something that was done before.

JUDGE BIRO: It's relevant to the issue of penalty based on their claim of a prior history. So, overruled. Go ahead.

MR. KLEPP: Thank you, Your Honor.
Before I proceed there I would like to just step back and ask a couple of other catalytic converter questions of Mr. Jackson.

## BY MR. KLEPP:

Q You were talking a little bit about this difference between the COC application engine emissions data vehicle and the test here in CX110.
Can you talk about the difference between a three-way catalyst and a palladium-only catalyst and a concern, if any, there?

A Sure. So, again the concern would be, as alluded to earlier, a catalyst with rhodium, platinum and palladium, and we would assume if the -- based on
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it's sufficient.
MR. CHU: Yes, Your Honor.
JUDGE BIRO: Please proceed.
MR. KLEPP: Thank you.
BY MR. KLEPP:
Q Would a different, a vehicle equipped with a different catalytic converters than the vehicle tested here in CX110, could that vehicle provide an accurate reliable deterioration factor for purposes of this low-hour test?

MR. CHU: Your Honor, I object. Calls for speculation. He's not been qualified as an engineer expert that is qualified to do these kind of tests and knows what to do with these types of vehicles in those types of tests.

JUDGE BIRO: Overruled. Go ahead.
THE WITNESS: No.
BY MR. KLEPP:
Q And why not?
A If the product is different, if it's a different vehicle, different engine design, different catalyst design, we would not apply that deterioration factor to a different engine family.

Q Mr. Jackson, what is the function of a carburetor on a vehicle or an engine?
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the loading and the ratios that it has the ability to become compliant throughout its full useful life. A catalyst that is palladium only we would initially have some concerns about the durability -- I'm sorry, that was palladium only we would have concerns about its durability about its full useful life. A palladium-only catalyst could potentially have very similar results as a palladium, platinum, rhodium catalyst at low-hour test points. However, a palladium-only catalyst may be subject to poisoning at higher useful life, at higher engine hours, engine mileage.

Q A certificate of conformity application containing a test emission result like -- or with CX110 as part of it what would you do with that application in terms of making sure that what you were certifying was meeting the regulatory requirements?

MR. CHU: Yes, I'll object as being confused and vague. Furthermore, the use of the test that he's referring to, the CEE test, we had an agreement that we would not have the -- we would not call the expert to testify if they had no challenges to the emission test results.

Now what we've been hearing this morning challenging the test results and as such we called off
that expert because we told him that we needed that expert. Now a non-expert is challenging what he did in the situation, and I believe that emissions was not going to be an issue in terms of what we're doing in this case here because there is virtually no emission violation to support the case, plus this is what the DOJ was talking about as well in their letter of what this case was supposed to be about. It's about the regulatory scheme.

So, it appears that this is not relevant to the complaint and to the letter issued by the Department of Justice.

JUDGE BIRO: Okay, Mr. Klepp.
MR. KLEPP: Thank you, Your Honor. What we're talking about here with Mr. Jackson is the program and potential harm. What we've agreed to through courses of communication with counsel had to do with our penalty calculation. For purposes of penalty calculation, we modified for the Counts 1 through 8 from major to moderate. That's different. We gave some benefit to these test results over there for purposes of penalty calculation.

What is still an issue for penalty calculation purposes is just what Mr. Jackson is testifying to right now -- program harm. If things
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are getting by that aren't up to the regulatory requirements in terms of emissions test harm has occurred and that's what I'm asking from his testimony.

MR. CHU: May I respond, Your Honor?
JUDGE BIRO: I read the agreement in the letters from DOJ and they say that you are not going to seek any penalty based on actual emissions that go above the standard. So, that is not an issue in this proceeding. If it relates to program harm, that is something that you are allowed to seek.

Is your objection, Mr. Chu, to continuing to ask questions in regard to emissions that relate to program harm or are you complaining that you need the CEE witness to deal with the emissions issue?

MR. CHU: It appears if this Court allows this testimony to come in then, yes, Your Honor. They're talking about a test from an approved EPA entity which the EPA suggested that they go to, and now they're challenging it, and part of the test plan, which this Court is very familiar with, was that they would work with this low mileage test, low use, and now we're continually --

JUDGE BIRO: Low hour, is that what we're talking about?

MR. CHU: Right. It's a low usage, and so that was the agreed test plan of which the evidence will show my client spent over \$200,000 at their request to have those things done with this approved EPA contractor. So, now we're attacking this contractor, we're attacking the test plan, and I'm not sure if that's appropriate or relevant for the purpose of the complaint and subject to the DOJ's letter.

JUDGE BIRO: Okay. I don't know what you agreed to, but I think to the extent that it's relevant to harm to the program it's going to come in. If you feel you need to call and respond CEE you can call them in your case or on rebuttal. If you can't do that now because you somehow relied in an agreement with the Agency, I will give you a chance to call them at a later date.

MR. CHU: Thank you, Your Honor.
MR. KLEPP: Thank you, Your Honor.
JUDGE BIRO: Please proceed.
MR. KLEPP: May I please have the last question read back?
(Whereupon, the record was played back by the court reporter.)

BY MR. KLEPP:
Q Should I repeat it?

## Page 140

A So, if you're asking if we received this as the only test data for a product would we issue another test -- might issue a test order to evaluate the product ourselves.

Q I would like to then switch to where I was before, a carburetor as part of an engine design specification. What is its function?

A The carburetor introduces the fuel-air mixture into the combustion chamber.

Q What is an adjustable parameter?
A An adjustable parameter is an element of design, either mechanical or otherwise, that impacts the performance of the product such that the emissions may vary, depending on how that parameter is adjusted.

Q Is that something, a term -- adjustable parameter -- that your regulatory program utilizes?

A Yes.
Q And in what sense?
A We identify for manufacturers the fact that they need to identify in their application all adjustable parameters and the adjustable range within which those parameters may be moderated, modified, and
so we have communicated to the industry that the agency has the ability, and the regulations communicate the ability to test anywhere within the
adjustable range.
Q And why do you need them to test within the adjustable range?

A Because we want to be sure that given the emissions impact associated with how that particular parameter is adjusted that they are appropriately characterized in the worst case submissions.

Q May a carburetor have an adjustable parameter?

A Yes.
Q How would that work?
A So, things like the jetting or the fuel-air mixture screws, idle speed set screws could be consider adjustable parameters, depending on the range in which they set them.

Q And if a manufacturer submits a certificate of conformity application failing to identify an adjustable parameter carburetor what's the potential harm to the program in that situation?

A We would not necessarily be aware that there was the potential for emissions to be a lot higher than how they were characterized in the application if in fact it's adjustable outside the range for which the Agency is aware.

Q If that were to occur with respect to a
highway motorcycle what part of the regulation, what provisions under the regulations would be violated?

A So, if a manufacturer has an adjustable parameter that has not been identified, that in and of itself is a violation of 40 C.F.R. Part 86. In addition to that if that adjustable parameter is such that it is only activated outside of the test cycle, those kinds of adjustable parameters could be considered defeat devices.

Q If it were an ATV certificate of conformity application what provisions of the regulations would be affected?

A 40 C.F.R. 1051.
Q In the case here, the matter before the Court, your knowledge of the violations, on the highway motorcycle vehicles that were violated what part of the regulations were affected?

A For this case, as I understand it, the catalyst did not match the catalyst as described, so Part 86 would be applicable for the highway motorcycles.

Q With respect to the ATVs and off-highway motorcycles involved in this matter before the Court, what part of the regulations are violated?

A Part 1051.

MR. CHU: Your Honor, hearsay. They're trying to prove the truth of the matters asserted. This is not the best evidence as to any inaccuracies or missing or inappropriate catalyst and this testimony is being used to support test results of which are not in evidence and which have not been proven up, have not been qualified by a competent expert.

JUDGE BIRO: Overruled. Go ahead.
MR. KLEPP: Thank you.

## BY MR. KLEPP:

Q Switching topics, Mr. Jackson. In the year of 2017 did you have an opportunity to conduct a selective enforcement audit with respect to Taotao USA?

A Yes.
Q And did you also conduct a selective enforcement audit with respect to Taotao Group, Inc.?

A Yes.
Q And JCXI, as well?
A That was the manufacturer, the OEM identified, yes.

Q Where did that selective enforcement audit take place?

A So, the selection occurred in Lishui in
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China at their production facility.
Q Who attended from your Gasoline Engine Compliance Center at that selective enforcement audit?

A Cleophas Jackson, Emily Chen, Mike Delduca.
Q And when you were at the Lishui location who did you meet with with regard to Taotao USA?

A We met with Mr. David Garibyan, Mr. Matao
Cao or Terry Cao, and Mr. Yuejin Cao, as well as Mr.
Terry Cao's mother, and then other staff members. I don't have all of their names, engineering staff and others.

Q I'd like to ask this question. When you were at that location who did you meet with with respect to the Taotao Group?

A Those same names I just mentioned.
Q Did your selective enforcement audit have a protocol that you were seeking to implement?

A So, consistent with the regulatory
provisions we were -- 40 C.F.R. 1051 and C.F.R. 1068 we were selecting products for testing, so we selected products from the production line. We provided serial numbers to the manufacturer, and we selected based on that.

MR. CHU: I'll object to the relevance.
We're talking about something in 2017. This complaint
was filed in 2015, I believe, and, you know, we talked about adjustable parameters and harm, and again my objection was that it's -- the issue here is harm to the program, and not harm as to emissions. I want to make that clear. And so now we've gone, I believe, beyond by asking about a sub-audit in 2017 of entities in China.

JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Do you have a response?
MR. KLEPP: I think I'm on firm ground, Your Honor. It talks about the ability to pay issue. It also talks about the level of sophistication in terms of compliance with the regulations and potential program harm in that respect.

JUDGE BIRO: So, an audit conducted in 2017 regarding their manufacturing facilities is going to what exactly? It's going to --

MR. KLEPP: I think it --
JUDGE BIRO: -- program harm?
MR. KLEPP: It goes to program harm because he had an opportunity during that selective enforcement audit to -- I believe I'd be jumping the gun a little bit, but what I believe Mr. Jackson is going to say -- my offer of proof is when asked he had an opportunity to observe conditions on the factory floor. So, I think that goes to robustness in terms
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of --
JUDGE BIRO: That were the same as in 2012?
MR. KLEPP: I would -- no. Well, the
violations here span from 2012 through 2016. So, we're a year later than when it happened, and so that's one aspect.

But the other aspect is I wanted to get to a point where Mr. Jackson's testimony would be about the individuals and representations made to him about the related companies and how those representations lead to something in the line of the case law of In Re: New Waterbury related companies for ability to pay.

JUDGE BIRO: Okay. I'll overrule. Go ahead.

BY MR. KLEPP:
Q I'm going to seek just a clarification that the audit took place in 2017, is that correct, Mr. Jackson?

A Yes.
MR. KLEPP: Give me one second.
JUDGE BIRO: Yes.
(Pause.)
BY MR. KLEPP:
Q Approximately how long did you spend in Lishui conducting the selective enforcement audit?

A It was a full day audit, and we left late in the evening, maybe about eight -- 8:30.

Q Your purpose in going there was to select vehicles representing engine families?

MR. CHU: Objection. Leading, suggestive, Your Honor.

JUDGE BIRO: It's transitional.
MR. KLEPP: I'm sorry?
JUDGE BIRO: Overruled. Go ahead.
MR. KLEPP: Thank you.
THE WITNESS: Yes, we were going to select families for testing.

## BY MR. KLEPP:

Q How many engine families did you identify?
A There were three engine families selected, eight vehicles each initially.

MR. CHU: Your Honor, I'm going to object. I don't think I've seen these selective audit reports, one; which company they were at; which manufacturer; these types of things. I can't defend and the witness is talking about hearsay so I haven't seen these audits.

JUDGE BIRO: That would have been in discovery. If you asked for it you would have gotten it. I really -- I don't see what the argument could
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be now, so I'm going to overrule.
MR. KLEPP: May I proceed, Your Honor? BY MR. KLEPP:
Q Mr. Jackson, I would ask for you to please refer to CX191 in your books, Exhibit CX191.
(Pause.)
JUDGE BIRO: Mr. Klepp.
MR. KLEPP: Yes, you're there. Thank you. BY MR. KLEPP:
Q Mr. Jackson, do you recognize this document?
A Yes.
Q And what do you recognize it to be?
A The presentation, the slides presented to
EPA during our visit in Lishui, China.
Q Presented to EPA by whom?
A By the staff of Taotao, which included an engineer. She was identified as an engineer who worked for the company as well as Mr. Matao Cao, Mr. David Garibyan, Mr. Yuejin Cao who spoke during the course of this presentation.

Q I would ask --
MR. CHU: Your Honor, I'm going to object to hearsay. I'm going to object to the use of this particular document. It has not been properly authenticated, and either the document speaks for
$\qquad$
itself if it's being accepted, and I would object to this witness testifying about presentations as to this document and not identifying clearly who that particular person was communicating with him on behalf of -- it's non-responsive, it's vague in terms of -it's not a vague question. The response is nonresponsive because it's vague in terms of the answer. JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Hearsay is admissible.
He's trying to lay a foundation for the document.
He's asking the basic questions. Overruled.
MR. KLEPP: Thank you, Your Honor. BY MR. KLEPP:
Q Mr. Jackson, in the course of your conducting a selective enforcement audit, Gasoline Engine Compliance Center, is it the ordinary course of business to collect information during those audit procedures?

A Yes.
Q Is this document that you're looking at, CX191, the type of information that you would usually receive in the course of a selective enforcement audit?

A Yes.
Q Is it the ordinary course of Gasoline Engine
Compliance Center's business to maintain records of
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documents received during a selective enforcement audit?

A Yes.
Q Was this document, CX-191, kept as a record in the ordinary course of how the Gasoline Engine Compliance Center maintains its records?

A Yes.
MR. KLEPP: Your Honor, I would offer CX191 as evidence at this time.

MR. CHU: We object. The proper foundation has not been laid. The foundation as to whether or not this document was prepared at or about the time by someone with knowledge was not established. As such, it has not met the predicate to offer this as a business record as they have attempted to do. This is not a document that was created ordinary in the course of the EPA.

JUDGE BIRO: I don't know whether it was
Taotao's business record, but it was an EPA business record in the sense that he got it during his audit. It became an EPA business record once they presented it to him.

MR. CHU: And there's a proper way to authenticate -- lay the foundation in that situation. We're objecting that a foundation has not been laid
for that.
JUDGE BIRO: Mr. Jackson, are you familiar with this exhibit?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
JUDGE BIRO: Have you looked through it? Is it exactly what you received on the day that you did your inspection?

THE WITNESS: Yes. It does not appear to be different from what we saw when we were there in Lishui.

MR. CHU: I'm going to object. It's not responsive to the question. Was this the exact copy? He referred to something about similar to the presentation.

JUDGE BIRO: Is this the presentation that you received?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
JUDGE BIRO: Yes.
THE WITNESS: Yes.
JUDGE BIRO: And does this relate to Taotao's motorcycles, cars production at its facilities?

THE WITNESS: Yes, this relates to Taotao's production at their facility.

JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Admitted.
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MR. KLEPP: Thank you, Your Honor. (The document referred to was marked for identification as Complainant's Exhibit No. 191, and was received in evidence.)
MR. CHU: For the record I wanted to make it clear that we've got Taotao USA, which is one of the Respondents, an American U.S. company; Taotao Group; and then this other manufacturer, and I'm just objecting that we're lumping the individual Respondent companies together.

So, when asked is this something that Taotao gave you, how is that properly included as to all the Respondents without the proper foundation is what I'm objecting to, Your Honor, the way that it's being referenced.

JUDGE BIRO: Well, how can we differentiate when all the companies met with him together --

MR. CHU: And that's --
JUDGE BIRO: -- at the plant?
MR. CHU: And this is something on my crossexamination we will get into, but the idea of not having this witness identify that a representative is in a representative capacity in each entity and each
of the other entities because the evidence will show that he met other entities there. They're not being clear and responsive to the questions and they're just leaving it as one name.

JUDGE BIRO: Okay, Mr. Chu, on crossexamination you can clarify all those issues. Overruled.

MR. KLEPP: Thank you, Your Honor. BY MR. KLEPP:
Q So, on the cover of this page document do you see a reference to any of the Taotao entities as you understand them?

A On the cover page?
Q Yes.
A Yes, there's a reference to Taotao Group Company, Limited.

Q And then internally will you please look at the very next page, EPA 0002520? Do you also see a reference to any of the Taotao entities as you understand them?

A Again there's a reference to Taotao Group Company, Limited.

Q And going down two pages further I'd ask for you to please look at page 002522 . I'd ask for you to please describe what's on that page and your
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understanding.
A The page is titled "Taotao China and Taotao
USA", and seems to provide some description, flow
chart description of the structure of Taotao Group
Company and a relationship with other business
entities, Junyun County Xiangyuan Industry Company,
Limited, and Taotao USA.
Q During your time meeting with
representatives of Taotao USA, Taotao Group and JCXI
in Lishui did someone speak for --
MR. CHU: Objection. Assuming facts not in evidence.

JUDGE BIRO: Overruled.
BY MR. KLEPP:
Q Did someone speak from this page as part of that presentation that you described?

A Yes.
Q And when that presentation was being given
who was -- can you describe in a picture where you
were, who was with you, who was with folks related to
Taotao Group?
A We were in a conference room and again there
was an engineering staff person there, another
engineering staff present in the meeting, as well as
Mr. Matao Cao, Mr. David Garibyan, Mr. Yuejin Cao and

Mr. Matao Cao's -- Yuejin Cao and Mr. Matao Cao's mother were present.

Q And looking at page 002522, who was speaking while this page was in front of you, if you remember?

A Sure. So, it was a combination of
individuals speaking and describing the structure of the company.

Q Who were those individuals?
A Initially it was the engineer who was giving the presentation, and then Mr. Matao Cao and Mr. Yuejin Cao spoke.

Q What was the sum and substance of what they were saying to you at that time?

A They were saying that these companies were all related and that Mr. Yuejin Cao had the responsibility for the overall company, but that Mr. Matao Cao had specific responsibility for the U.S. entities.

Q From the conference room where did you go next?

A So, we then proceeded to the production
floor.
Q And production floor for what purpose?
A To begin the selection and audit process.
Q And can you say what happened next in terms
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of audit selection, vehicle selection, audit process?
A Sure. We provided a series of serial numbers and during the initial course of the presentation I believe they had some of those serial numbers pulled out of storage so that we could go and pick them from the boxes. Some of them had already been boxed.

And then subsequent to our identification of those products that had been previously pulled out we removed them from the boxes and marked and identified components so that we could be sure that nothing was changed prior to testing, and then subsequently later in the day we deleted two of the vehicles that had previously been selected and added two additional vehicles from the production line directly.

Q In that factory facility was there any observations made about production lines and vehicles and manufacturers of those vehicles and importers of those vehicles?

MR. CHU: Permission to voir dire. We've got two manufacturers and we're talking in generalities. I would ask that we at least have the witness identify, if he knows, which one of the Respondents' location he was at when he did what he's testifying to. It's unfair to --

JUDGE BIRO: I'll let you clarify on crossexamination.

MR. CHU: Thank you, Your Honor.
JUDGE BIRO: Go ahead, Mr. Jackson.
THE WITNESS: So, again, we issued test orders for Taotao USA and Tao Motors, and so we went to the production floor where those engine families that we identified were being produced, and made the selection based on that.

I don't speak or read Mandarin, or I think there's a local dialect in that area, I don't speak the local dialect either, and so I don't know if there were some other distinction identified on the production floor, but from what we could tell they were simply production lines for which these engine families were being produced, from which these engine families were being produced.

## BY MR. KLEPP:

Q Tracking back to what you described earlier in the conference room and the situation there, did anyone from the Respondents say anything to you concerning business plans and an initial public offering?

A They did. They indicated that they were planning to transition more to the use of Tao Motors
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in the United States and that they planned to build new facilities there in Lishui. They actually talked about the fact they -- they were pretty proud of the fact they had a new facility being built there in Lishui, and later in the day they took the opportunity to show us where that new facility was being built.

Q Mr. Jackson, please proceed. You described an opportunity to observe a new facility. Can you talk about that?

A Sure. So, what was communicated to us was that the facility would be bigger than their current production facility, and at least visibly, based on what we could see from what appeared to be the beginning of the foundation, it appeared like it would be bigger than their current facility.

Q Who was with you during those observations?
A Mr. Matao or Terry Cao, Mr. Yuejin Cao, and their mother, as well as Emily Chen and Mike Delduca.

MR. KLEPP: Your Honor, I'd like to --
THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. As well as our driver.

BY MR. KLEPP:
Q I'm sorry, Mr. Jackson.
A As well as the driver. I'm sorry. The driver, as well as the driver that had been provided
to us.
MR. KLEPP: Your Honor, I am practically complete with questions. I would like to track back to CX110, the emissions test there, and offer it at this time as evidence.

JUDGE BIRO: Okay.
MR. KLEPP: Did I say that right, 110.
JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Complainant's Exhibit
110 , it's the one we argued about the dates. Is there an objection?

MR. CHU: I stated in my earlier objection about the use of this test, that they are attempting to discredit this approved CEE company that's approved and suggested by the EPA. So, for those purposes we would object to its admission.

JUDGE BIRO: Okay.
MR. KLEPP: That it impeach their own contractor.

JUDGE BIRO: Okay. We're going to overrule the objection. We're going to admit the exhibit, Complainant's Exhibit 110.
//
//
(The document referred to was
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marked for identification as Complainant's Exhibit No.
110, and was received in evidence.)
MR. KLEPP: Thank you, Your Honor.
To follow on that point there are a number
of what I would represent as the same emissions tests conducted by the same lab facility, CEE, and they are in the prehearing exchange as documents CX099 through CX-122, and I would offer all of those as evidence on the same basis.

JUDGE BIRO: You have the same objection, Mr. Chu?

MR. CHU: Your Honor, I don't know what he's using those other tests for. I understand why he attached this one because he pointed out. So, this is not supposed to be an admission type of inquiry is my understanding. It's about the regulatory scheme and the harm to the regulatory scheme.

JUDGE BIRO: To the regulatory program.
MR. CHU: Right.
JUDGE BIRO: Right, I understand.
MR. CHU: And so I don't believe they're relevant in terms of describing what the Court has to decide in terms of amounts of fines under these
circumstances. It talks about the regulatory scheme.
I want to steer away from the DOJ issues and the more we have these emissions tests coming in it appears we're pushing in that direction that they've said we shouldn't be. So, that's what I'm saying.

JUDGE BIRO: Doesn't it go to the gravity of the violation, these tests?

MR. CHU: Then I believe we've stepped over the line if we go there.

JUDGE BIRO: Okay, what do you think, Mr. Klepp?

MR. KLEPP: Your Honor, on the same point that I made earlier that they all in some aspect represent -- they represent two things in this case. On the one hand it's how EPA calculated its gravity with respect to -- base gravity, whether we were minor, moderate, or major, and for the counts that they apply to, Counts 1 through 8, EPA in its discretion made a determination moderate.

Why? Because these low-hour tests gave us something. So, Ms. Isin will testify about what was going on in her calculation of the penalty in that respect. That's over there.

But what Mr. Jackson is testifying to all has to do with programmatic harm associated with
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getting documents such as these test reports.
Why do I say that? Because throughout the papers and the aggressive litigation that we've had back and forth it's been, I believe, Respondents' position that these tests are proof that Mr. Jackson's office would certify. They even put an addendum in. It's the last two exhibits in their RX prehearing exchange that, in essence, the gist of it is you should after the fact certify the vehicles in this case.

Why? Because we have the emission -- there were no excess emissions, and I'm trying to say they don't -- they don't pass the test. They don't pass the certification test.

MR. CHU: May I respond, Your Honor? JUDGE BIRO: Yes.

MR. CHU: Counsel wants all the CEE tests. There was a test plan and to test these at low milage and low use. That's what's involved in this complaint. What they want to do is use other test results as well and then step us over and pushing beyond that they were after emissions violations is where this is taking us, and, again, it's always been my understanding that if you don't have the -- this is evidence, according to their test, of no emission
violations basically.
And so they want to talk about potential harm. Well, you can't talk about potential harm if there are no emission violations, and they've not been allowed to allege emission violations because if they do we've got to go to the District Court to address those issues.

So, I'm at a little loss as to why we're being pulled in that direction, and now he wants these test results to come in and make the argument that there is a potential harm when in fact they authored this particular test plan or assisted in it, and as such they should not be able to argue that, particularly in light of the fact that the emissions testing that they wanted done show that there was no harm in this situation.

JUDGE BIRO: I understand the point that you're making and I agree that we are limited in to the types of damages that can be awarded here. But that seems to me a point to be made in the posthearing brief, to argue what are the limits to the damages that can be awarded, what can be the basis for the award of damages and what are the limits.

But as to these exhibits I don't see that they're not relevant or material in some way to the
issue of determining an appropriate penalty in this case.

MR. CHU: All I'm saying is that the tests that they're wanting to use are basically all tests that were performed. What I'm saying, if we're going to admit any test that they only be the ones that were the focus of this test plan at CEE with their suggested so-called testing agency that was approved by the EPA and was recommended by Ms. Isin.

I just want to stick with what the test plan had. It's like this. If they wanted it tested over the useful life they could have made that request. These would be vehicles that had not been used, okay, and that wasn't requested. They agreed to this test plan and now the argument is that testing plan which my client will show spent over $\$ 200,000$ to do because they asked that the --

JUDGE BIRO: But aren't these the tests that were done according to that plan?

MR. CHU: No, not all -- no, no, no. That's what I'm saying. He's offering and asking for exhibits and exhibit numbers, what is it? RX9-RX10 are not part of this.

MR. KLEPP: Your Honor, to be clear I didn't mention RX9 and RX10, and that's not what I was

|  | Page 165 |  | Page 167 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | referring to. | 1 | MR. KLEPP: Yes, Your Honor. |
| 2 | JUDGE BIRO: Aren't we talking about | 2 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. So, Mr. Jackson, please |
| 3 | Complainant's Exhibits 99 through 122? | 3 | don't discuss your testimony while we're on break |
| 4 | MR. KLEPP: Yes, Your Honor. | 4 | because Mr. Chu on cross is entitled to have you as if |
| 5 | MR. CHU: Again, Your Honor, I apologize. | 5 | we continued on just like now, okay? |
| 6 | The way that they're grouped it's hard for me to focus | 6 | THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. |
| 7 | on that as counsel was having difficulty as well. So, | 7 | MR. CHU: That also include the attorneys as |
| 8 | if he's not talking about RX9 and 10 -- | 8 | far as discussing? |
| 9 | JUDGE BIRO: No. He's just talking about | 9 | JUDGE BIRO: Right, but I assume that Mr. |
| 10 | Exhibits 99 through 122. | 10 | Jackson, the attorneys know what the rules are. |
| 11 | MR. CHU: Those that are covered in the | 11 | MR. CHU: Yes, Your Honor. |
| 12 | plan. | 12 | JUDGE BIRO: Tell Mr. Jackson because he may |
| 13 | JUDGE BIRO: Yes. | 13 | not know. |
| 14 | MR. CHU: Okay. Then I'll step back a | 14 | MR. CHU: Yes, Your Honor, I understand. |
| 15 | little bit on the objection, but I'll stand on the | 15 | Thank you. |
| 16 | rest of it. | 16 | JUDGE BIRO: We'll stand in recess. How |
| 17 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Well, then we're going | 17 | long do you think you'll need? |
| 18 | to overrule and admit Complaint's Exhibits 99 through | 18 | MR. CHU: For lunch? |
| 19 | 122. | 19 | JUDGE BIRO: Yes. |
| 20 | // | 20 | MR. CHU: Oh, an hour, Your Honor. |
| 21 | // | 21 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay, so we'll stand in recess |
| 22 | // | 22 | until 1:15. |
| 23 | // | 23 | MR. CHU: That would be fine. |
| 24 | // | 24 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. |
| 25 |  | 25 | MR. KLEPP: Thank you, Your Honor. |
|  | Page 166 |  | Page 168 |
| 1 | (The documents referred to | 1 | (Whereupon, at 12:15 p.m., the hearing in |
| 2 | were marked for | 2 | the above-entitled matter was recessed, to resume at |
| 3 | identification as | 3 | 1:15 p.m. this same day, Tuesday, October 17, 2017.) |
| 4 | Complainant's Exhibit Nos. 99 | 4 | // |
| 5 | through 109, inclusive, 111 | 5 | // |
| 6 | through 122, inclusive, and | 6 | // |
| 7 | were received in evidence.) | 7 | // |
| 8 | MR. KLEPP: Thank you, Your Honor. I | 8 | // |
| 9 | believe I have no further questions. May I just take | 9 | // |
| 10 | a moment on the side over here. | 10 | // |
| 11 | JUDGE BIRO: Of course, but I was thinking | 11 | // |
| 12 | that we could break for lunch. You could discuss if | 12 | // |
| 13 | you have any further questions, and then we could | 13 | // |
| 14 | either proceed with those questions or proceed with | 14 | // |
| 15 | Mr. Chu's cross-examination. Would that be | 15 | // |
| 16 | acceptable? | 16 | // |
| 17 | MR. CHU: I'm sorry, I didn't catch. We're | 17 | // |
| 18 | going to break for lunch and we're going to begin the | 18 | // |
| 19 | cross-examination? | 19 | // |
| 20 | JUDGE BIRO: Unless it turns out that there | 20 | // |
| 21 | are a few extra questions that you want to ask. | 21 | // |
| 22 | MR. CHU: Right. Okay. | 22 | // |
| 23 | JUDGE BIRO: Would that be acceptable? | 23 | // |
| 24 | MR. CHU: That's acceptable to me, Your | 24 | // |
| 25 | Honor. | 25 | // |

AFTERNOONSESSION
(1:16 p.m.)
JUDGE BIRO: Okay, Mr. Klepp, do you have any additional questions on direct?

MR. KLEPP: Not at this time, Your Honor.
We would rest.
JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Do you wish to conduct cross-examination?

MR. CHU: Yes, Your Honor.
JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Mr. Jackson.
Whereupon,
CLEOPHAS CAWTHORN JACKSON, JR.
having been previously duly sworn, was recalled as a witness herein and was examined and testified further as follows:

MR. CHU: Your Honor, I don't plan to go into any CBI at this -- right now, so if others wanted to come and watch I have no problem with that.

JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Is that going to be true for your whole cross?

MR. CHU: Right.
JUDGE BIRO: Okay. All right, so maybe you can take the signs back off. Thank you, Mr. Chu.

MR. CHU: Thank you, Your Honor.
We had a very nice walk over to the corner
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bakery. Took a cab back and got to see the Trump Hotel. My son asked me yesterday. He said, have you seen President Trump yet? Have you been to the White House? I said he's not ready for me.

JUDGE BIRO: Well, tomorrow if you want you can try the museum across the street where we went today and they have a cafeteria right in there. It's a little closer.

MR. CHU: So, someone said walk that way, and we walked that way, you know.

JUDGE BIRO: Right along this little strip of the mall there's not much.

MR. CHU: We learned a lesson.
JUDGE BIRO: Real estate is too valuable.
MR. CHU: We learned a lesson.
JUDGE BIRO: The Ronald Reagan Building right behind us have a large food court right on the cafeteria level.

MR. CHU: Thank you.
JUDGE BIRO: Sorry. I'm so sorry. I should have mentioned that before.

MR. CHU: No. I asked for directions, you know. Thank you. May it please the Court.
/"
/"

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. CHU:
Q Hello, Mr. Jackson.
A Hell, Mr. Chu.
Q It's been awhile, right?
A Sure, it's been a few weeks.
Q Do you remember promising me something at the deposition that you were going to check out?

A Do I remember promising you something that -- what was the last part?

Q You know, remember we examined the certificate of conformity form?

A Okay. I'll take your word for it. I'm not sure what you're referring to specifically, but okay.

Q Do you remember me showing you the C.F.R.s from the earlier years?

A I remember you showing me a printout with some documents on it that had the regulations, what appeared to be the regulations listed.

Q The reason I asked you these questions about the C.F.R. is because you testified under oath that part of what you do is to be very knowledgeable, and I use the word "very", with the C.F.R.s that are relevant to what you do, is that correct?

A I believe I testified to the fact that we
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use the C.F.R.s because those are the regulations that govern what we do.

Q So, when I say "very" am I mischaracterizing your familiarity with the C.F.R.s and the statutes?

A No, I'm familiar with the C.F.R. but I
choose to read the C.F.R. versus quoting a lot from memory. I choose to read what's there.

Q Okay. You talked a bit about design. Do you remember that?

A I do remember the discussion about design, yes.

Q Now, I take it in your mechanical engineering studies you didn't actually do design work, did you?

A I did have course work in mechanical engineering design, yes.

Q So, is that the same as like focusing on design work?

A Is having a course in mechanical engineering design the same as focusing on design work? I'm not sure I understand the question.

Q Well, just because a person has a course, basic chemistry or a class course in design work doesn't really make that person a chemist nor does it make that person a designer, a mechanical engineering
designer. Would you agree with that?
A I think that's an accurate statement.
Q Okay. You ever heard the term "Jack of all trades"?

A Yes, I have heard that term.
Q And so someone who has a little knowledge sometimes can be dangerous, would you agree?

A I would have to understand the context.
Q Okay. We talked initially during the voir dire about nitrates.

A Yes.
Q Okay. And you do understand that NOx is not really how you describe $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{X}$ representing a number. Do you know that?

A NOx is not how we described that?
Q Right. N-O.
A NOx is the way we've characterized NO2.
Q Right. But in reality the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{O}$ with the small $x$ on the bottom of the $O$ represents the number of oxygens, does it not?

A Yes.
Q So, when you describe that as NOx that's not really a true and accurate depiction or explanation of what N-O little $x$ on the bottom means. Would you agree?
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A No, I wouldn't agree. NOx is a reference, again, to $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{O}-2$.

Q And that's just something that's understood at the Agency, correct?

A It's been promulgated in the standards, so the regulations identify it as such.

Q Right, but it's described as N-O little x , right?

A It's described as N-O subscript $x$, yes.
Q Right. And it's just that some people have adopted the reading of that, or the spelling of it just call it NOx, right?

A I would assume so.
Q Is that where you got it from?
A So, again, when we speak N-O subscript $x$, we say NOx for shorthand.

Q I see. Okay. And that's something that you were taught in your undergraduate studies?

A Not in my undergraduate studies, no.
Q It was something you picked up with the EPA, correct?

A With EPA and in the combustion analysis class in my master's program.

Q Okay. When you talk of this combustion analysis class are you referring to the same one when
you got a little exposure to catalysts?
A I did have exposure to catalysts there, yes.
Q So, it's yes that it was when you got a little exposure to catalysts, right?

A I received exposure in that class, yes.
Q And so who is, in your department, that performs technical certifications related to catalysts? Who is your catalyst expert? Do you have a name of a person?

A So, we certify engines and vehicles. We don't certify catalysts.

Q Sir, who is your catalyst expert in your department?

A I don't know if we have someone we would call a catalyst expert. We have engineers who understand how the products work.

Q Such as yourself.
A I think several people understand how the products work. I would think I have an understanding of how the products work.

Q But earlier I believe you don't really understand the process in how these catalysts are manufactured. Is that accurate?

A I think what I said was I've never participated or observed the manufacturing process.
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That's what I had reference to.
Q I'm sorry.
A I can't -- I can't speak to the
manufacturing process beyond I know that the precious metals are added to the wash coat, and the wash coat is added to the substrate.

Q And just so every --
A I don't know the machinery if that's what you're asking me. I don't know the machinery as such.

Q I understand, but just so that everyone understands, the substrate -- can you describe the substrate for us?

A Sure. So, typically the substrate is composed of a ceramic material. It's a honeycomb structure. What else would you like to know?

Q I would like to know if your department is aware that the description --

A Oh, I'm sorry. There are also metal substrates. Forgive me. There are also metal substrates as well. Forgive me.

Q If I may finish. I just wanted to make sure that the people in your department that are under you, that you supervise, are aware that the substrates in the catalysts that's associated with these small engine family groups, okay, are more likely than not
made with metal.
A They are more likely than not made with metal. Okay?

Q They are more likely made with metal.
A Okay. I think I mentioned earlier that there are ceramic and metal substrates.

Q Do you know one way or the other?
A I'm sorry. Do I know one way or the other? What's the question?

Q Whether it's ceramic more or metals more in these types of vehicles.

A There aren't a lot of metal substrates, but I don't have the exact percentages so I couldn't tell you which is more.

Q Would that be a concern with your department about the so-called technology associated with catalysts?

A If it's a metal or a ceramic substrate?
Q Yes, sir.
A Is that what you're asking? Not necessarily.

Q So, would it be acceptable that the substrate material be interchangeable?

A Well, we would want to be sure that however the catalyst is described it is described accurately.
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So, if it's a different substrate material than what they've described for us that might be a concern. That might lead us to believe that there are other things that are different about the catalyst.

Q And right now I'm going to just stay with the metal versus ceramic. So, can you tell us, please, does anyone in your department know anything about the difference between a ceramic substrate honeycomb, and a metal substrate honeycomb?

A Yes.
Q Who would that person have been?
A I think we generally all have -- most of us have an understanding of the difference between metal and ceramic.

Q So, who would have the most familiarity with the function of a ceramic versus a metal substrate?

A I'm not sure I have the answer to that question.

Q Okay. So, next so that we can get a picture, normally these catalysts are cylindrical, would you agree?

A So, the brick, the metal brick, which can be rectangular or cylindrical, is fit inside of an oblong case, metal case.

Q So, how is that different from cylindrical?

A I'm just describing what the brick could look like. So, yes.

Q Something that's cylindrical --
A It could be oblong, but yes.
Q You've seen oblong cylindrical shapes, have you not?

A Yes.
Q Okay. Now, because we started this conversation about design, remember?

A I do remember you broaching that, yes.
Q And now you're speaking more words associated with design, correct?

A I suppose so.
Q Okay. And so you are also aware that catalysts can come in different shapes as well, not just cylindrical, right?

A Yes.
Q Okay. So, who in your department would know the effect of one shape versus another?

A I think we would all take a look at that and I don't know if -- again, if we can identify a specific individual who would be called catalyst experts, so I'm not sure I can answer that question.

Q Even though your department that you spot check in the performance of the responsibilities of
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the people under you they really have very little knowledge about catalysts, would you agree?

A No, I would not agree.
Q So, you believe that everyone is as versed as you are with the concept of a catalyst. Would you agree?

A So, I wouldn't be able to speak to if everyone is as versed as I am more or less. I think we all have good working knowledge of them.

Q And that's what you've explained and given us, that you have some working knowledge of catalysts, correct?

A That is correct.
Q Okay. Now, sometimes I like to have examples that make the facts a little more clear, okay? You heard me use the word "pancake mix" when we talked about the wash coat. Remember?

A Yes.
Q Okay. Now, is it your understanding that this mesh of metal that's in these catalysts they create surfaces?

A Yes.
Q And is it your understanding, and if it's
not just tell me, is it your understanding that the purposes of the honeycomb with the surface is to be
able to get some of this wash coat, which I call pancake mix, so that it sticks and dries onto the metal surfaces?

A Yes.
Q Okay. And you also testified that TWC represented that there would be three precious metals, is that correct?

A I testified that a TWC was a three-way catalyst. Usually that means to maintain it has three precious metals, but it refers to the three equations, three reactions that are happening.

Q I'm a little bit confused by that. Okay.
Do you or do you not define TWC catalysts to mean that there are at least three precious metals involved with that catalyst?

A I define TWC to mean that the reactions, the three reactions that are taking place in the catalyst, three main reactions taking place in the catalyst.

Q So, it doesn't matter what the catalytic material is within that catalyst. Is that what you're saying?

A That is not what I'm saying.
Q So again back to my question. If it's three does that three represent platinum, palladium and rhodium? Yes or no.
hydrocarbons and carbon monoxide.
Q Is there a differential in terms of which
one of those three that you just stated that they're more concerned with?

A That who's more concerned with?
Q The EPA.
A We are concerned that the design as a whole will become compliant throughout the useful life of the product.

Q So, it would be safe to say you really don't know which one of those pollutants are more the focus of the EPA's attention. Would that be accurate?

A No, that would not be accurate. I didn't say I didn't know. I said we are concerned with all three of those pollutants.

Q I understand that but is there one that you're more concerned with and why? And the reason I ask that you talked about health effects, you talked about effects to the environment. You remember that conversation?

A I do, yes.
Q So, since you talked about it I'm going to ask you about that. So, can you tell us which one of those are more harmful?

A I think they each have health effects
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associated with them that the Agency is concerned about. I don't feel like I'm in a position to indicate an Agency policy that contradicts the fact that we regulate all three of those.

Q The reason I ask that you have seen catalysts, have you not, that only contain one precious metal, rhodium?

A There are some catalysts that may only contain rhodium.

Q In fact, your department approves those catalysts, don't they?

A I think some have been approved.
Q That's a yes?
A I think some have been approved. Again, I'd have to go back and look at the cert applications. Again, since I don't review every single cert. application I don't want to speak out of turn.

Q So, when you say "I think" does that mean that it's possible that they have never approved a catalyst with only rhodium, or are you saying you believe it has been?

A I believe it has been.
Q Thank you. And again, that's just a reducing catalyst, right?

A Reduces NOx.

Q And converts that into nitrogen, right?
A And oxygen.
Q Do you know whether or not the nitrogen is what turns the sky blue or not?

A The sky is blue because of O 3 , the triatomic oxygen molecule.

Q Okay. So, obviously if the EPA and your agency, department, authorizes catalysts with only rhodium, then it's not necessarily true that the carbon monoxide, okay, is as important. Is that an accurate statement?

A I would not say that's an accurate statement. Again, I don't feel like I'm in a position to be able to determine Agency policy over what's more important versus another. The Agency regulates all three.

Q How is it that you allow catalysts to be approved with only one reaction?

A I think that in review of the application in total and looking at the test results of the engine and potentially with confirmatory tests we may make the determination that the product is compliant.

Q Because it doesn't harm the air, correct?
A No, I'm not saying that.
Q Well, isn't the ultimate test -- remember
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that chart you had that you stepped up and you --
A Oh, yes.
Q Okay. And you were describing how it was important that you did all those things?

A That's -- what I was saying is that is the chart that describes the process for certification.

Q Right. And so now we've gone from TWC, meaning three chemical reactions, to one, and what I'm saying is since you talked about policy remember those draft documents we talked about?

A Yes.
Q Okay. By you allowing your department to issue certificates of conformity with only one catalyst aren't you dictating policy?

A No. We're implementing the policy.
Q And so someone else above you decided that a
rhodium, single metal catalysts is more than sufficing. Is that accurate?

A No, I wouldn't say that someone above me made that determination.

Q Okay. So, because your expertise, my understanding was that you would tell us about how the program runs, and so policy is very important in what you do, is it not?

A Policy is important in what we do, yes.

Q And would you agree that the policy of the EPA changes with the administrations?

A I would agree that the Agency's policy changes from time to time even during an administration potentially or between administrations. It depends on the situation.

Q That's a factor, right?
A A factor, sure.
Q And currently what is the policy on the -what EPA is supposed to do, if you know, at this time from President Trump?

A As it relates to what?
Q As it relates to the actions taken by EPA against the businesses in this country.

MR. KLEPP: Object to form, Your Honor, and relevancy.

JUDGE BIRO: What are you asking exactly?
MR. CHU: What I'm asking is my understanding, my studies of how decisions are made is that those matters are factored in by prior judges in terms of the administration, the contacts. I'm just using what I developed in terms of analysis of the system.

JUDGE BIRO: I can assure you that I am not taking that into consideration.
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MR. CHU: Thank you, Your Honor.
JUDGE BIRO: I am taking into consideration the law as it exists, the regulations as it exists, and the policies that go from administration to administration only affect the outcome to the extent they change the law or the regulations.

MR. CHU: I apologize. There's just an article I picked up from someone from Washington University, so -- where they did the study. So maybe --

JUDGE BIRO: I've been doing this 20 years. The administrations come and go. I'm still here.

MR. CHU: I understand.
BY MR. CHU:
Q Okay. So, sir, you're the one that kind of mentioned policies, remember?

A Yes.
Q Okay, because in your direct examination this idea of Agency -- do you know what I'm talking about?

A I'm sorry, I don't quite follow your question.

Q Integrity, these types of things, have you heard those words used in reference to the Agency?

A I apologize. I heard you say integrity in

|  | Page 189 |  | $\text { Page } 191$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | reference to the Agency, but I missed a few of your | 1 | But that's the limit of what we would do is to take |
| 2 | words. | 2 | action on the certificate. |
| 3 | Q I didn't have -- I left it blank. I didn't | 3 | The Office of Enforcement will follow up |
| 4 | know if you had any other words. You know, earlier in | 4 | with any sort of enforcement or penalty actions that |
| 5 | your direct examination you gave us what I consider | 5 | need to happen after that. We wouldn't be engaged in |
| 6 | lectures about things, so I'm asking you now if you | 6 | that. |
| 7 | can give me a little lecture about Agency blank, | 7 | Q So, do you know how this complaint went from |
| 8 | integrity, that line of thought. | 8 | your compliance to an enforcement investigation? |
| 9 | A I'm not sure I can respond because I'm not | 9 | MR. KLEPP: Your Honor, objection. That |
| 10 | sure what you're asking me. | 10 | calls for privileged information. |
| 11 | MR. KLEPP: Objection to form, Your Honor. | 11 | JUDGE BIRO: What exactly would be |
| 12 | JUDGE BIRO: Sustained. | 12 | privileged about that? |
| 13 | MR. CHU: All right. | 13 | MR. KLEPP: To the extent it does, I would |
| 14 | BY MR. CHU: | 14 | like to limit -- |
| 15 | Q You spoke heavily about outreach, and when I | 15 | JUDGE BIRO: Oh. |
| 16 | say "heavily" I heard it at least three times. Do you | 16 | MR. KLEPP: -- the answer to the question. |
| 17 | remember that? | 17 | JUDGE BIRO: Mr. Jackson, don't answer about |
| 18 | A I do remember discussing outreach, yes. | 18 | any discussion you had with the attorneys giving any |
| 19 | Q And do you remember in our deposition I | 19 | advice. Just a general process I think would be |
| 20 | talked to you about Head Start? | 20 | appropriate. |
| 21 | A Yes, I do remember that conversation. | 21 | THE WITNESS: So, if I'm clear about this, I |
| 22 | Q And basically the idea behind that was to | 22 | believe this case originated with the Office of |
| 23 | compare what you were doing with foreign manufacturers | 23 | Enforcement. |
| 24 | and manufacturers in general, even manufacturers like | 24 | BY MR. CHU: |
| 25 | GM in Detroit, okay, that you want as part of what you | 25 | Q Do you remember during your deposition we |
|  | Page 190 |  | Page 192 |
| 1 | do is to reach out and help people and companies meet | 1 | visited the first exhibit? |
| 2 | or exceed the emission standards. Is that accurate? | 2 | A I'm sorry. Would you please refresh my |
| 3 | A We do want to provide compliance assistance | 3 | memory what the first exhibit was? |
| 4 | so that manufacturers understand what their | 4 | Q Certainly. I take it you haven't had an |
| 5 | responsibilities are. Yes. | 5 | opportunity since your deposition to review your |
| 6 | Q Now I understand that you don't really do | 6 | deposition. Is that accurate? |
| 7 | enforcement, right, your department? | 7 | A That is accurate. |
| 8 | A That's right. We're the Compliance | 8 | Q Okay. Is there any reason why you wouldn't |
| 9 | Division. | 9 | have done that in preparation for your testimony? |
| 10 | Q But through your post-manufacturing | 10 | A Sure. I think we received -- I think I |
| 11 | certification inspections, interviews, and | 11 | received it late last week, and had a lot of other |
| 12 | investigations you kind of are doing enforcement, | 12 | things I had responsibility for and was not able to do |
| 13 | aren't you? | 13 | so. |
| 14 | A No. Our responsibility is compliance. | 14 | Q So, sometimes in the performance of your job |
| 15 | There is another office in EPA that handles | 15 | and responsibility you don't get to everything, right? |
| 16 | enforcement. | 16 | A I try to get to everything. That's part of |
| 17 | Q So, how is compliance not equivalent to | 17 | my central responsibility in compliance. However, |
| 18 | enforcement? | 18 | this case has been the Compliance Division working |
| 19 | A So, I think that's a great question. From | 19 | with the Office of Enforcement, so it's not what I |
| 20 | our perspective compliance is part of the | 20 | would do on a typical daily basis. |
| 21 | implementation of the regulations, and so we may test | 21 | Q I'm sorry. Was that a yes or a no? I |
| 22 | products that are in the field and whatnot. However, | 22 | didn't get it. |
| 23 | if there is an action to be taken the action that we | 23 | A I try to get my job done. If your question |
| 24 | would take in our office is to take action on the | 24 | is do I get my work done, I try to get my work done. |
| 25 | certificate based on the information that we identify. | 25 | Q And sometimes you just can't get it all |

done, would you agree?
A I think it's true that there are times when we can't get everything done in a given day.

Q Because what you're entrusted with by the taxpayers is to do your job accurately, correct?

A Yes.
Q Now, when did you first become aware that Chinese vehicle manufacturers, okay, was a focus of attention for the EPA?

A So, I guess to answer that question I have to be clear that it's not just Chinese manufacturers that are the focus of the EPA. So, I don't want to answer incorrectly.

If you said Chinese manufacturers are a focus, I'd have to say we address all manufacturers regardless of country of origin.

MR. CHU: Your Honor, may I use the -JUDGE BIRO: Mike, can they use this
machine? Can you -- okay, that's great. Thank you. BY MR. CHU:

Q Do you see the exhibit sticker under there?
A Yes.
Q Okay. Do you remember this document that I asked you about during your deposition? This is the first document, right?
and you asked me is that what I really want you to do? Do you member that?

A I do remember that, yes.
Q So, that did happen.
A I looked at the document.
Q Well, perusal means what? You explain?
A A detail review of the document.
Q So, you did more than just look at it, didn't you?

A I looked at the document. I didn't commit it to memory

Q Oh, I understand, but you explained on the record that -- did I want you to peruse it or not, and you said that would require you to take time and read it in detail. Is that accurate?

A Yes, but I don't recall your response at this time if you asked me to just peruse it or just look at it. I can't remember what your follow-up response was.

Q But you did peruse this document in your deposition.

A I remember looking at it, yes.
Q Now, back in 2006 did your department begin dealing with emission issues on these types of vehicles?
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A This does look like a document that you
showed to me. I don't know if it was the first
document but I do remember you showing, I think, this document to me during the deposition.

Q And it talks about what we've been talking about today, correct?

A This document seems to talk about penalties being assessed in an enforcement case.

Q When we talk about nonroad vehicles we're talking about what? Dirt bikes? ATVs? Do you know?

A Yes.
Q Anything else?
A Sure. So, the term "nonroad equipment" can range from construction equipment. It could range from diesel to gasoline powered. It can also be handheld equipment. It really runs the range.

Q Do you see the date on the top there?
A Yes.
Q What's that date, please?
A That date is September 2006.
Q And do you remember spending about 10 minutes perusing this document? Remember that?

A I didn't keep track of the time but I do remember looking at the document

Q Remember I asked you if you would peruse it

A Yes. This division did look at certification and compliance for these types of vehicles.

MR. CHU: We would move for admission of Exhibit 5, an EPA Enforcement Alert dated September 2006.

JUDGE BIRO: Do I have a copy of this? Did you provide a copy to the other side, to the Agency?

MR. CHU: May I approach?
MR. KLEPP: Counsel, may I ask? I've just been handed a binder. May I ask what document inside it?

MR. CHU: This one should be 34 , I believe, is that right? Thirty-three, and I apologize. My assistant who stayed over to fix this is not here. It's 33 .

JUDGE BIRO: Okay. This is the document that's been identified as Exhibit 33, is this page 1 through 4 ?

MR. CHU: Yes, Your Honor. And it's also described as CX019.

JUDGE BIRO: This was formally identified as the Complainant's Exhibit No. 19?

MR. KLEPP: I believe I'm looking at that document. Yes, Your Honor, CX019.

|  | Page 197 |  | Page 199 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | JUDGE BIRO: Is there any objection? | 1 | A I'm sorry. I'm going to repeat back your |
| 2 | MR. KLEPP: No objection, Your Honor. | 2 | question to make sure I understand it. |
| 3 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. So, we're going to mark | 3 | Q Please. |
| 4 | this as Respondents' Exhibit 33 and admit it into the | 4 | A Did you ask me a vehicle that is not |
| 5 | record? | 5 | certified is sometimes included with a vehicle that is |
| 6 | MR. CHU: Yes, Your Honor. | 6 | certified because of an error? Did I misstate that? |
| 7 | (The document referred to was | 7 | Q Yes. In this complaint here -- |
| 8 | marked for identification as | 8 | A Yes, this is an enforcement alert, yes. |
| 9 | Respondents' Exhibit No. 33, | 9 | Q -- it's alleged -- |
| 10 | and was received in | 10 | A Oh, this complaint. Okay. Now I know which |
| 11 | evidence.) | 11 | one you're talking about. Okay. |
| 12 | BY MR. CHU: | 12 | Q In the complaint here it's alleged that |
| 13 | Q So, would it be safe to say that your | 13 | 109,000 vehicles are not certified. Is that accurate? |
| 14 | department was just beginning to ramp up after 2006 in | 14 | A That's my understanding of the complaint. |
| 15 | looking at emission issues with these types of | 15 | Q And would it be safe to say that your |
| 16 | vehicles? | 16 | department did certify those vehicles at some earlier |
| 17 | A So, the Compliance Division began certifying | 17 | time? |
| 18 | ATVs and recreational vehicles in 2006. | 18 | MR. KLEPP: Objection, Your Honor. |
| 19 | Q And that was all because the EPA had | 19 | JUDGE BIRO: And the basis for the |
| 20 | determined that there are issues with Chinese | 20 | objection? |
| 21 | manufactured vehicles of this type, correct? | 21 | MR. KLEPP: My basis is it's a vague |
| 22 | A No, that's not correct. There was a | 22 | question and it calls for a legal conclusion. |
| 23 | rulemaking that was promulgated and so compliance and | 23 | MR. CHU: Can I respond, Your Honor? |
| 24 | implementation followed from the rulemaking that was | 24 | JUDGE BIRO: Overruled. |
| 25 | promulgated prior to that, not because of Chinese | 25 | Do you know whether you certified those |
|  | Page 198 |  | Page 200 |
| 1 | manufacturers, but because of the air quality impacts | 1 | vehicles? |
| 2 | associated with the sector. | 2 | THE WITNESS: If the products were |
| 3 | Q Sir, I would ask you to look -- | 3 | determined to be not the same products as what we |
| 4 | A Am I supposed to have something up? | 4 | certified, then, no, we did not certify them. |
| 5 | Q Okay, put it back on the screen here. Can | 5 | BY MR. CHU: |
| 6 | you see that? | 6 | Q That's the point I'm getting to is that |
| 7 | A Yes. | 7 | there's a little rule with the EPA that says if we |
| 8 | Q Sometimes it's hard to read off the screen. | 8 | determine and we decide that you had not met what's in |
| 9 | Do you see the second sentence where it starts off | 9 | the application, the material I would say "met |
| 10 | with "A"? | 10 | portions", okay -- I know that's grammatically wrong, |
| 11 | A Are you referring to the sentence that says, | 11 | but -- |
| 12 | "A disturbing portion of these engines"? | 12 | A No, no, I'm trying to follow. |
| 13 | Q Yes, could you read that for us, please? | 13 | Q That's okay. So, if that becomes the case |
| 14 | A "A disturbing portion of these engines are | 14 | upon some sort of post-manufacturing check, okay, then |
| 15 | not certified to meet emission standards under the | 15 | based upon that inspection and testing result your |
| 16 | Clean Air Act." | 16 | department could declare that in their opinion that |
| 17 | Q So, that second line would really kind of | 17 | vehicle which was taken is not a certified vehicle. |
| 18 | interest your department wouldn't it? | 18 | Is that accurate? |
| 19 | A If there are products that are not | 19 | A Again, to be clear to answer your question, |
| 20 | certified, I think that would interest the Office of | 20 | we don't make that determination. The Office of |
| 21 | Enforcement. | 21 | Enforcement makes that determination. |
| 22 | Q Okay. And a vehicles that's not certified | 22 | Q And so when that occurs basically that |
| 23 | also includes vehicles that were certified but because | 23 | certificate that covers that class of engine families, |
| 24 | of some error the certification is no longer valid. | 24 | did I say that right? |
| 25 | Is that accurate? | 25 | A The certificate covers specific engine |

families.
Q And just to make that clear engine families include many different models, right?

A It can include multiple models in an engine family, yes.

Q Okay. As we saw earlier in the examination, there were at least what, 10 ?

A There were at least 10, yes.
Q Okay. So, it's kind of deceptive in terms of looking at the application and saying that it's one vehicle specific. In other words, one motorcycle, one ATV, that's not really how an engine family is identified, is it?

A I didn't say that was how an engine family was identified.

Q I understand. I just want to make it clear for the record that it's not identified that way.

A So, an engine family is identified based on the products that are sufficiently similar. Sorry, apparently you disagree with that.

Q No, go ahead.
A Oh, okay.
Q I'm not -- if I put my hands, I'm not stopping you. I'm never stopping you, okay?

A Okay. All right. So, an engine family does
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not include just a single engine. I think that's the answer to your question.

Q Because there was some talk about it's a
motorcycle, the engine family, the one that was
tested, it talked about ATV. It really -- when you
say "engine family" you mean what it says, right?
It's an engine.
MR. KLEPP: Object to form, Your Honor.
JUDGE BIRO: Yes, but it's fine. Overruled.
Go ahead.
THE WITNESS: All right. So, you're asking
me does an engine family -- you're asking me what does it include or?

BY MR. CHU:
Q Well, okay. Earlier when you were describing a VIN number.

A Yes.
Q You remember that?
A Yes.
Q A VIN number is the personal identification number of an identified vehicle, correct?

A Yes.
Q So, in the 109,000 vehicles, the subject of this litigation, there are 109,000 VIN numbers. Would you agree?

A Yes, there should be.
Q Okay. And when you group the engine families 109,000 become 10 engine families, correct?

A I believe that's the count of engine families.

Q You believe or you know? I need to know.
A Based on the complaint, that's what is on the complaint is 10 .

Q What I meant is do you know when we talk, when the EPA talks about engine families that they're talking about what you and I are talking about?

A Right, I'm going to restate your question. When EPA talks about engine families, is the EPA talking about what I'm talking about and what you're talking about?

Q Right.
A So, I know what I'm talking about. I'm not sure, I wasn't clear on what you're talking about.

Q I used the word literally engine family, a family of engines.

A Yes.
Q Is that how you read it as well?
A I read engine family as engine family. Yes, as a group of engines. Yes.

Q And that same engine can be placed in
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different types of models, right?
A It can be placed in different models of vehicles, yes.

Q So, when we go to separating these engine families we're breaking them up into groups that basically have the same engine. Is that accurate?

A Engine and exhaust system.
Q Okay. So, the exhaust system, which is
different from the engine, is also covered under the designation of engine family. Is that your testimony?

A Yes.
Q Okay. So, what is it -- because I believe
the exhaust system consist of two separate
manufacturers that produce the catalyst. Is that accurate?

A So, there can be a substrate manufacturer.
There can be a wash coat manufacturer. There can be a canning manufacturer. So, it depends on the specific situation.

Q My question was about a catalyst manufacturer and you went and start telling me about the component manufacturer. Is there any reason why you did that?

A Your question to me was does the exhaust system manufacturer consist of two manufacturers, and

I said if you're referring to the catalyst there are multiple manufacturers involved, could potentially be involved in the manufacture of a catalyst.

Q Very good. I stand corrected. Okay.
So, you're aware that Taotao USA doesn't manufacture anything. Is that accurate?

A I believe that's the case, that they're an importer but they are --

Q But you went to China and you visited some place and I believe you describe it as what? Li?

A Hopefully I'm pronouncing it correctly, Lishui.

Q How do you spell that?
A I don't remember off the cuff. Sorry. It's L-I-U-S-H-U-I, I think, or something like that, but I forget.

Q L-I-U-S-H-E-Y?
A E-U. I mean, sorry, S-H-I-U. I think that's how we spelled it, but I don't remember.

Q And you pronounce it as Lishui?
A That was how I remember hearing most of the folks pronounce it that were there, and it's not -- I you know, I think it's like Lishui or Lishui. You know, I don't pronounce Chinese well since I don't speak it.
local Chinese dialect you didn't understand it.
A If they were speaking in any Chinese dialect I wouldn't have understood it.

Q That's what I thought. Just so the record is clear, you don't know any Chinese, do you?

A I think I know hello.
Q How about "xiexie"?
A I have no idea what you just said.
Q You didn't hear anyone say that to you, thank you?

A I did "xiexie", so I remember "xiexie" and "ni" --

Q "Hao."
A "Ni hao", like hello or good morning, I think, but I think that's about it.

Q Pretty good. That's a good start, right?
A Well.
Q In fact, it became so cordial that you were invited to Terry's father's home for lunch, didn't you?

A That's right. We were told there weren't many facilities for us to eat lunch in the area so we went to Mr. Cao's home for lunch.

Q Okay. And were you treated with respect?
A We were treated with respect.
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Q Just talk about that for a minute. When you were asked earlier about describe, sir, the scene, the place, you left out some things, didn't you?

A I'm not sure what you're referring to.
Q You left out the State Department's trusted interpreter, didn't you?

A That's right. I sure did. There was an interpreter there.

Q So, when you talked about you had conversation isn't it important to let this Court know that there was a translator and you, in fact, was -you didn't have actual one-to-one conversations with the alleged people you spoke with?

A Well, to be clear, Mr. Matao Cao spoke English very well. Mr. David Garibyan spoke English very well, and Mr. Yuejin Cao spoke some English. So, my assumption was that when they were speaking in English that they were speaking words that I would understand and I understood them to be English. But if there were words spoken in Chinese or in the local dialect I would not have understood those.

Q There is a difference in the spoken Chinese language and local dialects.

A That was my understanding, yes.
Q So, you're saying if they were speaking in a
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Q Okay.
A They offered to give us lunch for free and we refused and paid for it. We paid for the lunch. Each person paid for the lunch.

Q I understand, and you had no idea whether or not that violated any customs in that country, did you?

A For us to pay for the lunch?
Q Right. I'm saying you had no idea.
A I'm not sure if it violated customs in the country, but I did not want to receive the lunch for free.

Q I understand that. Obviously, your precious time that you spent with them you didn't charge them for that, did you?

A I'm sorry. Did I charge the manufacturer for the time we spent with them? Is that what you're asking me?

Q Let me clarify. The Judge said I could do this on cross-examination, okay? So, you say manufacturer. You describe Terry, okay?

A Um-hmm.
Q And Terry, as you could see from the diagrams, is 100 percent owner of what?

A I don't have the document in front of me but

I believe it was Tao Motors USA, or Tao Motors.
Q You keep saying Tao Motors. Is that your testimony?

A Yes, Tao Motor.
Q This is one of the documents that you and I talked about during your deposition, remember?

A Yes.
MR. KLEPP: Your Honor, my objection is based on the document. It's marked as an exhibit. It's been spoken to earlier, and Mr. Jackson at this time does not have it in front of him, and I think in order for this to proceed efficiently could the document please be placed in front of him?

JUDGE BIRO: Sustained. Do you have a set of exhibits for the witness?

MR. CHU: I'm sorry. I thought he had a screen in front of him.

JUDGE BIRO: He should also have the written exhibits. He's entitled to see them. Do you have a set of exhibits you can give him?

MR. CHU: I could give him mine. I thought that there was a copy that was left up there earlier, but I'll be happy to give him mine.

JUDGE BIRO: That's why we ask everybody to make five sets of exhibits.
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MR. CHU: I'm using the one that they
introduced and it was admitted is what I'm using.
JUDGE BIRO: Oh, it's in the Complainant's book? Okay.

MR. CHU: Yes, Your Honor. It was the one that he offered.

JUDGE BIRO: Mr. Jackson, open up to what page are we looking at?

MR. CHU: It's CX191, EPA 2523, Your Honor.
JUDGE BIRO: It's CX191?
MR. CHU: Yes, Your Honor.
JUDGE BIRO: And what page again? I'm sorry.

MR. CHU: Two-five-two-three.
JUDGE BIRO: Mr. Jackson, do you have that?
THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.
BY MR. CHU:
Q Mr. Jackson, isn't it true that no one in China ever told you that Matao Cao owned 100 percent of Tao Motors? Is that accurate?

A So, I'm not sure if that's accurate the way you said it --

## Q Okay.

A -- because what I recall was them telling us that Matao Cao had the responsibility for Tao Motor
exclusively.
MR. CHU: Objection. Non-responsive. It was someone tell you, and he said "I thought".

THE WITNESS: No, the folks that we met with, Matao Cao and Yuejin Cao, and those two in particular mentioned his responsibility for Tao Motor. BY MR. CHU:
Q Now, do you agree that you were in some conference room with the State Department translator?

A Yes.
Q And would you agree that this very screen shot of the presentation was translated to you by the State Department translator?

A It was translated by the State Department translator and spoken to by Matao Cao and Yuejin Cao.

MR. CHU: I'm going to object to nonresponsive. My question is about the translator and not who all spoke to you

JUDGE BIRO: Okay, sustained. I'm not sure I understand your question, Mr. Chu.

MR. CHU: My question was did the translator translate the presentation of this particular --

JUDGE BIRO: This page that's in English, right here? Did they translate what's already in English?
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MR. CHU: Correct.
JUDGE BIRO: Into what language would they have to translate that for Mr. Jackson?

MR. CHU: I believe that the testimony will be that there was an explanation of the relationship between the companies, and that was what was being translated. So, it's not just what he read in English on the screen, but there was an actual State Department translator that was translating for Mr. Jackson's benefit at this presentation, and that's the question I'm asking.

JUDGE BIRO: Was there a translator?
MR. CHU: He already said there was a translator. I'm asking did she translate to you this information that was being presented? In other words, I'm trying to find out whether or not someone, whoever it was that was talking during this presentation, okay, whether or not that message was translated to him.

JUDGE BIRO: Mr. Jackson, was the presentation in English or in Chinese?

THE WITNESS: So, the bulk of the presentation was in Chinese, translated into English, but there were times when Mr. Matao Cao or Mr. Yuejin Cao would interject in English.

JUDGE BIRO: So, I think the question here is when they were talking about this particular page?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
JUDGE BIRO: Were they speaking in English or in Chinese?

THE WITNESS: Both.
JUDGE BIRO: Both. Okay, so the extent it
was Chinese was it being translated to you?
THE WITNESS: Yes.
JUDGE BIRO: Okay.
BY MR. CHU:
Q And do you remember the translator explaining what your eyes see and what we see on this slide?

A I remember the translator as well as Mr.
Matao Cao and Mr. Yuejin Cao providing a description of what we see and what they intended to communicate.

Q What I'm talking about is your statement
that Tao Motor is 100 percent owned by Matao Cao. Do
you remember making that statement?
MR. KLEPP: Objection, Your Honor.
Mischaracterizes his testimony.
THE WITNESS: I think my statement was, I
think it was or maybe it should have been that Matao
Cao had the responsibility, sole responsibility for
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the U.S. company. That was the message communicated to us.

BY MR. CHU:
Q So, no one explained to you or told you that
Matao Cao was the owner of Tao Motors, is that accurate?

A No, that's not accurate. We were told that by -- verbally during the course of the conversation.

Q So, in spite of what was on that last screen it's your belief that whatever was translated, whatever was said by any individual in China was that Matao Cao was the 100 percent owner of Tao Motors?

A That Matao Cao was responsible for the U.S. operations of the company, so Tao Motor and Taotao Mr. Matao Cao had responsibility for.

MR. CHU: Objection. Non-responsive. The question was ownership, owner. He says responsibility. He's not answering my question.

MR. KLEPP: Your Honor, I have an objection.
The words from counsel misrepresent Mr. Jackson's testimony. He continues to use the phrase "100 percent owner", and I don't believe that ever came from Mr. Jackson.

JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Maybe they are speaking as cross purposes.

Mr. Jackson, did they say at any point the words that Matao Cao was the 100 percent owner of Tao Motors?

THE WITNESS: I don't recall those exact
words being said. I recall the words being that he had the responsibility for the company in the United States.

JUDGE BIRO: I understand. Okay. BY MR. CHU:
Q Sir, will you look at the next slide, and it's 2522 .

A Yes.
Q Does that clearly indicate that Matao Cao is the 100 percent owner of the certificate holder involved in this complaint?

A It does -- it does seem to indicate that, but to be honest there are multiple arrows pointing to Taotao USA.

Q Which one says owned 100 percent? Can you tell?

A The one pointing from Matao Cao to Taotao USA.

Q So was that explained in Chinese that day what that arrow meant and translated to you?

A So, again, this slide as well as the next

A Yes, other entities were listed in the application.

Q Yes, because when you use OEM it's kind of like you taught me a minute ago. We could talk about a whole lot of OEMs in China, right?

A Sure.
Q So, I'm going to stick with -- when I say "manufacturer" I'm referring strictly to the two Respondents here that actually put the vehicle together. Is that okay with you? Is that definition okay?

A All right. So, when you -- so, I think I heard two different things from you. You said you're referring to the Respondents here as the two individuals that actually put the vehicles together, but you said earlier that they didn't put the vehicles together, so I want to make sure I understand your question.

Q Are you aware that there are three
Respondents in this case?
A I believe so. It's Taotao, Taotao USA, maybe some other aspects of Taotao Group. I just don't -- I have it in front of me so I need to look at it to see.

Q And I understand your confusion. I'm going
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to help clear it up here.
(Pause.)
I hate to ask for your help. Do you
remember the exhibit number when you stood up and talked about the things that your department does?
They're looking for it.
A The flow chart. I don't remember --
Q Do you know what I'm talking about?
A Do you mean the flow chart?
Q Yes, the flow chart.
A I don't remember the exhibit number. I'll have to look for it.

Q While she's looking, I think what's confusing here is on the flow chart, on the top righthand side there it says "manufacturer", right?

A Oh, I'm sorry. You're referring to the other exhibit.

Q Do you remember?
A On the top right-hand side. So, I'll have to look at the document to see where it's located.

Q You don't remember then.
A Well, you're referring to a specific portion of the document. I want to look at the document before I respond.

Q Certainly, and I can respect that. So, you
and I both know that the actual applicant for the certificates that's at issue in this complaint was Taotao USA. Agree?

A Yes.
Q And you've already said that you're aware that they do not manufacture, as you call them an importer, remember?

A I did say they were an importer, yes.
Q But the regulations allow the EPA to designate the person or entity that applies for a certificate of conformity as a manufacturer, is that accurate?

A That is accurate. It may be a manufacturer or importer. They may be designated as a manufacturer for purposes of holding a certificate.

Q What I'm saying are you aware of the regulation where it says if you are applying for a certificate that we can call you a manufacturer? Are you familiar --

A That is in the regulation and the statute.
Q Okay. So, that's it. So, it's confusing
when we talk about manufacturers so that's why I kind of further defined that in my questioning, that when I speak of manufacturers I'm speaking of the companies that actually put the vehicles together, not the parts
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people.
A Not the? Not the what people?
Q Parts. Part.
A Parts. Okay, gotcha. Sorry.
Yes, it's my understanding that you're
talking about the OEM, the manufacturer who assembled the product.

Q So, when you approved the Tao Motor applications, I'm asking whether or not you're aware that neither of the manufacturers in this complaint that have their operations in China are listed as Tao Motors' manufacturer.

A So, neither of the -- let me sure, I'll repeat back. Am I aware that neither of the manufacturers who actually assemble the products are listed in the complaint or are the folks listed in the complaint are listed in the application?

Q I'm going to break it down. Tao Motor has filed for applications for certificates before with your Department, correct?

A Yes.
Q And you have approved those in the past.
A Yes.
Q And do you remember whether or not any of the actual manufacturer of the vehicle or vehicles for

Tao Motors was either one of the manufacturing Respondents in this case?

A I believe so, but I would need to look at the application before I state with certainty.

Q Because earlier you kind of lumped everyone together and just called everyone the same, right?

A I did not. I repeated what the manufacturers told us when we went there.

Q And so I'm trying to figure out if there were -- if there was a different manufacturer for Tao Motors then, in essence, you would have at least potentially seen three manufacturers on your last trip.

A So, we issued test orders for the families that were certified on behalf of Taotao, and Tao Motor, and so the number of other subsequent manufacturers that may have been present are identified, I know what the manufacturer told us when they were present, that these folks were all part of the same manufacturing group. They were all related companies but --

Q So, basically you just kind of lumped them together and kind of --

A I did not. The company did. The companies did.
have to go back to look at the cert applications.
Q And so when you say Taotao then you're talking about one manufacturer versus a different manufacturer, correct?

A So, when I say Taotao in reference to our visit generally I'm referring to the Taotao Group and the collection of companies as the company identified it.

Q So, what you're basically saying is I can't read the signs, but somehow whatever I see is all the same. Is that what I'm hearing?

A No, not at all. I never said that.
Q Well, how did you know you were in Taotao Group's factory versus being in Junyun's factory versus being in factory three, Tao Motors supplier's factory?

A Sure. So, I could read the signs as we walked in. But, again, my reference to the companies that were present was based on what the companies told us when we were present, what they said to us.

Q And I understand that you --
A But, again, I don't read Chinese. So, if there were specific distinctions in Chinese, I wouldn't be able to make that determination.

Q Would you agree that something potentially
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Q Okay. Now, this 191 exhibit, which is in evidence, would you agree clearly delineates the relationships?

A I would agree that there is a chart on this document that shows relationships.

Q Okay.
A Your question was does it clearly delineate, and I would say based on the verbal conversation I would say it doesn't clearly delineate what was said to us verbally.

Q So, when you say that we audited some vehicles in China at some Chinese manufacturer, that's about all you can really say, isn't it?

A I can saw we visited the Taotao Group's
facilities and selected products from the engine families that were identified in our test order.

Q And when you say Taotao facilities, remember what are the two names of the manufacturers associated with Taotao USA?

A You're asking who are the two manufacturers, OEM? The folks that manufacture the products?

Q The vehicles, not the products.
A For Taotao USA?
Q Yes, sir.
A I believe one is Junyun County, but I'll
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was lost in the translations?
A It's possible something could be lost in the translation but the portions that were in English were not lost on me, not the English portions.

Q Right, and I apologize for getting off into describing manufacturers, okay? But I'm going to -okay, it's Complainant's Exhibit 12.
(Pause.)
Do you have that?
A Yes.
Q Okay. Now, do you see how on the right the entity starting the process is identified as what?

A New manufacturers only.
Q Okay. Basically, in this complaint here you've never had an application from Taotao Group nor Junyun manufacturer in China, is that accurate?

A Do you mean an application for a certificate of conformity?

Q Yes, sir.
A No, they have not applied for a certificate of conformity. They've been listed in the certificate of conformity, but they didn't apply for a certificate of conformity. They were listed in the application I should say.

Q I appreciate the explanation, but if --
never mind.
Now, you testified under oath that this
Exhibit 12 was available online for the world to see. Is that accurate?

A Yes.
Q Okay. Can you tell us what it means by the word "draft"?

A It means that it is not final.
Q So, which one should the world be looking at, a final document or a draft?

A So, we posted or this was posted as a draft document on our website, which is typically what you would do. The draft document is always posted on the website.

Q I understand. So, is the manufacturing world, importer world, are they obligated to abide by the drafts?

A So, no, manufacturers are not obligated to abide by the drafts. They're obligated to abide by the regulations, and these guidance documents are meant to help manufacturers understand the regulations.

Q Now, this was actually for the benefit of your people so that they can get training, correct?

A No. This was provided to the manufacturers.
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Q Okay. Now I'm going to read you the first
line. It says, "This step-by-step guidance is
intended to assist you in the certification process."
So, that's not supposed to help the people working for you.

A It's designed to help the manufacturer. The guidance documents are prepared for the manufacturers.

Q So, on the top where it says "HMC Workshop $2^{\prime \prime}$, who is -- what is HMC?

A Highway motorcycle.
Q So, is this one of those outreach things
that you were talking about?
A Yes.
Q Okay. Is there any reason why the EPA hasn't produced the actual document as far as you know?

A No particular reason as I understand it. So, again, that was created before I started in the center, but no particular reason other than we just never go around to it, and it was helpful in its current form.

Q Helpful in its current form, but the actual adopted guidance document is not this one, right?

A There was no actual adopted guidance
document. There was no final guidance document. And
again to be clear, guidance, it's not mandatory, it's meant to be helpful. The mandatory requirements are the regulations.

Q So, would it be safe to say that Exhibits 12 through 18 were just helpful governmental forms?

A I believe you mean 17 and, yes, they're intended to be helpful. I don't believe you intended to include 18 in your statement, so I believe it's through 17. They were intended to be helpful.

Q Right. So, we're back to engine families, right?

A Yes.
Q And the emission -- did you describe it as emission system? Is that how you classified it?

A Engine families, exhaust system, engine and exhaust system.

Q Exhaust system, and in the exhaust is the muffler, right?

A Yes.
Q And in the muffler is catalyst or no catalyst, agree?

A That is possible, yes.
Q Okay. And we didn't talk about that but you have approved on behalf of Taotao USA applications for similar types of these vehicles that did not contain a
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catalyst. Is that accurate?
A So, I don't want to go back and review all the Taotao and Tao Motors applications, but I believe that is accurate, that we have approved some that did not have a catalyst. I believe that's accurate. We approve a lot, so it's possible one of the Taotao or Tao Motors may not have had a catalyst. I would have to go back and check.

Q So, in actuality on these low emission as described vehicles the true need for a catalyst has not been determined. Is that accurate?

A No, I don't think that is accurate.
Q Now, do you know Professor Heck?
A Do I know what?
Q Professor Heck.
A Professor?
Q He was one of the experts in your case here.
A Professor who?
Q H-E -- is it C-T?
JUDGE BIRO: C-K, Heck.
MR. CHU: C-K, Heck.
JUDGE BIRO: C-K.
THE WITNESS: Oh, Heck. Thank you.
MR. CHU: Oh, I'm sorry.
THE WITNESS: The name sounds familiar but I
don't recall right now.
BY MR. CHU:
Q Do you know that he's an expert for the EPA in terms of catalysts?

A No, I didn't recall that at present, no. I may have known that in the past. I don't recall it at present. I'm not saying he's not. I just don't --

Q I'm sorry, I didn't hear the last.
A I'm not saying he's not. I just don't recall at present.

Q But you have available to you the assistance of a person that's knowledgeable, formally trained, who's done research and has written papers in reference to catalysts, do you not?

A Are you saying do we have access to
Professor Heck? Is that what you're asking me, or Dr. Heck?

Q What I'm saying is do you know whether or not he has been an expert for the EPA?

A No, I'm not familiar with him personally.
Q So you have no idea of his relationship with the EPA.

A So, I'm not saying that because I may have come across him in the past, but not in recent history so I don't recall at present.
few catalysts that are manufactured for all those models. Is that accurate?

A I think that's accurate, and can I go back and look at the applications? I think that's a relatively accurate statement.

Q So, when you break down by engine families you're actually creating more diversity. Would that be accurate?

A When we break down by engine families we are creating more diversity in what way?

Q Well, you're dividing the lot up into more separate subcategories, are you not?

A Manufacturer provides their engine family descriptions to us and we're defining the families based on what we discussed before, the engine description and the exhaust system description.

Q So, it's possible that the Beijing manufacturer, the same catalysts is used in different models, right?

MR. KLEPP: Objection, Your Honor. Form. It calls for speculation and also relevance to this line of question.

JUDGE BIRO: Sustained.
BY MR. CHU:
Q So, it's not the catalysts that you're
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Q Wouldn't it be good for someone like
yourself, head of a department, doing the things that you tell us you've done, to know someone that's a little bit more knowledgeable about catalysts?

A For what reason?
Q For the safety of the individuals in this country as well as our environment.

A So, you're asking me is it important that we know Professor Heck or someone like that. We haven't needed to avail ourselves of his services so.

Q So, raise my hands high. We have one catalyst manufacturer and then we have two -- a second catalyst manufacturer associated in this complaint, correct?

A Do we have catalyst manufacturers associated in this complaint? Yes, there are some catalyst manufacturers. I'm assuming your --

Q And one is from Beijing and the other is from Nanjing. Have you heard of those?

A I have.
Q Okay. And you probably don't know, but bei means north, nan means south.

A Okay, thank you.
Q So, there are two manufacturers, okay, and in these 10 engine families there's basically just a
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really concerned with, is that right?
MR. KLEPP: Objection, Your Honor. Same, relevance.

JUDGE BIRO: Overruled. Do you understand the question?

THE WITNESS: You asked are we concerned about the catalysts, and I would say, yes, we are concerned about the catalyst that's used in these systems.

BY MR. CHU:
Q That's the whole focus on this complaint, isn't it?

A The focus of the complaint from the Office of Enforcement. It's my understand there is a concern with the catalyst in the products.

Q And you're here basically to explain how it is that your group approves these applications knowing, and I'm going to articulate, that they're wrong, right?

MR. KLEPP: Objection, Your Honor. Form.
JUDGE BIRO: It's a leading question, but he's allowed to lead on cross.

MR. KLEPP: I understand that, Your Honor, but I think that the form of the question --

JUDGE BIRO: But because it?
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MR. KLEPP: It suggests answers. The line of question recently has put Mr. Jackson in an impossible to answer situation with these vague formed questions. That's my objection.

JUDGE BIRO: Overruled. Go ahead. THE WITNESS: So, your question is do we knowingly approve something that we know is wrong? BY MR. CHU:
Q Right.
A No, we wouldn't intentionally approve something that we know is wrong.

Q Because if in 2006 you realize that the Chinese manufacturers were not as knowledgeable in meeting the requirements of your department and the enforcement department can you tell us what outreach you did from 2006 through 2012 to the Chinese manufacturer in China?

A So again to be clear, I can't speak to the knowledge level of the Chinese manufacturers, and I don't know that EPA has made a statement about the knowledge level of Chinese manufacturers, and whether or not the Agency conducted outreach, they provided workshops that everyone is invited to, and we don't only invite certain manufacturers. Everyone is open to come to the workshops that we host.
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Q Right. So, you knew in 2006 that there were issues with emissions and with regulatory requirements from Chinese manufacturers. Is that accurate?

A I think this enforcement alert points to
some concerns that the Office of Enforcement had.
Q Now, I'm putting it back on the screen and asking you to look at Complainant's Exhibit 191, page 367. Are you there with me?

A Yes.
Q Okay. So, why wasn't there a reference point for new manufacturers to indicate that you don't actually have to build anything to be in that classification?

A You're asking why didn't we tell manufacturers they don't have to build anything? Q Right. I mean --
A What is the purpose of us communicating that to the manufacturers? I'm not sure we thought that was something that they needed to know. I mean, it's in the regulations. We need to communicate to them. They don't need to build. I'm not sure I understand the question.

Q What I'm saying is for someone reading that, even someone here, when it says "new manufacturer".

A Um-hmm.

Q Then if someone wasn't building something their natural inclination would be I can't apply for it, right?

MR. KLEPP: Your Honor, objection. I believe I heard counsel refer to CX191, which drew my attention to the slide presentation, and then the questions that ensued refers to a different document. So, there's some confusion here and I'd like the record to be clear.

MR. CHU: Okay. If I did, I meant CX12. BY MR. CHU:
Q Is that what you have in front of you, CX-

## 12 ?

A Yes, I have CX12.
Q You didn't pull out 191 when I said --
A You gave a page number subsequent to that and initially I started to look for 191 when you said the page number. I noticed I had the page number open.

Q Okay. So, what I'm saying is these clear messages to the public, including the Chinese public, sometimes they're not as clear as you would like it to be. Would that be accurate?

A So, I think that we try to communicate messages to the public and whether or not they
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understand it we hold workshops so they can ask us questions. I can't speak to whether or not it's clear for them or not. We can only base it on if they have questions about what are providing.

Q I said it would not be as clear, did you --
A I can't speak to that. I can only speak to if they have questions about it.

Q Now, earlier when you were asked about impressions, you remember four or five or eight questions that you were talking about impressions, and you had no problem giving your impressions then, right?

A Based on what they said to me, that's right.
Q And so what I'm asking is your impression of looking at this document and telling me that someone who is not familiar with the regs and the EPA when they read that is that clear?

A So, someone who is not familiar with the regs with EPA --

Q Yes, sir.
A -- but they want to apply for a certificate of conformity?

Q Thinking about it.
A But they wouldn't read the regulations before thinking about applying?

|  | Page 237 |  | Page 239 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Q Well, let's say someone like Thomas Edison, | 1 | here and it tells us about a manufacturer you and I |
| 2 | you know. There weren't regulations back then. | 2 | both know that doesn't mean someone that actually |
| 3 | A Okay. I'm sorry, your point? | 3 | builds a vehicle, right? |
| 4 | Q So, if you show Thomas Edison back then a | 4 | A Based on what's in the statute and what's in |
| 5 | diagram like this -- | 5 | the regulations. |
| 6 | A Okay. | 6 | Q So, what I'm saying is sometimes what we |
| 7 | Q -- it says what it says. Would you agree? | 7 | read doesn't necessarily mean what it says. |
| 8 | A It says what it says. | 8 | A Well, again, the statute defines |
| 9 | Q Now let's talk about the next thing that it | 9 | manufacturer as either the person who assembles it or |
| 10 | says what it says. Look at number three. | 10 | the importer. |
| 11 | A Yes. | 11 | Q Now, when it talks about conduct emission |
| 12 | Q What does it require them to do? | 12 | test to determine compliance, that's the emission |
| 13 | A Conduct emissions tests to demonstrate | 13 | test, correct? |
| 14 | compliance. | 14 | A Yes. |
| 15 | Q And is it true that your knowledge of the | 15 | Q Why doesn't it say somewhere in this flow |
| 16 | engine families associated with this complaint and the | 16 | chart, okay, check the precious metals, make sure you |
| 17 | engines that were tested pursuant to the agreement | 17 | have some precious metal, make sure you check that it |
| 18 | with the EPA that is basically no emissions | 18 | has a certain quantity, and make sure you check that |
| 19 | violations? | 19 | there's a certain weight of this pancake mix that I |
| 20 | MR. KLEPP: Objection. Calls for a legal | 20 | call -- we both call wash coat, okay? |
| 21 | determination. | 21 | MR. KLEPP: Objection to form, Your Honor. |
| 22 | JUDGE BIRO: Sustained. | 22 | Compound question. |
| 23 | MR. CHU: It's a factual, Your Honor. | 23 | JUDGE BIRO: Overruled. |
| 24 | Knowledge of the facts. | 24 | THE WITNESS: So, this is meant to be the |
| 25 | JUDGE BIRO: I didn't even understand the | 25 | major steps of the certification and compliance |
|  | Page 238 |  | Page 240 |
| 1 | question. | 1 | process. Not every product may have a catalyst, and |
| 2 | MR. CHU: I'll rephrase it. I'm sorry. | 2 | so this is meant to provide folks with the major steps |
| 3 | BY MR. CHU: | 3 | they need to undertake. It is included in the |
| 4 | Q You know about the agreed testing that was | 4 | specific application documents and certainly in some |
| 5 | handled between Ms. Isin and Taotao USA, is that | 5 | of the other documents you provide that if they have a |
| 6 | accurate? | 6 | catalyst they need to provide a detailed description. |
| 7 | A I know that there was some testing that was | 7 | BY MR. CHU: |
| 8 | requested. | 8 | Q Do you see anything similar with Exhibit 33 |
| 9 | Q And you heard some talk about this morning | 9 | that's behind this CX12? |
| 10 | that CEE was an approved company to perform these | 10 | MR. KLEPP: Objection to form, Your Honor. |
| 11 | tests. Did you hear that? | 11 | CX13, CX12 both -- |
| 12 | A I heard you make that statement. | 12 | MR. CHU: Not CX, you're right. |
| 13 | Q Okay. And you actually looked at and | 13 | Respondents' 33, the enforcement alert that I've put |
| 14 | examined a particular report. Do you remember that? | 14 | up here for you. |
| 15 | A Yes. | 15 | BY MR. CHU: |
| 16 | Q And with the help of Mr. Klepp you were able | 16 | Q You're very good about identifying dates, |
| 17 | to identify what appear to be some discrepancies, | 17 | right, or seeing dates? |
| 18 | right? | 18 | A Sure. |
| 19 | A Yes. | 19 | Q Okay. Do you see the two dates on the two |
| 20 | Q And you really don't know if those are true | 20 | different documents? |
| 21 | discrepancies or not other than reading what you read. | 21 | A Yes. |
| 22 | A I'm sorry. Do I know that they were true | 22 | Q What are those dates? |
| 23 | discrepancies? I know that what I made reference to | 23 | A The enforcement alert is dated September |
| 24 | was what I saw in the paper. | 24 | 2006. The major steps document is dated March 2005. |
| 25 | Q Right, and when you look at this document | 25 | Q So, why is it that if you saw this | catalyst?

knows. Overruled. document.
enforcement alert and you're in tune with the rest of the EPA that this flow chart doesn't have that important information about one of the components that now the EPA says is so important, that being a

MR. KLEPP: Object to form, Your Honor.
Calls for speculation.
JUDGE BIRO: Not necessarily. Maybe he

THE WITNESS: So, again, the document that was created in 2005 gives the major steps in the certification process. Since every engine in the vehicle system may not have a catalyst specific component issues are not addressed. The major steps in the certification process are addressed in the 2005

BY MR. CHU:
Q Is $\$ 3.3$ million a lot of money?
MR. KLEPP: Objection to form, Your Honor.
THE WITNESS: I guess that's a relative question. If you ask me that question, I would say yes, for me it's a lot of money. For someone like you maybe it's not. I don't know.

MR. CHU: Well, it's a lot of money for me,
did know and you're outreaching with these wonderful workshops and flow charts, why is it that there's no warning here do not roll past the stop sign?

A Again, this is the major steps in the certification process. So, there are other documents that inform manufacturers they need to provide specific information about their catalysts in their actual application, but that flow chart specifically has the major steps in the process.

Q And when we go page after page on these sets of documents starting with CX12, okay?

A Okay.
Q You were questioned in CX12, EPA Bates stamp 369. Remember that?

A Yes.
Q And you were asked to find number seven, which you did?

A Yes.
Q Okay. And somehow someone just happened to put the word -- the words "the number". That means what?

A Number of catalysts. It could mean --
Q More likely than not in the type of vehicles that's involved here they were -- were they all single catalyst vehicles?
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BY MR. CHU:
Q But my point is if we're outreaching, as you said, and we're helping the public, including the Chinese, okay, that we talk kind of somewhat vehemently about because allegedly they're involved in illegal acts. Do you see that?

A Do I see illegal acts?
Q Illegal, they're involved in illegal acts according to the enforcement alert.

A Okay. So, I don't see it right now, but
I'll take your word for it.
Q Oh, I'm sorry, yes.
A Thank you.
Q Okay. So, if you know someone is breaking a law, rolling past red lights and all, you see that. You feel a need to kind of tell them?

A If I see someone running a red light do I
feel the need to tell them?
Q Well, let's talk about it's a family member. They never really stop, they roll --

A Okay.
Q -- past a red light. We both know that's not right, right?

A Sure.
Q Okay. So, if the EPA knew in 2006 what they
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A For the vehicles involved in this complaint, I believe they were all single, not multiple catalysts.

Q Location would be --
A Catalytic converter.
Q Location would be what? What does that mean?

A Its location in the exhaust system. So, most manufacturers that put it in their muffler simply say it's in the muffler, but it helps identify its location relative to the exhaust port.

Q So, you're saying there are some vehicles that you approve where the catalyst is not inside the muffler.

A So, it is possible for some sectors. I think for most of these sectors they probably put it in the catalyst. For this sector most of them are in the catalyst, but there are some other sectors in our center where they may have close couple catalysts.

Q These catalysts are pretty inexpensive components, aren't they?

A Pretty expensive?
Q Inexpensive.
A Again, I guess that's a relative term. I would assume that it's actually a pretty significant
investor for a manufacturer.
Q Do you have any idea what these catalysts on the average costs?

A Yeah, though I don't recall at present what the cost numbers are. I recall numbers like a hundred dollars per ounce for each of the precious metals, $\$ 115$ per ounce for the precious metals, but I don't recall the catalyst costs off the cuff.

Q So, the answer is "I don't know"?
A The answer is I don't recall at present what the current catalyst costs are.

Q But you believe somehow they're significant. I know that earlier when we talked about 3.3 million we both thought that was significant.

A We both thought what was significant?
Q $\$ 3.3$ million.
A I think it's a lot of money personally.
Q So, you mentioned that the catalyst is some sort of quantification of being -- that you thought it was --

A I think it's one of the cost drivers for the design and construction of vehicles.

Q So, you really have no idea what the cost is in relationship to the cost of the vehicles.

A No. Actually, I think it's a significant
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cost driver.
Q Is that 10 percent, 20 percent, 30 percent? Do you know?

A I would say in some cases it could be as much as 10 to 15 percent, but I don't want to be quoted on that because I want to go back and check the actual numbers.

Q Because you'd be guessing if you did, right?
A That's an estimate.
Q Okay. And it's not based upon anything you can relate to at this moment.

A So, the reason why I'm hesitant is only because in the rulemaking we actually put together cost estimates based on precious metal, lower cost of substrates, et cetera. But I haven't reviewed that in some time so I don't recall what the current cost might be or even what they were in a rulemaking.

Q Now, can you tell this Court, from the best of your recollection, what the cost of platinum is in reference to the cost of the catalyst, if you know?

A Well, so platinum is one of the precious metals in the catalyst material. It is approximately 125, I think, per ounce, but I haven't checked in the last few days. It's the most expensive catalytic material in the catalyst.

MR. CHU: I'm sorry. I'm going to object as non-responsive.

JUDGE BIRO: I think it was. Overruled. BY MR. CHU:
Q So, you think that because it's expensive it's a high cost in reference to the total cost of a catalyst.

A Yes.
Q There's no quantification there, right?
A No quantification of what?
Q The qualifier that you use is that you
believe platinum costs a lot of money, so therefore it is a major cost of the catalyst.

A So, let's -- let me be clear. I think all three of the precious metals cost a lot. Platinum costs the most.

Q Okay. Now, we all know in general the price of gold, right?

A We know in general -- I didn't hear the last part.

Q The price of gold.
A I don't know the price of gold right now.
Q Gold is anywhere from 1,000 to 2,000 dollars an ounce.

MR. KLEPP: Objection, Your Honor. He's
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already said he doesn't know.
JUDGE BIRO: Sustained.
BY MR. CHU:
Q So, you've never heard the price of gold.
A Have I ever heard the price of gold?
Q Yes, sir.
A I'm sure I've heard it at some point but I don't recall what it is. I don't think about it that often.

Q You say you know the price of platinum. What timeframe are you referring to?

A So, that's just in general. There's no specific timeframe. I don't know what it is right now this very second. I just recall that in the past having to look it up. That's around what it was, but I don't -- I don't keep track of that on a daily basis.

Q It was what? I'm sorry. How much?
A Again, that was a guesstimate from what I recall. Maybe 125 per ounce.

Q $\$ 125$ per ounce.
A I think so.
Q Okay.
A But I'll have to go back and check.
Q Okay.

A But that number sounds familiar.
Q Do you know how many grams there are in a pound?

MR. KLEPP: Your Honor, I'm going to object to relevance. We've been down a line of questioning that seems far afield from anything that's been part of Mr. Jackson's direct.

JUDGE BIRO: What are we getting to here?
MR. CHU: Well, getting to his knowledge, the Agency's knowledge of the cost of precious metals and why they believe that a lack of precious metals is a motivator in this case. That's what I'm reading from the complaint.

JUDGE BIRO: He says platinum is expensive. MR. CHU: It is.
JUDGE BIRO: And therefore he assumes that a part that involves platinum and palladium and rhodium is going to be expensive.

MR. CHU: And that's the assumption, and without any quantification or testimony --

JUDGE BIRO: That's his whole testimony. That's what he believes. He took those three expensive metals, he knows that they use some amount of those three expensive metals, and therefore he has concluded that therefore the catalyst is relatively
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expensive. That's everything that he knows.
What does the price of gold have to do with anything?

MR. CHU: It's relative to platinum, that's all. I'll move on.

JUDGE BIRO: I don't know that. Do you know? I mean, what relevance would it be? Relative to platinum because we make wedding rings out of both of them?

MR. CHU: There's less platinum than there is yellow gold.

JUDGE BIRO: Now you're testifying.
MR. CHU: No, I'm just -- you're saying what does it mean. I'm just saying if he knows or not. If he doesn't know, he's answered the questions and he says, I know of the price of platinum. I don't know the price of gold. So --

JUDGE BIRO: Because he works with those three metals as part of his --

MR. CHU: Right. I'm asking him now to quantify his hypothesis in terms of why he feels the catalyst cost is a major component of the vehicles, and he's saying he knows the price at some point or knew the price.

JUDGE BIRO: Yes, and he said that he
thought it was because of some policy or --
THE WITNESS: The rulemaking.
JUDGE BIRO: -- the rulemaking they had done
a number of years ago. He doesn't know the current prices now.

MR. CHU: And the timeframe that we're in right now is a couple of years ago, in 2012, so I feel that that's relevant.

JUDGE BIRO: Well, if he has any more recollection on that point you can ask him but it seemed like that was --

MR. CHU: He's given --
JUDGE BIRO: He's exhausted everything he knows.

MR. CHU: He's given an answer. He said 125 per ounce is what he gave me, and then I wanted to break that down into a quantitative number. He's an engineer. He should know the conversions and I'm trying to see how much of that we can get because it's a certain amount in grams that we're even talking about.

JUDGE BIRO: I bet this is something I could take administrative notice of.

MR. CHU: Okay. Your Honor, we'd ask the Court to take judicial notice or administrative notice
that the amount of precious metals in these matters are probably less than .2 grams.

MR. KLEPP: Objection, Your Honor. The way it's been phrased here I -- it seems very vague, very speculative, and to take judicial notice of that statement seems --

JUDGE BIRO: I wasn't thinking of in this specific case. I was thinking of the price of platinum generally.

MR. CHU: Yes, Your Honor. Okay. And the reason I mention that is 'cause they were pointing to the load and contents of the precious metals in one of the applications that they had talked about previously as part of the evidence in this case.

So, I thought you were saying that you could take judicial notice of that fact that was already --

JUDGE BIRO: I take judicial notice that platinum today, apparently, is $\$ 934$ an ounce.

MR. CHU: Thank you, Your Honor.
MR. KLEPP: May I offer something, Your Honor?

JUDGE BIRO: Yes.
MR. KLEPP: There is, I believe, a whole part of Respondents' case that is going to have to do with precious metals and pricing as part of their
economic benefit report, or a portion of their expert report. So, why do this here with Mr. Jackson when he's stretching for everything he can think of and using guess work.

JUDGE BIRO: His choice. It's his choice.
Overruled.
MR. KLEPP: Fair enough.
BY MR. CHU:
Q So, Mr. Jackson, this Exhibit 12 has not really been updated, has it?

A No.
Q And wouldn't it be more beneficial as far as an outreach to actually tell manufacturers and importers that the EPA permits an allowance or a tolerance for the amounts of precious metals in these wash coats?

MR. KLEPP: Objection, Your Honor. Form of question and relevance.

JUDGE BIRO: Overruled. Go ahead.
THE WITNESS: Are you asking me do we have guidance documents that provide a tolerance?

BY MR. CHU:
Q I'll get to that next but the question was the question. Would it be more beneficial if we look at a guidance document that was manufactured in 2005
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and we're in 2017 today, that your EPA should advise the public and this Court that there is a tolerance factor?

A So, again, this document was intended to provide major steps in certification process. So, if you're asking me should this document have that level of detail in it, that was not the original intent. We certainly could take that into account and provide more information.

Q Is there any plans in the horizon to do that?

A We have been talking about guidance documents to provide more information to manufacturers as time goes forward. That could be something that we incorporate.

Q In fact, you, yourself, have authored PPT, at least one PPT presentation wherein you specifically wrote in that there is a tolerance level. Is that not accurate?

A So, I believe you're referring to a guidance document we were assembling for submission of information as relates to catalysts. So, we had a portion of that document included in PowerPoint presentations.

Q You remember when I asked you about
tolerances and variances in your deposition at first you told me you can't do that?

A I don't remember my exact words, but I do remember making reference to responding to one of your questions regarding tolerances.

Q I'm sorry?
A I do remember responding to one of your references to tolerances. I don't remember my exact words.

Q Right. And then after I showed you your actual PowerPoint you then agreed with me, oh, yes, you can have a tolerance or a variance on the quantities of precious metals. Do you remember that?

A What I agree with you is that we had that document, that excerpt from a document in a PowerPoint slide. We haven't finalized that guidance document yet, though.

Q I understand but what I'm getting clear --
A Template, I should say. Excuse me. That template.

Q -- clarification is that in your area of certification, which includes post-manufacturing investigation. Is that the right word or --

## A Compliance.

Q Compliance, okay. That you're accepting
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tolerances in the amount of precious metals in the catalysts.

A I don't know if I could say that is an accurate statement as yet, and I'll tell you why. The guidance document that you have from that presentation to engage in outreach even before we publish some guidance documents we'll share it with the industry to get their reaction before we formally publish it, and that may not have been reviewed and finalized with OGC as yet.

Q Sir, I'm going to ask you to look at
Respondents' Exhibit 37.
MR. CHU: Thirty-seven, Your Honor.
THE WITNESS: Is that in this set of binders or is there another set of binders?

MR. CHU: Judge, may I approach the witness?
JUDGE BIRO: Yes, you may.
(Pause.)
THE WITNESS: I have it.
BY MR. CHU:
Q Now, apparently, even though you were one of the authors on this document you didn't write that in there, did you?

MR. KLEPP: Objection, Your Honor.
JUDGE BIRO: That's arguing with the
witness. Sustained.
BY MR. CHU:
Q Did you write that in there?
A So, author to the PowerPoint presentation?
Q Yes, sir.
A I did not draft the guidance document or the template.

Q Thank you. Do you know who did that?
A The template was assembled and is still being assembled by the team of certification engineers.

Q But as far as this presentation you actually did present this, did you not?

A I did present this as something we wanted the industry to review and give us feedback on.

Q And you were satisfied with what you were telling them, right?

A We were telling them that we wanted their feedback. So, yes, I was satisfied with asking for their feedback.

Q And could you just read for us Note 2 because this is not the clearest one?

MR. KLEPP: Objection, Your Honor, to the extent that he's asking him to read from a document not in evidence.

BY MR. CHU:
Q So, the so-called understanding of catalyst and its function and needs in these types of vehicles is still a work in progress with your department. Is that accurate?

A All right. So ask the first part of your question again. The what part of the catalyst?

Q Whether or not -- let me start over. Understanding the need of catalysts in these types of vehicles it's still a work in progress?

A Understanding the need for a catalyst, I wouldn't say that's a work in progress. Manufacturers decide if their design needs a catalyst or not. Understanding the description of the catalysts is a part of what they need to include in their application, and we've been working with the industry of late to see if we need to modify any of our guidance documents or any of our templates what they report to us because we seek industry feedback from time to time.

Q Okay. And so are you aware of any standardized tests that have been adopted by the EPA to actually test the weight and composition of catalysts or catalytic materials?

A So, I am aware that the Office of
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MR. CHU: Your Honor, I'm going to offer Respondents' Exhibit 37 at this time. He's identified it as a document that he's used.

MR. KLEPP: Objection.
JUDGE BIRO: And what's the objection?
MR. KLEPP: The objection is this is not a document that is part of the prehearing exchange and counsel is looking at it for the first time here, and objection on that basis.

JUDGE BIRO: Sustained. Move on.
MR. CHU: I'm sorry?
JUDGE BIRO: Sustained. Move on. BY MR. CHU:
Q Was this an exhibit in your deposition?
A I think I remember this coming up. I don't know if it was an exhibit or not. I don't remember how it was identified.

Q Okay. Do you remember Mr. Klepp being in the room?

A During the deposition?
JUDGE BIRO: I don't care if it was an exhibit to his deposition. I don't care if it, you know, hung on his office door every day. If it wasn't in the prehearing exchange it's not coming in.

MR. CHU: Understand, Your Honor.
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Enforcement has conducted tests. Whether or not it's
a standardized protocol within the Office of Enforcement, I would have to defer to them to answer that question.

Q And you discover that basically from one of the enforcement document that you reviewed during your deposition. Is that accurate?

A That and there's a paper, an essay paper on the subject.

Q Basically, it's just been the last few years that there's been any adoption of any acceptable method of testing the catalyst. Is that accurate?

MR. KLEPP: Objection to relevance, Your
Honor. How is this at all relevant to penalty?
MR. CHU: To the extent that you allowed emissions to be discussed.

JUDGE BIRO: I think it is. I think it goes to gravity. Go ahead. Overruled.

THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of the timeframe.

MR. KLEPP: Your Honor, if I may. We've been going for just about two hours. Would it be -JUDGE BIRO: You'd like a break.
MR. KLEPP: -- an okay time to take a break?
JUDGE BIRO: If you would like. Can we --

MR. CHU: Yes, Your Honor, that would be fine.

JUDGE BIRO: Okay. It's 3:15. Let's stand in recess to 3:30?

MR. KLEPP: Thank you.
JUDGE BIRO: Fifteen minutes. Okay.
(Whereupon, a short recess was taken.)
JUDGE BIRO: Please be seated.
Are you waiting for --
MR. CHU: Your Honor, if it please the Court:

## BY MR. CHU:

Q Okay. On Exhibit 12, EPA Bates No. 369, also on the screen in front of you, and we're on No.
7. Do you see that on the screen?

A Yes.
Q Okay. Do you see it highlighted there?
A Yes.
Q Okay. We talked about the location but we did not discuss volume, okay? So, can you tell this
Court what you were referring to, what the EPA was referring to in this draft guidance document about volume?
A Sure. So, the volume of the catalyst material -- the volume of the catalyst, the substrate
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volume.
Q You actually want the size, right?
A Yes.
Q So, it's more than just volume?
A Yes.
Q Because the volume of the substrate, which
you just mentioned, actually the volume could be
greater in proportion, right?
A In proportion to what?
Q Well, to the actual volume of the catalyst.
A Your question is can the volume of the
catalyst be greater in proportion to the volume of the catalyst?

Q Right.
A I'm not sure I follow your question.
Q So, you can't tell us one way or the other
when you have this honeycomb substrate that's involved in these types of catalysts whether or not the amount of wash coat that can expose precious metals is -- the volume of that is greater than filling the area of the catalyst with complete wash coating.

A So, I believe you're referring to the
surface area, the catalyzed surface area versus the volume of the catalyst.

Q Correct.

A Okay. And your question about that is what exactly?

Q Well, the purpose of the substrate, the honeycomb, is to create greater surface area. Would you agree?

A Yes.
Q Okay. And because the more wash coat you have exposed to the gas that's going through there --

A Yes.
Q When I refer to gas what gases am I referring to?

A I'm assuming you're referring to the exhaust gas from the engine.

Q Okay. Then those gases can connect or touch more particles of precious metals because of those honeycombs, correct?

A Yes.
Q And if you put too much wash coat on it then it would tend to clog the path of the gases and it would reduce the exposure of the small particles of precious metals in the wash coat. Would you agree?

A Well, so the porous nature of the honeycomb substrate is such that they try to coat every bit of the surface area with wash coat. They try not to clog specific channels when they manufacture it.

Q So, in your classes they've talked about those things, right?

A Well, to some extent in the classes and then work experience as well.

Q Good. And then the final portion of this seven talks about the composition of catalytic converter. What exactly does that mean?

A So, that specifically gets at the issue of the precious metal descriptions that we talked about earlier: the loading, the amount of precious metal that's present, the ratio of the various precious metals that are present.

Q Was your department back in 2005/2006 -- let me take that back.

When did you join your department?
A I joined the Compliance Division in 2007.
Q Okay. So, in your department in 2007 would it be safe to say that the people in your department was aware of the use of these honeycomb catalysts?

A Yes.
Q Okay. And you just testified that through your exposure, I guess, experience and training, that you understand somewhat how the wash coat is applied, right?

A Yes.

|  | Page 265 |  | Page 267 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Q And is it accurate to say that you've seen | 1 | range of three carburetors or three catalysts that |
| 2 | either slides, videos, or something as to the slurry? | 2 | were tested, two tested right. The third one was 10 |
| 3 | A When manufacturers of the catalysts have | 3 | percent more than what was stated, but in that same |
| 4 | presented it, yes, I have been to the facilities. | 4 | year the catalyst results that this Court was |
| 5 | Q And you've noticed how -- that they're | 5 | presented with in the summary decision indicated that |
| 6 | basically almost nano size particles on these precious | 6 | they didn't match through two other labs. |
| 7 | metals. | 7 | And so what it goes to is the penalties in |
| 8 | MR. KLEPP: Objection, Your Honor, to this | 8 | that situation as to the damage. How is it that these |
| 9 | line of questioning. I don't see how it relates at | 9 | are okay? You have 23,000 vehicles. You've got good |
| 10 | all or it's relevant to the penalty. | 10 | tests, you've got bad tests, and it's the same. One |
| 11 | MR. CHU: May I respond, Your Honor? | 11 | of it was with the same SGS agency. So, I think the |
| 12 | It will go to so that what he testified to | 12 | Court has to calculate that when -- if the Court is |
| 13 | earlier that there's actually a tolerance, and the | 13 | going to assess any penalties. |
| 14 | evidence will establish that the way they've asked for | 14 | JUDGE BIRO: The carburetors. |
| 15 | the information on these applications and in the ASA, | 15 | MR. CHU: No, catalysts. |
| 16 | the resettlement agreement, is not correct in the way | 16 | JUDGE BIRO: Carburetors. |
| 17 | they ask for the information. | 17 | MR. CHU: Catalysts. |
| 18 | JUDGE BIRO: Well, we're not going to | 18 | JUDGE BIRO: Catalysts. There were some |
| 19 | undermine the ASA agreement. Whatever you agreed to, | 19 | catalyst tests where they were in compliance? |
| 20 | whether you should have, that's fine. But does this | 20 | MR. CHU: Yes, Your Honor, in 2012, that's |
| 21 | have anything to do with this case and what happened | 21 | what the evidence is going to show. It's one of our |
| 22 | in this particular case that -- | 22 | exhibits. It's Harrison Wolf, the consultant, and |
| 23 | MR. CHU: Yes, Your Honor, it has to do with | 23 | they went ahead and they hired SGS, which is one of |
| 24 | what my client attempted to do to satisfy this need to | 24 | the companies that tested the 23 units, and in those |
| 25 | get testing done on these catalysts, and so it goes to | 25 | tests they came back with two that were on point, one |
|  | Page 266 |  | Page 268 |
| 1 | show that because of the variance, the tolerance | 1 | had 10 times of one of the precious metals than what |
| 2 | levels, the same amount of precious metals can never | 2 | was stated in the application. |
| 3 | be one set number, and this is what we're asking this | 3 | So, there's 23,000 vehicles that are |
| 4 | witness to tell us if he knew or didn't know -- if he | 4 | involved in the assessment in 2012. So, I believe |
| 5 | knows or doesn't know that fact when they were | 5 | it's important for the Court, not on liability, but on |
| 6 | approving these particular applications, especially | 6 | damages, to have that information before it which |
| 7 | the carryover ones, because the proffered testimony is | 7 | we'll be presenting. This is how this all ties in. |
| 8 | going to be that they were still approving the | 8 | JUDGE BIRO: Could we stipulate to what |
| 9 | carryovers when they had the information about | 9 | those results are? |
| 10 | catalysts that allegedly did not meet what the | 10 | MR. CHU: I have no problem with that |
| 11 | applications had. | 11 | because they're -- |
| 12 | JUDGE BIRO: I think I dealt with this | 12 | JUDGE BIRO: This is an exhibit you've |
| 13 | variance issue in my order on accelerated decision. | 13 | exchanged? |
| 14 | You raised an issue about how it was uncertain, that | 14 | MR. KLEPP: I'm sorry, Your Honor. I'm |
| 15 | they didn't meet it because there was a variance in | 15 | having a -- |
| 16 | the various test results, and I found that the | 16 | JUDGE BIRO: This is an exhibit you've |
| 17 | variance was within the tolerance of the test, and | 17 | exchanged? |
| 18 | they were still not in compliance. So, now I'm not | 18 | MR. CHU: Harrison Wolf. Yes, what number? |
| 19 | sure exactly how this is going to help the penalty. | 19 | MS. TARIQ: CX77. |
| 20 | MR. CHU: I believe in tomorrow's testimony | 20 | MR. CHU: CX70 -- |
| 21 | we will see that there were catalyst test results, | 21 | MS. TARIQ: Seven. |
| 22 | okay, in the same year that this Court decided that | 22 | MR. CHU: -- seven, Your Honor. That it was |
| 23 | there were violations in 2012 from Harrison Wolf, who | 23 | three catalysts, and this is the importance of the |
| 24 | was a consultant that was recommended by Ms. Isin, | 24 | ASA. The ASA said you -- and we'll get a chance to |
| 25 | that the test results came back, that they were within | 25 | read it. It's not real clear what they want but this |

consultant said just take three catalysts out of all these engine classes, and that was sent to SGS.

JUDGE BIRO: Yes.
MR. CHU: Two came in no problem. The third one 10 times the amount, and then there were 23,000 vehicles for 2012 that they were throwing in and saying these are bad.

JUDGE BIRO: But I've already ruled on that.
MR. CHU: Right, and this is not to the liability. This is to damages, penalties.

JUDGE BIRO: No, I don't think it goes to -I don't see how that goes to damages or penalties. I think that goes to liability. I really -- I'm sorry. I don't see how that goes.

MR. CHU: And I understand it, Your Honor. I was just -- I've read some of the decisions by the courts here and one of the cases say sometimes the liability is so intertwined with the damages in these situations. So, it's our belief that it's similarly the situation.

So, again, I'm not arguing liability. The Court's ruled on that. I'm just saying as far as it goes to damages I think it's important for the Court to see the evidence that says we're not as bad as they say that we are.
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JUDGE BIRO: So, is there an objection to that admission, to that exhibit that says apparently in some tests they came back on their catalysts and they were in compliance?

MR. KLEPP: Just to make the point, Your Honor. Those tests, which I don't have in front of me right now, but I think I can speak to accurately, aren't for any engines or vehicles that are part of the engine families in the complaint.

You've got parts. I think counsel's argument is if you follow this labyrinth you'll find suppliers that gave them catalysts that are the same parts that they would represent are the parts in the vehicles here. So, if you follow this winding line you'll get --

JUDGE BIRO: But this is why I don't remember these tests because the ones -- all the ones in this case that involved these vehicles came back out of compliance.

MR. KLEPP: That is correct.
JUDGE BIRO: But for other tests regarding other vehicle engines they came back in compliance.

MR. KLEPP: Right.
MR. CHU: Your Honor, and that's why we're bringing the point about the two catalyst
manufacturers, okay.
JUDGE BIRO: I read in your brief, your
prehearing brief, you said that there were two manufacturers and five different catalysts.

MR. CHU: Correct.
JUDGE BIRO: Is that correct?
MR. CHU: Correct.
JUDGE BIRO: And are the five different catalysts in the vehicles that are at issue in this case or just five different catalysts that your clients used in all of their vehicles?

MR. CHU: The last part. There's five catalysts used -- let me --
(Pause.)
It's the 77, and I'm sorry, this one is just so faint, but it's what we highlighted, and it is our belief that this was one of the --

JUDGE BIRO: What is the exhibit?
MR. CHU: -- engine families that's mentioned, engine family that's mentioned in the complaint.

JUDGE BIRO: Okay, I'm going to let you move forward because we're wasting too much time here. If this relates to an engine family in the complaint, I'll let you ask the questions about the test results.
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MR. KLEPP: May I be heard, Your Honor? JUDGE BIRO: Yes.
MR. KLEPP: We would be willing to stipulate to the report counsel is referring to with this explanation. It is a test on a vehicle that is not -was not subject to any of the testing that came forward as a result of EPA's test order, and the labs that were conducting those tests at the time that the test order was in effect. It has been analyzed and also shown to not directly meet the certified specification.

When I say that I mean this test that Mr. Chu is referring to. It's closer than the very different palladium-only test results that were found in the 208 -- I'm calling it 208 -- in the test order results that are the basis for the violation in Your Honor's liability decision. It's an outlier nonetheless. It's outside of our test order and its protocol.

We would be willing to stipulate to it if it comes into briefs, through arguments later, but I would just like to move along and I don't think Mr. Jackson should be sitting there testifying to things relating essentially to liability.

JUDGE BIRO: Okay. That's all argument and
we'll deal with weight of the evidence, but let's move forward now. If it relates to any of the vehicles at issue here or any of the engine models, we can talk about it. If it's not related to these engines or these vehicles, we're not going to talk about it.

MR. CHU: Yes, Your Honor. I understand that.

MR. KLEPP: And I'm sorry, Your Honor, and I
beg to just -- I will interrupt just a second. We also addressed it in our papers in our motions that did precede your liability decision, the May 3rd order.

JUDGE BIRO: Okay.
MR. KLEPP: Thank you.
JUDGE BIRO: Let's go. BY MR. CHU:
Q Mr. Jackson, you were asked about adjustable
parameters on direct. Do you remember that?
A Yes.
Q Okay. And you were asked about carburetors
--
A Yes.
Q -- as well.
A Yes.
Q And I believe you testified that there is a

Q As far as you're aware, James Xu had been working with your department as long as you had been there. Is that accurate?

A I believe that is accurate.
Q Okay. And as far as applications involving carburetors, okay, and adjustable screws, isn't it true that in 2009-2010 the certification department that you were in was not allowing adjustable screws with the carburetors?

A So, in 2009 and '10, I didn't work in this sector, so when you say where they allowing it, I don't know what was in the applications at this point for 2009 and ' 10.

Q Okay. And I'm not exactly sure why you were asked questions about the carburetor or screws other than the fact that I'm thinking it has something to do with this prior violation. So, you're not really familiar with that at all, right?

A When you say "that" what are you referring to?

Q Are you familiar with the ASA that was entered into in June of 2010?

A I'm aware of it in general. I don't know the specifics of $i t$, all the specifics of it.

Q And do you know why you were telling us
range that these carburetors can be tested in. Is that accurate?

A There are adjustable parameters that have a range.

Q Okay. And so as such, are you familiar with the first violation back in 2009 that was alleged then?

A I am somewhat familiar with it.
Q Okay. And what you do you allow consultants who are somewhat experts in dealing with your department. Is that correct?

A We allow individuals who serve as consultants. I won't speak to their expertise. I'll simply speak to the fact that they serve as consultants for the manufacturers. I can't speak to their expertise.

Q So, there's no required certification, application has to be approved before they can act as a consultant to file on behalf of an entity or an individual applications for certificates of conformity.

A No, manufacturers select their consultants.
Q Okay.
A Whatever qualification procedures they may use that address that.
about adjustable parameters in reference to the action here?

A Do I know why the attorney asked me the question?

Q No, no. I'm asking do you know why you're talking about adjustable parameters in reference to how it applies to the assessment of penalties here.

MR. KLEPP: Objection, Your Honor, to the extent that Mr. Jackson is not here to talk about the penalty calculation which I think this question goes to.

JUDGE BIRO: Sustained. Go ahead. BY MR. CHU:
Q In fact, one of the issues that you're currently involved with on applications from Taotao in terms of the recertifications is an issue dealing with an adjustable parameter, is it not?

THE WITNESS: (Per the Court's order, the answer was stricken from the record.)

JUDGE BIRO: Okay, we're going to strike that all from the record.

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.
JUDGE BIRO: That whole answer. We're going to sustain an objection. You've got to move on.

MR. CHU: Yes, Your Honor.

JUDGE BIRO: He's not going to get to any of that.

THE WITNESS: I apologize. I didn't mean to.

## BY MR. CHU:

Q So, when you were asked the question about your awareness as to the ability of the applicant, Taotao USA, you mentioned that they had questions for you that didn't seem -- how did you characterize it?

A It didn't appear as if they were familiar with the regulations.

Q Okay. Now, people who apply don't have to necessarily be familiar with the regulations, do they?

A Someone who applies for a certificate of conformity should be familiar with the regulations because they're applying for a certificate that indicates that they are complying with the regulations. So, yes, they have to be familiar with the regulations.

Q So, let's talk about the history here. We have a James Xu that's been representing Taotao USA since at least 2008. Would you agree?

A I don't know the exact year, but I know he's represented them for some time.

Q Okay. And so how is it that a consultant
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like that who represents -- and let me ask you. Do you know whether or not James Xu also represents a multitude of Chinese importers and manufacturers?

A I think he does represent some others, but I don't have the list with me.

Q Okay. And so how it that something so simple as an adjustable carburetor screw is not known by James Xu? Do you have any idea?

MR. KLEPP: Objection, Your Honor. Calls for speculation.

JUDGE BIRO: Sustained.
BY MR. CHU:
Q So, Taotao USA, the importer/manufacturer, entrusted the application process to Mr . Xu and your department, correct?

A I believe they entrusted the application process to Mr. James Xu.

Q And in the outreach programs that you had at those times you were outreaching to people such as Mr. Xu and not necessarily Taotao USA, the importer. Is that accurate?

A The outreach program was for anyone who was interested in listening. We didn't say certain folks, the manufacturers couldn't participate. Absolutely not. We would never exclude manufacturer.

Q And did you remember any Taotao representatives other than Mr . Xu ever be invited to one of these outreach programs before 2010?

A So, I can't speak to the specific invitation list. We send out the notification of workshops through a list serve, and typically the membership on the list serve includes all the manufacturers who certified with us.

MR. CHU: Your Honor, we would offer Complainant's Exhibit CX67 at this time, which is the administrative settlement agreement.

MR. KLEPP: We have no objection, Your Honor.

JUDGE BIRO: Complainant's Exhibit CX67 is admitted into the record.
(The document referred to was marked for identification as Complainant's Exhibit No. 67, and was received in evidence.)
BY MR. CHU:
Q Mr. Jackson, do you see EPA 811?
A Yes.
Q Okay. And do you see paragraph 14 ?
A Yes.

Q And does it clearly indicate there that Mr. Xu had submitted applications that failed to identify an adjustable parameter?

A Yes. It says Respondent failed to -- it identified that there are no adjustable parameters on the engine families.

MR. KLEPP: Excuse me, Your Honor, point of order. May I ask what page we're on?

MR. CHU: Page 811 , or page 4 of this exhibit.

MR. KLEPP: Thank you. And is there a paragraph that you were just referring to because I missed it?

MR. CHU: Fourteen.
MR. KLEPP: Thank you.
BY MR. CHU:
Q So, this wasn't a situation about a parameter being identified. This was simply a point where the consultant didn't check off the box that said "adjustable parameter". Is that accurate?

MR. KLEPP: Objection, Your Honor. We've already heard testimony from Mr. Jackson that he's a stranger to and didn't have knowledge of this particular exhibit.

MR. CHU: Your Honor, to the extent that
that's accurate, he still was qualified as an expert on compliance program and this is part of the compliance and certification process.

JUDGE BIRO: So, your question is?
MR. CHU: Did the consultant --
JUDGE BIRO: I'm sorry?
MR. CHU: I'm sorry. You said my question was, I'm sorry. Go ahead.

JUDGE BIRO: So, the question is whether not
this was just -- whether somebody checked a box or not a violation?

MR. CHU: Correct.
JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Can you answer that? Overruled.

THE WITNESS: So, I can say that checking a box could be part of it, but it could also be that the application itself may have said that there were no adjustable parameters in text in the application.

BY MR. CHU:
Q Mr. Jackson, isn't it true that there's actually a box there for where you check off no adjustable parameters and one that says adjustable parameters, as far as you know about the application? MR. KLEPP: Objection to form, Your Honor. JUDGE BIRO: Overruled. Go ahead.

THE WITNESS: There is an opportunity for a box, I believe, yes.

BY MR. CHU:
Q I'm sorry. I didn't get that.
A There is an opportunity to check off whether or not you have adjustable parameters.

Q So, when I say box, I'm correct, right?
A I believe so in the online document. So, again, I have never submitted an application so I haven't gone into the online front end of the document.

Q But you review these applications and you've reviewed --

A I review the back end, that's right. If you're asking about the front end, I didn't verify. I just want to make sure I'm being clear.

Q So, you've never on the record actually looked at an application from front to end?

A No. I'm talking about the front end, the manufacturer sees the front facing aspect of it. I don't review that. The manufacturer submits it. I review what they submit on the back end.

Q And the back end is the application such as this one where someone either checks off no adjustable parameters or yes we have adjustable parameters, is
that accurate?
A Yes. In addition to that there is narrative
text where they may say no adjustable parameters.
There is narrative text in the application where they may say there is no adjustable parameters.

Q Ask you to look at page 5 or Bates No. 812.
Do you see the amount of $\$ 260,000$ ?
A Yes.
MR. CHU: And, Your Honor, at this time we'd ask the Court to take judicial notice that back in 2012 this was either above or close to the maximum administrative penalty that's set out at that time, subject to approval of the DOJ to seek or allow the seeking of higher penalties.

JUDGE BIRO: I'd have to go and check what was the threshold in 2012. Is that true?

MR. KLEPP: As I stand here, Your Honor, I don't know what the maximum administrative cap was in 2012, and I don't think it's accurate to request judicial notice. So, I really can't go along with or agree to what is being proposed here.

MR. CHU: May I respond, Your Honor?
JUDGE BIRO: Yes.
MR. CHU: I'm only saying that whatever the cap was I'm asking this Court to take judicial notice
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of that cap back in 2012.
JUDGE BIRO: Okay, but I can only take administrative notice.

MR. CHU: Administrative. I'm sorry.
Administrative.
JUDGE BIRO: And I can only take it if you provide me evidence that that's the case or it is commonly known. I am sure that that is not commonly known, and I don't know it right now. But if you provide me with documentation, I will take administrative notice --

MR. CHU: Thank you, Your Honor.
JUDGE BIRO: -- of whatever the amount was and whatever this is in relation to it. But right now I'm not.

MR. CHU: Thank you, Your Honor. BY MR. CHU:
Q So, sir, other than that violation when you look at paragraph 14 you don't see anything else that's included other than that violation, do you?

MR. KLEPP: Objection, Your Honor. Relevance.

JUDGE BIRO: Your question is is there anything else for the violation other than the $\$ 260,000$ penalty? adjustable parameter.

JUDGE BIRO: You want him to read this whole document and make that determination because he said he wasn't familiar with it?

MR. CHU: No, Your Honor, I don't want him to read the whole document. I'll withdraw the question.

## BY MR. CHU:

Q So, back to what your impression was of Terry and David. Can you tell us now what your impression was of James Xu knowing what you know?

A My impression of Mr. James Xu is that he has several clients. He does not always submit accurate information. I think that from time to time our staff will have to go back, or I should say accurate or complete information. I think based on what I know now that's the case.

Q If someone had been submitting applications from at least the time that you arrived in 2007 until 2010 you stated earlier those individuals should be familiar with the regulations. Do you hold that true as to Mr. Xu ?

A So, again just to clarify, I arrived in the Compliance Division in 2007. I started as a Gasoline

## Page 286

Engine Compliance Center director in 2012. But I do think that people that are submitting applications should be familiar with the regulations.

Q And for someone not to know whether or not a carburetor in these vehicles were adjustable, had adjustable screw, wouldn't you consider that as being just totally off?

A For the person submitting the application?
Q Right.
A Or for the manufacturer. It would seem unusual that the manufacturer wouldn't know it, that their screw is adjustable.

Q But we all know that carburetors have a screw that you can adjust, correct?

A Yes.
Q And the only way that you can stop that adjustment is to somehow seal it. Would you agree?

A You can seal it or you can have an adjustable range by placing some sort of screw or shorting the screw or some sort of a spring in it to shorten the travel range.

Q Would you be surprised that at that time before you were in charge that the recommendation was to seal the carburetor so that it could not be adjusted?

A Would I be surprised about that?
Q Yes, sir.
MR. KLEPP: Objection. Calls for speculation, Your Honor.

JUDGE BIRO: Overruled.
THE WITNESS: No, I wouldn't be surprised.
MR. CHU: Okay.
BY MR. CHU:
Q So, it appears that if that's the case that someone said you had to seal it, and now as the director and expert you're telling this Court there was an alternate method and you could just state a range, right?

MR. KLEPP: Object to form, Your Honor. Vague, confusing, compound question.

JUDGE BIRO: Sustained.
BY MR. CHU:
Q So, as the expert that you are for someone in 2010 to not inform the public that sealing was not the only available solution would you consider that to be say a bad act on the EPA?

A No. Again, if the manufacturer asserted that they had no adjustable parameters, then the viable solution is to seal it. If the manufacturer provides an adjustable range, then that's possible to

|  | Page 289 |  | Page 291 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | not you hold that lack of understanding of the regs | 1 | what the regulations say. |
| 2 | against the people at EPA as you would against people | 2 | JUDGE BIRO: You have to identify it. |
| 3 | like you described, Terry and David. Make a | 3 | THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. |
| 4 | comparison. | 4 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. |
| 5 | A I know -- | 5 | MR. CHU: Thank you. |
| 6 | MR. KLEPP: Objection form, Your Honor. It | 6 | BY MR. CHU: |
| 7 | assumes a fact not in evidence if the Agency was | 7 | Q So, now, you also -- strike that. Get this |
| 8 | unsure about the interpretation of a reg. There's | 8 | straight. When you say "Terry", is this a |
| 9 | been no testimony about that. | 9 | conversation you had with him? |
| 10 | JUDGE BIRO: Sustained. | 10 | MR. KLEPP: Objection, Your Honor. Mr. |
| 11 | MR. CHU: That's fine, Your Honor. I was | 11 | Jackson has not himself said Terry. Counsel has said |
| 12 | just going to say he's an expert. I can ask him a | 12 | Terry, so I object on that basis. |
| 13 | hypothetical. | 13 | MR. CHU: Yes. To the best of my memory he |
| 14 | JUDGE BIRO: He didn't testify that there | 14 | described Matao Cao and David that he spoke to that |
| 15 | was any misunderstanding or confusion by the Agency. | 15 | was not aware of the regulations. So, if that's not |
| 16 | He said there were these two options, and, you know, | 16 | the case, I'll not ask him. |
| 17 | they could -- the manufacturer could do either one, | 17 | JUDGE BIRO: Let's specify what conversation |
| 18 | and then identify that there were no variable | 18 | we're talking about here. |
| 19 | parameters? | 19 | MR. KLEPP: Your Honor, if I may. My |
| 20 | THE WITNESS: Adjustable parameters. | 20 | specific point is that there is this use of a name |
| 21 | JUDGE BIRO: Adjustable parameters, and I | 21 | "Terry", and that did not come from Mr. Jackson. |
| 22 | don't know. They didn't advertise this apparently in | 22 | JUDGE BIRO: Right, that's apparently a |
| 23 | their regs. That was your point. | 23 | common name used by Mr. Matao Cao, is that correct? |
| 24 | MR. CHU: Yes, Your Honor. | 24 | MR. CHU: That's correct. I believe that |
| 25 | JUDGE BIRO: But there was no confusion and | 25 | the record will indicate he did talk and say "Terry" |
|  | Page 290 |  | Page 292 |
| 1 | that was your question. | 1 | when he was on direct. |
| 2 | MR. CHU: Correct. | 2 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. The familiarity of the |
| 3 | BY MR. CHU: | 3 | name makes me uncomfortable. So, let's see if we can |
| 4 | Q Just to clarify, the regs allow screws in | 4 | just go with Mr. Matao Cao. Did he tell you whatever |
| 5 | the carburetor to be adjusted, correct? | 5 | you would like to ask. |
| 6 | A It is possible to have an adjustable range | 6 | MR. CHU: Yes, Your Honor. |
| 7 | if the manufacturer identifies it in the application. | 7 | BY MR. CHU: |
| 8 | MR. CHU: Objection, non-responsive. The | 8 | Q Did Mr. Matao Cao have a conversation with |
| 9 | regs allow it or they don't. I'm not sure possible. | 9 | you about an application and not the conversation that |
| 10 | Answer is not responsive. | 10 | you alluded to earlier? |
| 11 | MR. KLEPP: May I be heard? | 11 | A We had general conversations about the |
| 12 | JUDGE BIRO: That was a long way to yes, but | 12 | applications. |
| 13 | go ahead. | 13 | Q Okay. |
| 14 | MR. KLEPP: Thank you. | 14 | A Yes. |
| 15 | JUDGE BIRO: You understand the question, | 15 | Q And so based upon those conversations it's |
| 16 | Mr. Jackson? | 16 | your impression that he is not knowledgeable about the |
| 17 | THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. I believe -- | 17 | regulations. Is that accurate? |
| 18 | JUDGE BIRO: Would you be allowed to have an | 18 | A When you ask is it my impression, it's what |
| 19 | adjustable carburetor? | 19 | he said. I'm only reiterating what he said to us. |
| 20 | THE WITNESS: You could have an adjustable | 20 | Q Your impression earlier was that there was |
| 21 | parameter on your carburetor and identify it. | 21 | something wrong with Matao Cao in encounters with EPA. |
| 22 | JUDGE BIRO: And that would be consistent | 22 | Was that not your impression? |
| 23 | with the regulations? | 23 | A I don't believe I said there is something |
| 24 | THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. But my point was | 24 | wrong with Matao Cao. I believe my reference was to |
| 25 | that it has to be -- you have to identify it. That's | 25 | what he said to us, that he didn't have an |

understanding of the regulations.
Q And is that unusual that an applicant or a representative of an applicant would ask questions about what to do in an application?

A It is not unusual for an applicant to ask questions.

Q So, in those questions that you testified about that you used to create an impression was anything out of the ordinary?

A So, again, the impression, as I mentioned earlier, was based on what he said to us specifically, but the questions were questions that seemed to indicate he hadn't spent a lot of time looking at the regulations.

Q And how is that bad?
A I didn't put the qualifier bad on it. I simply said that's the situation.

Q So, that's just as I said earlier, that's somewhat of a normal situation, is it not?

A Well, when you say "normal", it is normal for a manufacturer to ask questions. It was of concern that they were asking questions that seemed pretty much a straightforward reading of the regulations so.

Q Can you tell us specifically what the
pretty straightforward meeting.
Q Now, you actually don't get involved with applicants or had not gotten involved with applicants in the past. Is that accurate?

A I don't get involved with applicants on a daily basis until an issue is broached to me.

Q And basically you weren't really in communication with Taotao until you received communications from their attorney. Is that correct?

A No, I don't believe that's correct. As I mentioned, we had a meeting, I think it was a precertification meeting a year or two ago, and I don't recall a conversation with attorneys before that about Taotao. I'll have to go back and check, but I don't -- it didn't drive that. There was a meeting where they wanted to come in and talk to us about their application.

Q And had you ever had complaints from importers, manufacturers, that complained about your staff not being able to communicate effectively in English?

A I have heard complaints from you.
Q And as such, did you investigate and determine that there were some issues?

A Did I investigate that there were some
question was, if you remember it?
A No, I don't recall all the questions specifically.

Q Now, as to Mr. Garibyan, can you tell us how many of these types of conversations you had with him?

A I might say probably two to three.
Q Was that related to Taotao Motors or some other company?

A I would say related to either but I should probably check if I have notes or something, check to see which company he was speaking to at the time. I don't want to speak out of turn.

Q Sir, I missed it. Was that in reference to Taotao USA or some other company?

A Oh, Taotao USA.
Q Okay. And you believe that occurred in the last 12 months?

A We did have meetings within the last 12 months, yes.

Q Okay. But prior to that time, let's say the
rest of 2016 or 2015, were there questions that Mr.
Garibyan asked on behalf of Taotao USA that caused you to be concerned?

A No, I guess before that. I think we had maybe one meeting before that, and it seems like a
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issues?
Q Correct.
A So, I don't -- I wouldn't say I launched a
formal investigation. I chatted with staff about making sure they're clear in their communications.

Q And is it accurate to say that your department has made errors in the certifications and non-certification of applications?

A I would assume we have made mistakes. I would assume we have made mistakes because we're human. I don't know what errors you're referring to.

Q If the EPA has information that the catalysts on manufacturers' models did not exactly match the loads in the original application, but approved carryover applications with that knowledge wouldn't that be wrong?

A So, again just to make sure I'm clear about your question. If the product that's manufactured doesn't match the certificate of conformity that's a different product, and so it doesn't necessarily impact the certificate, the new application for a certificate of conformity.

So, you're referring to if the Office of Enforcement identifies a product in the field that doesn't match the certificate of conformity, then it's
not covered by that certificate. So, it's a different issue.

Q But if you have knowledge of that and it's a carryover application why does your department still approve that carryover application?

A So, again, if you're referring to a situation where -- are you referring to a catalyst situation? Because, again, if the product that's in the field doesn't match the application, it's a different product, so we're not -- we can't not approve something for a different product that wasn't certified before. We're reviewing a specific application. That's what we're reviewing.

Q And that's what I'm talking about. A carryover is the extension annually from an application that may have originally been filed years before. Is that accurate?

A Yes, I think that's a fair characterization.
Q And so if the EPA has knowledge that there is some discrepancy between the test results and the identified loads in the original application why would your department continue to approve those carryover applications?

A Which test results are you referring to?
Q I'm referring to, let's say, a test
relevance to penalty.
MR. CHU: Again, Your Honor, it's to the penalty. I understand we're not talking about liability. To the extent that it affects the penalty, this is why I'm asking the question.

## JUDGE BIRO: Sustained.

BY MR. CHU:
Q Now, you never had anyone in your department order a catalyst test for loads and ratios, have you?

A We may have asked manufacturers for information, but I don't know if we've ever ordered someone to do it.

Q Is it safe to say that if you don't know, then you probably had not?

A So, when you use the term "order" usually that's a document that I would sign, and I don't recall signing any letter ordering a manufacturer to do catalyst testing.

Q But as far as your department itself conducting a test on a catalyst, has that ever occurred?

A I don't recall the Gasoline Engine Compliance Center conducting catalyst tests.

Q Now, do you have any idea as an expert how the wash coats are removed from the catalyst?
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result --
A For.
Q -- on a catalyst --
A On a catalyst, okay.
Q -- by the EPA.
A Okay. So, again, to reiterate the point I
was trying to make earlier because it appears you're conflating an issue of enforcement with an issue of certification. So, again, if the Office of Enforcement identifies a product in the field that doesn't match the certificate of conformity, then that means that product is not certified.

And so when you say we have information that a product didn't match you're referring to two different issues. That's an issue for enforcement to deal with, that product in the field that was uncertified. That doesn't address the fact that we have an application for a product A that we are certifying.

Q So, is it your opinion as an expert today that just because a vehicle identified by a VIN number doesn't match that doesn't mean that all vehicles in that engine family also doesn't match?

MR. KLEPP: Objection, Your Honor. That sounds like a liability question. I don't see it's

## Page 300

MR. KLEPP: Objection, Your Honor. That's not his area of expertise.

JUDGE BIRO: Sustained.
BY MR. CHU:
Q Now, have you ever attended a class, seminar or training where it was explained how the wash coats are removed?

MR. KLEPP: Continued objection, Your Honor.
JUDGE BIRO: What relevance is this?
MR. CHU: He testified earlier that he was aware of the Enforcement Division's standards for testing of the so-called catalysts. He was allowed to testify about his impressions of the emissions testing that was done, and so I am asking questions about the testing on the catalysts.

JUDGE BIRO: So, in terms of removing the substrate for the purposes of testing, is he aware of how that's done?

MR. CHU: Yes, Your Honor.
JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Overruled.
THE WITNESS: So, I'm not intimately familiar with the process of opening the can and separating the substrate from the can.

MR. CHU: Thank you.
BY MR. CHU:

|  | Page 301 |  | Page 303 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Q I just want to clarify that I said the wash | 1 | to damages because the Court's weighing no material |
| 2 | coat from the substrate, Mr. Jackson. | 2 | found. For example, we have basically the same model |
| 3 | A Removing the wash coat from the substrate, | 3 | that's examined by SGS and then one that's ERG, and |
| 4 | I'm not intimately familiar with the process. I know | 4 | then SGS can't find the trace metals. The other one |
| 5 | that there are various options for crushing the | 5 | they can find. I believe the Court has to look at |
| 6 | catalyst and doing a math calculation. There are | 6 | those results when deciding if and what amount of |
| 7 | other chemical processes, but I'm not intimately | 7 | penalties should be imposed. |
| 8 | familiar with those processes. | 8 | JUDGE BIRO: No. Sustained. |
| 9 | Q But you've been involved in someone | 9 | MR. CHU: Okay. |
| 10 | explaining that somewhere along the way to you, right? | 10 | BY MR. CHU: |
| 11 | A I think I've heard it a couple of times but | 11 | Q So, you had an opportunity to meet the enemy |
| 12 | I don't do that work. Someone else does that work. | 12 | in China, is that correct? |
| 13 | Q And I understand that, but you don't know | 13 | MR. KLEPP: Object to the form of the |
| 14 | who that person is. | 14 | question, Your Honor. |
| 15 | A I'm sorry? | 15 | THE WITNESS: To meet the what in -- |
| 16 | Q You don't know who that person is. | 16 | JUDGE BIRO: Sustained. |
| 17 | A Who does the work? | 17 | MR. KLEPP: Argumentative. |
| 18 | Q Right. | 18 | BY MR. CHU: |
| 19 | A I believe EPA may have a laboratory that | 19 | Q You met these violators, right? |
| 20 | does some of that, but I don't remember their names at | 20 | A I'm sorry. You're referring to Mr. Matao |
| 21 | this point. I believe EPA has a laboratory that does | 21 | Cao and -- who are you referring to? |
| 22 | some of that but I do not remember the names of the | 22 | Q Sir, I'm talking about the Respondents in |
| 23 | staff who do that work. | 23 | this action. Do you believe Mr. Matao Cao is a |
| 24 | Q Is that the enforcement, the NE that you | 24 | Respondent in this action? |
| 25 | were talking about, NEIS or something? | 25 | A Yes. |
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| 1 | A So, the Office of Enforcement, I'm sure, | 1 | MR. KLEPP: Objection, Your Honor. That |
| 2 | does some of that work. | 2 | calls for a legal determination, and in fact it's not |
| 3 | Q Okay. And so as an engineer you're familiar | 3 | the case. |
| 4 | with sampling, right? | 4 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay, sustained. It's in fact |
| 5 | A Yes. | 5 | not the case. |
| 6 | Q So, you testified that you were aware of a | 6 | MR. CHU: Yes, Your Honor. It just goes to |
| 7 | method where you crushed the catalyst, right? | 7 | bias. |
| 8 | A Yes. | 8 | JUDGE BIRO: Shows bias? |
| 9 | Q Okay. Do you remember anyone telling you or | 9 | MR. CHU: Well, he believes that Matao Cao |
| 10 | talking about acid? | 10 | is a Respondent in this case. |
| 11 | MR. KLEPP: Objection, Your Honor, to the | 11 | JUDGE BIRO: And how does that show bias? |
| 12 | relevance of this to the penalty at issue here. | 12 | MR. CHU: Well, Your Honor, there was the |
| 13 | MR. CHU: May I? It goes directly to the | 13 | article that we talked about in Respondents' 33 that |
| 14 | Court evaluating how those tests were performed based | 14 | seemed to identify so-called the country known as |
| 15 | upon the standard that he can't articulate, but I | 15 | China, and so I believe I have a right to determine if |
| 16 | believe Ms. Isin will be able to, and it goes to the | 16 | there is some bias in the situation against people |
| 17 | issue of whether or not causation was established by | 17 | from China in terms of what's going on in this case |
| 18 | their allegations that the test results, if any, that | 18 | here. |
| 19 | was accepted by the Court actually supports that | 19 | JUDGE BIRO: I just don't see how that |
| 20 | finding. | 20 | question goes to show that. |
| 21 | JUDGE BIRO: That sounds like we're back to | 21 | BY MR. CHU: |
| 22 | liability again. | 22 | Q So, Mr. Jackson, do you see that the |
| 23 | MR. CHU: I don't see how we can separate | 23 | Respondents in this case -- do you know who the |
| 24 | that from the damage because if there's an issue that | 24 | Respondents or what the Respondents are? |
| 25 | the tests that were performed is not accurate it goes | 25 | A So, again, I see on the sheet in front of me |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | it says Taotao USA, Incorporated. | 1 | JUDGE BIRO: Sustained. Rephrase. |
| 2 | Q Good. Taotao USA. Okay. And then there | 2 | MR. CHU: Yes, Your Honor. Okay. |
| 3 | are two, as you call them, OEMs, right? | 3 | BY MR. CHU: |
| 4 | A I believe so but I'd have to look at the | 4 | Q Are you aware that the manufacturer of |
| 5 | paperwork. | 5 | vehicles for Taotao USA is basically two companies? |
| 6 | MR. KLEPP: Your Honor, point of order. I | 6 | A That sounds familiar but I would need to |
| 7 | believe there might be some cause for confusion. The | 7 | confirm that by looking at all the applications from |
| 8 | document up in front of Mr. Jackson is actually the | 8 | the certificate holder. |
| 9 | ASA. I believe counsel is asking questions based on | 9 | MR. CHU: And I believe we had admitted |
| 10 | the matter before Your Honor which would have a | 10 | those applications earlier, so let me find out what |
| 11 | different caption. | 11 | number those are. I believe that's Complainant's 1 |
| 12 | So, I see some confusion in the question and | 12 | through 10. |
| 13 | the response here. | 13 | (Pause.) |
| 14 | MR. CHU: I agree, Your Honor. I didn't | 14 | MR. KLEPP: Before we proceed, Your Honor, |
| 15 | know what document he was reading off of. I thought | 15 | the last thing we left off was counsel referring to |
| 16 | he was looking at one of the documents in this case | 16 | CX1 through 10. What I see up on the screen and I |
| 17 | because I asked him specifically about this case. | 17 | assume might be in front of Mr. Jackson is a COC |
| 18 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Maybe we can just take | 18 | itself, which is later in the documentation outside of |
| 19 | the documents down, and we're asking not to rely on | 19 | CX1 through 10. |
| 20 | any documents at the moment. | 20 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. |
| 21 | MR. CHU: Yes, Your Honor. | 21 | MR. KLEPP: So, again, I'm trying to avoid |
| 22 | JUDGE BIRO: Just what your understanding | 22 | confusion here. |
| 23 | is, Mr. Jackson. | 23 | JUDGE BIRO: I understand. I think Mr. |
| 24 | THE WITNESS: So, my understanding of the | 24 | Jackson is looking at the right document at the |
| 25 | Respondents are is that it's Taotao USA and some | 25 | moment. |
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| 1 | related companies, but I don't remember all the names | 1 | THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. So, yes, two |
| 2 | of the related companies off the cuff. I'd have to | 2 | manufacturers. |
| 3 | read it on the document. | 3 | BY MR. CHU: |
| 4 | BY MR. CHU: | 4 | Q So, do you know the names of the two |
| 5 | Q You know that the suppliers of Taotao USA | 5 | manufacturers for Taotao USA? |
| 6 | are only two, right? | 6 | A Taotao Group Company -- |
| 7 | A So, I would again need to look at the | 7 | MR. KLEPP: Objection, lack of foundation, |
| 8 | certification application to confirm that. | 8 | Your Honor. |
| 9 | Q The application, I believe, without telling | 9 | JUDGE BIRO: Oh, no. Overruled. |
| 10 | us anything other than who the manufacturer is, but | 10 | THE WITNESS: Taotao Group Company, Limited |
| 11 | again that application that you looked at earlier only | 11 | and Junyun County Xiangyuan Industry Company, Limited. |
| 12 | has one manufacturer, right? | 12 | MR. CHU: Thank you. |
| 13 | MR. KLEPP: Objection to form Your Honor; | 13 | THE WITNESS: County Industries. Sorry. |
| 14 | vague and confusing question. | 14 | County Xiangyuan Industry Company. Sorry. |
| 15 | JUDGE BIRO: Sustained. So, the | 15 | MR. CHU: Thank you. |
| 16 | manufacturers of the catalysts. | 16 | BY MR. CHU: |
| 17 | MR. CHU: No, Your Honor, I'm talking about | 17 | Q Now, I'm going to ask you to look at CX45. |
| 18 | the vehicles. This is so bad. We're using the word | 18 | This is one of the document that you were testifying |
| 19 | "manufacturer", but I was referring -- we're talking | 19 | about during your direct. |
| 20 | about the application for the certificate, and in that | 20 | A Yes. |
| 21 | application it does list the manufacturer of the | 21 | Q Now, you had read the bottom paragraph at |
| 22 | vehicle and also identifies the manufacturer of the | 22 | least two, if not three times. Do you remember that? |
| 23 | catalysts. So, I need to be more clear. | 23 | A Yes. |
| 24 | JUDGE BIRO: Right. Okay. | 24 | Q And during your deposition I had you look at |
| 25 | MR. CHU: I'm sorry. | 25 | that as well, remember? |

A So, no, I don't specifically remember this one, but I trust you had -- I believe that you did.

Q So, in this exhibit here would you agree that this form is a form that dates back to -- as far as 1981 ?

A This format for the certificate? No. No, the format doesn't date back to 1981.

Q Okay. So, do you know whether or not that language that's on that last paragraph, okay, whether or not that's consistent with 40 C.F.R. Part 86 as it was in 2012?

MR. KLEPP: Objection, Your Honor. What counsel I think is proceeding into is not -- and outside of penalty area, a liability area. Those arguments that counsel made in the course of motions that led up to Your Honor's accelerated decision as to regulatory changes over time settled matters involving liability.

MR. CHU: Yes, I'm not even going to respond because I'm asking about a regulation.

JUDGE BIRO: What's the relevance?
MR. CHU: The relevance is this language is not in the new, it's in the old.

JUDGE BIRO: In the old reg.
MR. CHU: Yes, ma'am.

JUDGE BIRO: They acted in accordance with EPA's regulations even though -- if they acted in accordance with the wrongly cited regulations in the certificate of conformity?

MR. CHU: With the complete interpretation of the actions against my client/clients.

JUDGE BIRO: Sustained. Move on.
MR. CHU: Okay.
BY MR. CHU:
Q Do you believe that Taotao USA intentionally did what was alleged?

MR. KLEPP: Objection, Your Honor. Calls for a legal conclusion.

JUDGE BIRO: He's an expert. He can draw conclusions.

MR. KLEPP: Legal ones?
JUDGE BIRO: I don't think intent is a legal, necessarily a legal conclusion. I think there's a common understanding of intent.

THE WITNESS: Do I believe that Taotao intentionally produced products that were noncompliant?

MR. KLEPP: I'm sorry to be up again.
Relevance.
JUDGE BIRO: No, I think it's relevant.
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JUDGE BIRO: It's not in the new reg.
MR. CHU: No.
JUDGE BIRO: And that's the argument that you made in opposing the motion for summary judgment or for accelerated decision, and I ruled on it. So sustained.

BY MR. CHU:
Q So, is it the policy of the EPA to continue using language that's no longer in effect?

MR. KLEPP: Objection.
MR. CHU: They're seeking penalties and if the penalties -- not the liability -- the penalties are based upon something that is not what the law is, it's important that I bring that to the attention of the Court on the penalty issue, not the liability.

JUDGE BIRO: What does that have to do with what the Respondent did? I just don't understand what the EPA having old language in its form has anything to do with what the Respondent did in this case and what penalty should be imposed upon it as a result of its actions.

MR. CHU: And at the close of evidence it will clearly show that the Respondents acted accordingly with the directions of the EPA though they were in error.
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Overruled.
BY MR. CHU:
Q So, the question was, and I just want to clarify this. We keep mixing Taotao USA up with the actions of OEM manufacturers. You just said Taotao USA produced. Did you not say that?

A I don't know. I don't remember.
Q Okay. So, when I talk about Taotao USA I'm talking about an entity that, as you agreed earlier, didn't manufacture anything, right?

A Okay.
Q So, do you believe that they violated the Clean Air Act intentionally?

A Do I believe that Taotao USA intentionally violated the Clean Air Act, and I think the evidence then that's been presented shows that they did. When you say "intentionally" it's the responsibility of the certificate holder to be sure their products are compliant. And so from my perspective if they choose to not pay attention then they're intentionally not paying attention.

Q So, as you heard earlier there were test results on catalysts and models, okay, that indicated that they were in line with what was on the applications, okay. So, how is it that Taotao USA in

2012 hiring a consultant to help satisfy the EPA, how is that intentionally doing something as you stated?

MR. KLEPP: I'm going to object, Your Honor. The characterization of the earlier reference, there was one test result, not test results.

MR. CHU: Yes.
JUDGE BIRO: Did that even come into evidence?

MR. KLEPP: I think counsel owes a fair question with accurate information in it to Mr . Jackson.

MR. CHU: Yes, I will go over Exhibit -- is it 67? Seventy-seven? Seventy-seven, to show the three catalysts that were tested.

MR. KLEPP: Your Honor, I'm aware of that document, I'm aware of that test, and I go back to my objection which is it's one result.

MR. CHU: And I'm saying it was three -three catalysts tested. So I'll go over it.

MR. KLEPP: Only one is relevant, Your Honor.

JUDGE BIRO: Okay, let's not argue this. Are you familiar with any of those results, the test results that we're talking about?

THE WITNESS: I don't believe I am. It
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doesn't sound familiar other than what counsel has said.

JUDGE BIRO: So, then as an expert can I ask you a hypothetical? If there were test results --

THE WITNESS: Okay.
JUDGE BIRO: -- on three catalysts, and one of which showed it in compliance in terms of its metal ratio and its metal percentages, would that impact your opinion on whether they intentionally violated the Act?

THE WITNESS: So, I'm sorry. Is that a yes or no question because it could have an impact, but we will need to look at the broader context. If it's three tests done fairly close to each other, we might believe that they were -- the manufacturer intentionally picked product that are in compliant to test, and the rest of their production may be different.

One issue that has been raised in our dialogue with the industry is that de-canting can happen, and so you can produce a batch that's compliant. So, if you sample from the compliant batch and the remainder of your products would be de-canted, then the remaining products wouldn't be compliant. So, just because someone has test results that show
compliance wouldn't necessarily cause me to believe that everything is necessarily compliant if you're looking at catalysts as an example. If there was a concern, I'd want a broader sampling over a broader period of time.

JUDGE BIRO: Does that answer your question, Mr. Chu?

MR. CHU: Yes, Your Honor, it certainly
does.
BY MR. CHU:
Q What's more important in the regulatory scheme, emission testing or the contents in a catalyst?

A They're all important. We don't assign a relative importance to it.

Q Which one is easier to determine?
A For NVFEL, the emissions from the products are easier. For a lab that crushes catalysts on a regular basis doing a catalysts analysis would be easier.

Q So, you're saying that both are relatively easy, right?

A No, I'm not saying they're relatively easy. I'm saying you asked which was easier.

Q Yes, sir.

## Page 316

A And I'm responding to that question. For a laboratory that's set up to do emissions testing, emissions testing would be easier. For a lab that's set up to do catalyst testing, catalyst testing would be easier.

Q Let me pose this. What's easier for the EPA to test, emissions or the contents of a catalyst?

A Same response. If it's an EPA catalyst lab, it would be the catalyst content. If it's an emissions lab, it would be the emissions.

Q What's easier for the manufacturer, the actual OEM to test, the emissions or the catalyst?

A Well, that's dependent on the manufacturer because some manufacturers have the ability to do the analysis, or they contract it out on a regular basis. So, when you're asking what's easier, it's -- they can do both.

Q Do you understand the processes used to test exhaust?

A Yes.
Q You hook up what?
A So, if it's a chassis test you connect it to the end of the tailpipe and you send the exhaust sample through the sample train into a dilution tunnel, and it's sampled by the analyzers.

Q How long does that take?
A How what?
Q How long does it take to have a screen test of the exhaust?

A Oh. Approximately -- well, after set up and after you do -- so just to be clear when you run an FTP test you have to do what's -- it's not called a break-in, but sort of a warm-up-type cycle first, the first day. Then the second day you actually run the test. So, the actual test itself is 20 minutes, but you don't just run it once.

Q And the factories that you've inspected, and I believe you testified to was like 40 or 60 factories, is that correct?

A No, I didn't say we tested 40 or 60 factories. I said I've met with 30 to 40 manufacturers in the sector.

Q I'm sorry. So, 40 to --
A Thirty to 40 manufacturers.
Q Thirty to 40 . So, in the 30 or 40 manufacturers that you've meet with was that at their manufacturing facilities?

A In some case it was at their facility. In other cases it was at our laboratory.

Q So, could you say at least half of those
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were at the manufacturer, OEM facilities?
A No, I wouldn't say half of those meetings were there.

Q Do you remember seeing any companies from that 30 or 40 that actually had a laboratory set up to crush and test catalysts?

A Some manufacturers did have that capability.
Q Was that in China, Japan, Korea or Europe?
A So, I think we saw that in Japan. I don't recall seeing it in China. I'm pretty sure we saw it -- I know we saw it in Japan and I think some in the United States, but I don't recall seeing it in China.

Q Okay. So, in your flow chart one of the primary concerns was the emission standards being met or significantly below that, correct?

A Yes, our concern is that the emissions standards are at or below the standard --

Q So, as far --
A -- achieves values.
Q So, as far as the emissions results from the vehicles that Taotao USA sought for either new certification or recertification can you tell this Court, please, how those emissions results compare to the higher limit?

A Compare to the? Oh, the limit. I believe that many of their products were compliant. I think we had maybe one or two that were not compliant, but I think for the -- many of them were compliant when tested --

Q Well, the ones --
A -- at lower hours.
Q The ones that were not complaint you did not approve the applications, correct?

A That's correct.
Q So, I'm talking only about the ones that you approved. So, can you tell this Court are we like 50 percent below or 30 percent or 70 percent? Do you have any idea how compliant they were on those vehicles?

A I would say maybe they were at 50 percent or at 60 percent of the standard, but I have to go back and look.

Q Is that a good thing?
A It is a good thing to be compliant with the standard.

Q And so for nearly 10 years now Taotao USA as the importer has been compliant in a good way with the emission standards. Is that accurate?

A Taotao USA has passed low-hour testing.
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Q I'm sorry. I didn't understand what you said.

A Taotao USA has passed low-hour testing.
Q And that's not something that your department has requested?

A Yes, it is.
Q Okay. So, that's a standard, that's what you require manufacturer to have to do, isn't it?

A We require them to conduct low-hour testing and I also mentioned the DF earlier and I also mentioned full useful life testing, and we have not done extensive full useful life testing.

Q Because you don't require that from the people seeking these application -- seeking these certificates, correct?

A No, we do. We absolutely require either useful life testing or that they apply a DF to a lowhour test result.

Q In fact, that's known in your trade as a confirmatory test, correct?

A When we do the low-hour test, that's right.
Q So, the low is a confirmatory or is a high a confirmatory?

A The low-hour test is typically the confirmatory test.
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Q Isn't it accurate that you require a testing | 1 | active test order at present. |
| 2 | of over the useful life for new applications? | 2 | Q Okay. And nothing has been done in terms of |
| 3 | A Yes. | 3 | retaliatory actions. |
| 4 | Q Okay. And then for the recertifications you | 4 | A I'm sorry. Excuse me. Except for the |
| 5 | also require a test over the useful life, do you not? | 5 | selective enforcement audit, so there is testing in |
| 6 | A We may allow low-hour testing with the DF | 6 | the selective enforcement audit. |
| 7 | apply, but we do require end-of-life results. | 7 | Q You're talking about the 2017 May actions, |
| 8 | Q Well, to get those results one would have to | 8 | correct? |
| 9 | do a useful life test. Would you agree? | 9 | A Yes. |
| 10 | A Yes, you do a full useful life testing to | 10 | Q Okay. And when you say self-audit that |
| 11 | get end-of-life results. Yes. | 11 | means you ask them to cooperate, is that correct? |
| 12 | Q So, therefore, based upon those useful life | 12 | A I said selective enforcement audit. I |
| 13 | tests that you approved for Taotao USA and based upon | 13 | didn't say self-audit. |
| 14 | what you know about this particular customer of the | 14 | Q I'm sorry. I misspoke. The select audit. |
| 15 | EPA would you say that those have been in that same 50 | 15 | A Selective enforcement audit, SEA. |
| 16 | to 60 percent below the standard range? | 16 | Q Right. |
| 17 | A Based on the data reported to us by the | 17 | A We issue an SEA test order. |
| 18 | manufacturer, yes. | 18 | Q And they have to take it upon themselves, |
| 19 | Q Okay, and that's all you can rely on, right? | 19 | whoever you issue that or had issued that to, to do |
| 20 | A Because we haven't done full useful life | 20 | certain tests at their own expense, correct? |
| 21 | tests. | 21 | A That's right. |
| 22 | Q And you haven't found a need to do that, | 22 | Q Okay. As far as you know, you don't even |
| 23 | have you? | 23 | know exactly which companies you're talking about at |
| 24 | A I wouldn't necessarily say we haven't found | 24 | this time. Is that accurate? |
| 25 | the need, but we prioritize the use of our resources. | 25 | A I know that we issued the test orders to |
|  | Page 322 |  | Page 324 |
| 1 | Q Because, in fact, one of the labs that you | 1 | Taotao USA and Tao Motor. |
| 2 | like to use in Michigan had to shut down, right? | 2 | Q Okay. I thought you said earlier you had |
| 3 | A As I understand it one of the labs we used | 3 | issued directives or did these audits of the |
| 4 | in Michigan did shut down. | 4 | manufacturer is the OEM. Is that not correct? |
| 5 | Q That was the Lotus Lab? | 5 | A You thought I said earlier that we did what? |
| 6 | A Yes. | 6 | Q That you did this selective -- |
| 7 | Q Had a lot of bad news about bad equipment. | 7 | A Enforcement audit. |
| 8 | A No, actually it did not have a lot of bad | 8 | Q -- enforcement audit on the actual OEM |
| 9 | news about bad equipment. | 9 | manufacturers, right? |
| 10 | Q Okay. They just went out of business. | 10 | A No. I said we issued the selective |
| 11 | A So, I'm not privy to the details surrounding | 11 | enforcement audit test orders to the manufacturer of |
| 12 | their business situation. | 12 | record, which is the certificate holder. |
| 13 | Q So, when you talk about resources you don't | 13 | Q The importer, right? |
| 14 | have a lab like Lotus now for you to pay monies to run | 14 | A In this case it's the importer. |
| 15 | tests. | 15 | Q Okay. So, you did not issue anything to the |
| 16 | A Do we have a lab to pay money to to run a | 16 | actual OEM manufacturer of the units. |
| 17 | test? | 17 | A We visited the location the manufacturer |
| 18 | Q Like Lotus. | 18 | asked us to to select the products. |
| 19 | A We do have another contract, other contract | 19 | Q So, is it safe to say at the time you |
| 20 | laboratories we use. | 20 | selected the product those -- the ownership of those |
| 21 | Q So, therefore, if you felt a need for Taotao | 21 | units had not been transferred to Taotao USA? |
| 22 | USA to have had these tests you have not made that | 22 | A Since Taotao USA identified that facility as |
| 23 | request as of this time, correct? | 23 | the production facility where we could obtain the |
| 24 | A You know, I need to check. We may have | 24 | vehicles for SEA testing, we didn't request |
| 25 | issued a test order for Taotao, but I don't recall an | 25 | information about ownership. They simply told us this |

is where you can get the vehicles, and when we arrived the folks who purported represented Taotao USA and Tao Motors identified the products.

Q Your group didn't identify the products?
A We selected the products --
Q Thank you.
A -- we wanted to test.
Q So, it wasn't the importer that selected the product, correct?

A Well, they don't select --
MR. KLEPP: Objection, Your Honor.
THE WITNESS: Oh.
MR. KLEPP: Objection, Your Honor. I don't
see the relevance to this. The penalty we're talking
about, a 2017 event, a selective enforcement audit.
MR. CHU: I'm sorry. If we're going to exclude the prior testimony, I have no problem with not talking about this.

MR. KLEPP: Prior testimony, Your Honor, I believe was all relevant to who owns who and what is the relationship between companies over in China, not the depth and breadth of the exact enforcement audit, and how vehicles were selected for Mr. Jackson's programmatic purposes.

MR. CHU: I thought the purpose of it was to

Page 326
show factors for this Court to decide the gravity.
JUDGE BIRO: We're talking about a 2017
selective enforcement audit. Is that something
totally separate?
MR. KLEPP: My understanding, Your Honor, is none of the vehicles in 2017 have anything to do with the engine families in the amended complaint.

MR. CHU: As I said earlier, I don't know anything about it, so that's why I had objected. So now I believe I'm hearing --

JUDGE BIRO: Didn't I strike everything about that, about the 2017 audit while you started talking about it?

MR. CHU: You questioned it. I don't remember it being struck, but if we can get that cleared now I'll move on.

JUDGE BIRO: I'm interested. Go ahead. Overruled.

BY MR. CHU:
Q So, were there discussions about what to do about catalysts in those vehicles that you identify or did in fact those vehicles not have a catalyst?

A For the vehicles for the selective enforcement audit?

Q Let me withdraw that.

A My concern, again, goes back to what we were discussing earlier about -- when we start discussing the design of the product because those are the new products. I mean, I can talk about the fact that we did a selective enforcement audit. That's public information. That we made selections, that's public information. But, you know, whether or not they had certain components I'm a little concerned that I'm delving into the CBI of the company.

JUDGE BIRO: Right, and that's the part I struck.

MR. CHU: Okay.
THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Excuse me, Your Honor. May I stand? I just have a little bit of a leg cramp?

JUDGE BIRO: Oh, sure. Of course. We can take a break. What time is it?

MR. CHU: It's almost five, Your Honor.
JUDGE BIRO: It's five. Why don't we stop for the day. 5:01. Could we stop for the day? Do you have much more on cross?

MR. CHU: I can pick up tomorrow.
JUDGE BIRO: Okay. So, why don't we stand in recess. What time tomorrow could we begin again? MR. CHU: I'm good with the time we had this
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morning. Nine would be nice since we're on Central Time, but I can do 8:30.

MR. KLEPP: Your Honor, whatever time suits the Court.

JUDGE BIRO: We can accommodate Mr. Chu since he traveled so far and we'll start at nine.

MR. CHU: Thank you,
JUDGE BIRO: I just want to go over before we leave today the exhibits that I have identified as being admitted into the record so that we keep track.

MR. KLEPP: Yes, Your Honor.
JUDGE BIRO: And I have it at the Agency's request their Exhibits 1 through 10, 12 through 17, 43 through 52, 99 through 122, and 156A. And at the Respondents' request we admitted Respondents' Exhibit 33, and Respondents' -- and at the Respondents' request we admitted Complainant's Exhibit 67.

Are we good?
MR. KLEPP: Just checking.
MR. CHU: Yes, Your Honor.
JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Why don't you check and if we're not tomorrow morning tell me.

MR. KLEPP: Your Honor, if I may, I believe I did not hear from you a reference to CX191, which is the slide of the summary of the factory.
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Did we admit Exhibit | 1 | disclosing them to anyone for any reason without |
| 2 | 191 ? | 2 | notifying the parties first? |
| 3 | MR. KLEPP: My understanding. | 3 | THE COURT REPORTER: I understand, Your |
| 4 | MR. CHU: Yes, Your Honor, I believe you | 4 | Honor. |
| 5 | admitted it. I objected. You admitted it. | 5 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay, thank you. Mike? |
| 6 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. So, then add to that | 6 | MR. SUSANO: I do as well. Yes, I do |
| 7 | list Complainant's Exhibit 191. | 7 | understand as well. |
| 8 | MR. CHU: And 77, was it 77? I thought I | 8 | JUDGE BIRO: And give me your full name. |
| 9 | offered 77. | 9 | MR. SUSANO: Michael P. Susano. |
| 10 | JUDGE BIRO: Did you offer it or did we | 10 | JUDGE BIRO: And you're an EPA contractor? |
| 11 | identify it? How about -- is that Complainant's | 11 | MR. SUSANO: I am an EPA contractor employed |
| 12 | Exhibit 77 that you -- | 12 | by International Video Conferencing, Incorporated. |
| 13 | MR. CHU: Yes, Your Honor. There was some | 13 | JUDGE BIRO: And you agree to those terms? |
| 14 | discussion, but I didn't think there was an objection. | 14 | MR. SUSANO: I do agree. |
| 15 | JUDGE BIRO: Is there an objection to | 15 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. Thank you. |
| 16 | Complainant's Exhibit 77? | 16 | (Whereupon, at 5:06 p.m., the hearing in the |
| 17 | MR. KLEPP: If it was offered earlier, I | 17 | above-entitled matter was recessed, to reconvene at |
| 18 | missed it. If it's being offered now, let me just | 18 | 9:00 a.m. on Wednesday, October 18, 2017.) |
| 19 | confirm. | 19 | // |
| 20 | MR. CHU: I offer it now. | 20 | // |
| 21 | MR. KLEPP: Your Honor, we don't object. | 21 | // |
| 22 | JUDGE BIRO: You have no objection? | 22 | // |
| 23 | MR. KLEPP: No. | 23 | // |
| 24 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay. So, in the Respondents' | 24 | // |
| 25 | request the document identified as Complainant's | 25 | // |
|  | Page 330 |  | Page 332 |
| 1 | Exhibit 77 is admitted into the record. |  | REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE |
| 2 | (The document referred to was |  |  |
| 3 | marked for identification as |  | DOCKET NO.: CAA-HQ-2015-8065 |
| 4 | Complainant's Exhibit No. 77, |  | CASE TITLE: EPA v. Taotao USA, et al. |
| 5 | and was received in |  | LOCATION: Washington, D.C. |
| 6 | evidence.) |  |  |
| 7 | JUDGE BIRO: Mr. Jackson, have a lovely |  | I hereby certify that the proceedings and |
| 8 | evening but please don't discuss your testimony |  | evidence are contained fully and accurately on the |
| 9 | further with anyone. |  | tapes and notes reported by me at the hearing in the |
| 10 | THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. |  | above case before the United States Environmental |
| 11 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay, thank you. |  | Protection Agency. |
| 12 | THE WITNESS: Thank you. |  |  |
| 13 | JUDGE BIRO: Okay, we will resume tomorrow 9 | Date: October 17, 2017 |  |
| 14 | a.m. |  |  |
| 15 | (Whereupon, a short recess was taken.) | Rick Sanborn <br> Official Reporter <br> Heritage Reporting Corporation <br> Suite 206 <br> 1220 L Street, N.W. <br> Washington, D.C. 20005-4018 |  |
| 16 | JUDGE BIRO: Mr. Reporter, we're going to go |  |  |
| 17 | back on the record. |  |  |
| 18 | Mr. Reporter, in this matter there is |  |  |
| 19 | confidential business information that the Respondent |  |  |
| 20 | has identified that involves matters that we wish to |  |  |
| 21 | keep secret, he and the Agency wish to keep secret. |  |  |
| 22 | You've had an opportunity to hear them in your |  |  |
| 23 | capacity as the court reporter in this matter. |  |  |
| 24 | Do you understand that you've heard |  |  |
| 25 | confidential matters and that you are constrained from |  |  |
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